

SEX AND PARENTAGE,

By Sylvia Pankhurst.

Last week we discussed some aspects of the vast expansion in material résources, which the early future will unfold, and which are likely to develop much more rapidly than those who anticipate them have predicted.

We pointed out that so long as the private property economic system remains, such developments will progressively entail greater unemployment and greater poverty for the working class. On the other hand, if production for use be substituted for production for profit, abundant plenty and great stores of security and enjoyment will be assured to all. The romantic future, which the growth of knowledge is presenting to the race, would arouse in the people of to-day a great and general enthusiasm, were it not that, under our capitalist system, scarcity is the companion of increased production, and vested interests in old methods. But for this, to-day's quest for new wealth, new worlds of power from the elements about us in every land, would far outshine the adventurous epoch of the Spanish conquistadors and the Elizabethan gallants who went forth to discover gold and territory in the New World of the West.

We shall now turn to the predictions respecting the reproduction of the race, made by J. B. S. Haldane, in his book, **' Daedalus, or Science and the Future,'' which we discussed in its other aspects last week. In discussing these special predictions we shall consider the questions of sex, and parentage also in larger and more general aspects.

Professor Haldane asserts the early possibility of a large scale artificial reproduction of the human race. That he is on probable ground in making this prediction is evidenced by the successful grafting of glands, skin and other parts of the body, which has been carried on to an increasing extent for many years past, as well as by certain experiments quoted by him.

J. B. S. Haldane wraps up his prophecy in the form of an essay, supposed to be written by a student 150 years hence. Here are the salient passages:—

"When we consider that in 1912 Morgan located several Mendelian factors in the nucleus Drosophila, and modified its sex-ratio, while Marmorek had taught a harmless bacillus to kill guinea-pigs, and finally, in 1913, Brachet had grown rabbit embryos in serum for some days, it is remarkable how little the scientific workers of that time, and a fortiori the general public, seem to have foreseen the practical bearing of such results. . . .

" It was in 1951 that Dupont and Schwarz produced the first ectogenetic child. As early as 1902 Heape had transferred embryo rabbits from one female to another, in 1925 Haldane had grown embryonic rats in serum for ten days, but had failed to carry the process to its conclusion, and it was not till 1940 that Clark succeeded with the pig, using Kehlmann's solution as medium. Dupont and Schwarz obtained a fresh ovary from a woman who

* Kegan Paul, 2s. 6d., or from the Dreadnought Office. was the victim of an aeroplane accident, and kept it living in their medium for five years. They obtained several eggs from it, and fertilised them successfully, but the problem of the nutrition and support of the embryo was more difficult, and was only solved in the fourth year. Now that the technique is fully developed, we can take an ovary from a woman and keep it growing in a suitable fluid for as long as twenty years, producing a fresh ovum each month, of which 90 per cent, can be fertilised, and the embryos grown successfully for nine months, and then brought into the air. Schwarz never got such good results, but the news of his first success caused an unprecedented sensation throughout the entire world, for the birthrate was already less than the death-rate in most civilised countries. France was the first country to adopt ectogenesis officially, and by 1968 was producing 60,000 children annually by this method. "As we know, ectogenesis is now universal, and in this country less than 30 per cent, of children are born of woman. The effect on human psychology and social life of the separation of sexual love and reproduction, which was begun in the 19th century and completed in the 20th, is by no means wholly satisfactory. The old family life had certainly a good deal to commend it, and although nowadays we bring on lactation in women by injection of placentin as a routine, and thus comserve much of what was best in the former instinctive cycle, we must admit that in certain respects our grandparents had the advantage of us.

"On the other hand it is generally admitted that the effects of selection have more than counter-balanced these evils. The small proportion of men and women who are selected as ancestors for the next generation are so undoubtedly superior to the average that the advance in each generation in any single respect, from the increased output of first-class music, to the decreased convictions for theft, is very startling. Had it not been for eetogenesis there can be little doubt that civilisation would have collapsed within a measurable time owing to the greater fertility of the less desirable members of the population in almost all countries.

" It is, perhaps, fortunate that the process of becoming an ectogenetic mother of the next generation involves an operation which is somewhat unpleasant, though now no longer disfiguring or dangerous, and never physically injurious, and 's, therefore, an honour, but by no means a pleasure. Had this not been the case, it is perfectly possible that popular opposition would have proved too strong for the selectionist movement."

Mr. Haldane further states, and this time frankly in his own words :---

" If reproduction is once completely separated from sexual love mankind will

The adventurous scientist has here thrown a somewhat new light on a question around which much controversy is waged by such protagonists as the Malthusians, who view it mainly from the standpoint of immediate poverty, and such opposing propagandists as Dr. Marie Stopes and the American writer, Charlotte Perkins Gilman, whose outlook upon it is primarily one of sentiment and morals. The main object of the Malthusian is to reduce the birth-rate, that of Dr. Marie Stopes is to secure the pleasures of sexual intercourse, without necessarily incurring conception. Dr. Stopes is one of a growing school who declare that sexual intercourse is actually beneficial in its mental and physical reactions, both to man and woman, even when contraceptive methods are used. Mrs. Perkins Gilman,* on the other hand, contends that sexual intercourse is only tolerable for the purposes of procreation. She obviously regards it as, at best, a necessary evil, and refers to sex itself in terms of striking condemnation. She says of man:--

"During his period of supremacy he has so lavishly over-indulged this impulse that he has completely lost sight of its purpose, and now, with careful provision for birth control, he presents to the astonished mother of the world an urgent demand for a relationship wholly divorced from its reason for being, yet which he calls natural. The fact that an over-sexed female may participate in the desire is no justification."

Sex, according to Freud, dominates the sensations, even of a nursing baby, whose satisfaction, even when it is being fed at the mother's breast, he calls 'sexual'! A species of biological blasphemy, this; an idea so revolting to a healthy mind as to cause nausea."

Whether Freud be right or wrong in his theory, and the correctness or incorrectness of it is a question of the classification and naming of certain groups of characteristics, Mrs. Gilman's nausea is obviously morbid and unscientific. It arises from unreasoning emotions with a background of the giggling of ignorant people over what are called 'smutty' stories, the unhappy marriages of economically dependent wives, the social ostracism of unmarried mothers, and the buying and selling of sexual intercourse, the most sordid phenomena of the system of production for profit.

Stripped of such sentimental crudities as this outburst of Mrs. Gilman, there is, however, legitimate ground for discussion whether the sexual attraction of men and women will in the future tend to dwindle towards extinction, having been artificially inflated by the private property system and consequently the economic subjection of women as the sex handicapped by maternity; or whether its extension beyond the mere purpose of reproduction is an essentially human characteristic which is destined to become strengthened and ennobled in the course of evolution, and to be enhanced and enriched with the advent of the dawn of plenty. The latter is our own view.

* His Religion and Hers, T. Fisher Unwin, Ltd., 7s. 6d.

THE WORKERS' DREADNOUGHT.

THE WORKERS' DREADNOUGHT.

Mrs. Gilman and others, as we have seen, would restrict sexual intercourse rigidly to the purpose of procreation; Mrs. M. A. Tuker es further, suggesting that the race is tendog to the creation of a single sex capable of self-reproduction. Biological research shows us that the theory of Mrs. Tuker is directly opposed to what has actually been happening in the development of species. Mrs. Tuker and her co-thinkers may, and do,

parade the fact that the little green aphis can roduce eggs without male assistance during the summer warmth and plenty and that it is only in the harder conditions of autumn that ale eggs and continues to reproduce with the help of her progeny. The aphis, however, is a very low form of life, and certainly there is no warrant for the belief in the early appearance of a unisexual type of human being, capable of reproducing itself unaided. The artificial impregnation of woman is, however, already discussed as a practical

Mr. Haldane also suggests, in the quotation we have given above, the possibility, by injec-tion, of enabling women, who are not mothers, to produce milk for feeding artificially produced children.

His proposal to produce children artificially by impregnating ovaries, which have been surgically removed from living women, and are kept alive in chemical serum, would, of course be a more difficult process than the artificial impregnation of the woman herself. Both the artificial methods of reproduction

cated above would obviate the need, from the reproductive standpoint, of ordinary sexual intercourse. Alternatively, this would, as Mr. Haldane observes, make possible the separathe sexual intercourse and rep tion. On first sight, at least, such an objective will seem almost absurd to the ordinary person; but Mr. Haldane urges it on the dual ground that the birth-rate is falling, and, more especially, in order to clear the way for scientific selective breeding. As to the first ground, the reduction of the birth-rate is, we think, ich more due to economic reasons, than to any failure of capacity or desire on the part of women to become mothers of, say, two, three or four children. Larger families are, quite objected to.

Marie Stopes and some others who are interested in the artificial impregnation of women, advocate it simply in order that women whose husbands are physically incapable of fatherhood, should be able to have children, without any breach of the accepted conditions of legal marriage. To make an experiment of a character so profoundly hazardous from the standpoint of the child for no more cogent reason seems to us quite unjustified. A woman should certainly pause before deciding to create what might be but a sub-human makeshift of Her faith in artificial fertilisation will indeed be strong before she can find either moral justification or the courage to make such an experiment in the case of her own offspring.

question how far the love of the parents, and their mutual impulse towards the reproductive act directly affect the offspring, and how far the condition and mental state of the part in gestation is unsolved. Apparently Mr. Haldane (if his prophecy is to taken seriously) regards conception and gestation as purely local processes. We be-lieve, on the other hand, that the child must be profoundly affected by the conditions under conception and gestation are carried on: So far from detached ovaries producing a superior race, we think it only too probable that the infants grown from them would be sively lacking in vitally important progres characteristics.

view here may be wholly wrong; but we think it highly improbable that it is not: the production of a human being is a much more complicated matter than the growth of a plant, or even than the grafting of a gland or an ear on to a human body.

One of the purposes of the suggested ecto-genetic reproduction might be to free women

** Stopes' " Married Love," p. 86,

from the pains of childbirth and discomforts of fit are restricting births, whilst the unfit are pregnancy, which have certainly increased with multiplying without restraint. As a matter of isation, and which may tend to increase till further

Twilight sleep " has come to the rescue of many who suffer unduly, and will be increas-ingly used. Moreover, a large and little explored field of research is the proper dieting f the mother, with a view to reducing such disabilities ! It is many years since a suggestive book on this subject, "Tokology,' Alice B. Stockham, M.D.,* an American, published, but the subject has attracted relatively little notice, though a substantial number of women suffer excessively in childbirth.

We do not agree with Mrs. Perkins Gilman that " excesses in physical motherhood are not common." Indeed, we think that only an American woman could have written that, since woman exhausted by too much childbearing, especially under conditions of poverty, so common a figure in Europe. Neverthe-ss, we think there are few women who will hold the pains of maternity to be so serious that some women should undergo removal of an ovary in order that many women may be spared the experience of childbirth.

Incidentally, to allow Governments to produce ectogenetic people with such character istics as their policy may dictate, as Mr. Haldane suggests in one passage, savours decidedly of the idea behind Rossums Universal Governments with such a power in heir hands might produce masses of people adapted for use as slaves, and so postpone the attainment of an equalitarian society for considerable periods. The idea is fantastic though not beyond the bounds of possibility Happily the practice would in any event take very considerable time to mature.

It may be that Mr. Haldane is not wholly serious in his prophecy of "ectogenetic" children, and that what he really contemplates the setting apart of a certain number of omen for the purpose of selective breeding

That proposal is, of course, not new. It has commended itself to many men and some In considering it, one must not los ight of the fact that selective breeding of man beings by human beings is by no mea so simple a process as selective breeding by human beings of animals and plants. In the latter case the breeder works to produce haracteristics of value to the human exploiter vithout regard to the opinions of the subject which he is experimenting or to its fith be a companion to others of its kind. The problem is a relatively simple one, and the plants and animals experimented with are decidedly less complex than are men and

To hire professional mothers, who would be To hire protessional mothers, who would be maintained for life, or even only during their childbearing years, would not be difficult in a society in which everything is up for sale. There are women who would offer themselves for the purpose to obtain a living, even if not assured that they were performing a patriotic duty. We are not persuaded, however, that professional mothers would produce better offring than mothers who mate heir own sweet will, even could Mr. Haldane induce the finest men in the world to assist them in the task. We agree that if the pro-fessional mothers and their offspring were kept under the best possible conditions the children vould probably show fewer defects than are to be found amongst those who are born and bred in poverty and overcrowding

That brings us to an important point. Mr Haldane, like the Malthusians and all the eugenists, deplores the multiplication of the unfit, but the unfit are being multiplied even more rapidly by post-natal than pre-natal con-ditions. That is to say, unfitness is being created amongst those who might be fit by the evil conditions in which they live. Even were the men and women of the slums to be mated under the instructions of the most expert eugenists, overcrowding and poverty would render their children unfit. It is often complained that the * "Tokology," by Alice B. Stockham, M.D. R. F. Fenno & Co., New York,

multiplying without restraint. As a matter of fact, educated people of limited means are practising contraceptive methods to a great degree, and largely because they cannot afford to maintain more children at what they conan adequate standard of comfor very poor do not practise birth control to any. thing like the same extent, partly from lack of means to provide acceptable contraceptives, partly from lack of knowledge, still more from the hopeless despondency and indolence which arises from complete submergence in poverty. The problem of the multiplication of the unfit is a poverty problem, and no more will be heard of it when poverty is abolished.

The question of transmitting hereditary disease is to a certain extent another matte Of course, it is an axiom that persons afflicted with hereditary disease should not produce children. Nevertheless, any scientist who is looking so far forward as Mr. Haldane wil agree that science will speedily discover the eans of curing the various great scourges hich may be regarded as obstacles to parenthood and that the elimination of such disease ould be exceedingly rapid if, on the one hand the community would provide abundant facilities for research, and if, on the other, poverty, overcrowding, and lack of education amongst the people were overcome.

All this does not mean that we dismiss eugenics as unnecessary, or that we are opposed to the researches of the eugenists. ndeed, we believe that anything they nd at present they can tell very little) about eredity and the result of the blending of types ad races should be made known and should be considered and understood by the men an women who are parents and prospective parents

The eugenists in their theories, and the parents in their practice, are to-day facing two great handicaps; firstly, the economic handicap of the private property system; secondly, th legal marriage, which is a result of the privat property system and all the social convention which surround it.

No one requires to be convinced that lack of means is to an overwhelming extent the cause of restriction of births, the production of unfit offspring, the kailure to mate of men an women who desire each other as lovers and a the parents of their children, whilst financia considerations are a frequent cause of th marriage of unfit men and women and of u

The birth-rate of France is declining because e peasant proprietor does not wish to divid his property or to leave some of his children propertyless. Mr. Haldane proposes to correct the fall of population by producing so-called "ectogenetic" children, presumably to be maintained by the Government. The peasant oprietors might, however, refuse to be taxed the maintenance of such children

As to the legal marriage, the eugenist would it a handicap, were he to be given a e hand to mate the people so as to improv the race on condition that he should observ the marriage laws. Men and women who ar not only to produce creditable off spring, but at the same time to find domesti happiness and congenial companionship f hemselves, also find the marriage laws

We believe that with the advent of common wnership of the means of life, production for use, and the consequent provision of plenty fo all, the legal marriage, even were it to b formally maintained, must soon cease to have any binding force. It would swiftly cease to keep together those who desired to be apart keep apart those who desired to b together. The economic tie and the economic barrier have a far greater influence in maintaining the letter of the marriage laws than any factor.

Observance of the legal marriage is to-day illed morality, but conceptions of morality ar changing quantities built up and modified in conformity with social conditions. In a more enlightened time it will be thought monstrous

t legal ties should have been regarded to- had been shown to be. He would give no as binding upon those whose affections ld lead them to break such ties and form

I. B. S. Haldane suggests that by selective eding there could be produced, for instance, larger proportion of musicians, and that ysical characteristics could be changed. -day a musician might hesitate to mate with o-day a musician might hesitate to mate with musician, considering it more prudent to hoose a spouse possessed of a comfortable ortune, or having a severely practical haracter. A man and woman may believe mselves fitted to produce splendid children, may be deterred by some paltry economic on, or by some legal barrier. decide to become the parents of a child shall either be condemned to live rether for the rest of our lives, or be put and the social pale," is a thought which deterred many intelligent people from ng the great experiment.

When sex is freed from economic considerns, when there is no question of making oney, or of losing money by its manifestad suffering that it too often is at present. When the disappearance of the marriage laws nd their prohibitions has followed the disof the economic conditions ich they are based, then sex will cease to be garded largely as a source of debasement. character having been vindicated, it will be d to live up to a good reputation fully as it has often been thought to deserve

Those who doubt this might perhaps take a ourse of Shelley: it will serve them better han attending the meetings of any of the ocieties for the preservation of public morals.

PARLIAMENT AS WE SEE IT.

Mr. W. M. Adamson (Lab.) moved the econd Reading on February 29th of a Bill to ive women the Parliamentary vote on the terms as men, which would add another 500,000 women to the register, to base the anchise for both sexes on residence, pure and ple, to abolish the business qualification, to ove the is. fee for the registration of versity votors, to extend the municipa inchise by making it the same as the Parliastary, and to remove the disqualification rsons who have received Poor Law relief sitting on Boards of Guardians. He inted out that the Labour Party manifesto at last election stated :-

" Labour stands for equality between men and women; equal political and legal

The Liberals also issued a manifesto "Liberals aim at securing political, legal and economic equality between men

ind women.

Nevertheless, the Labour Government nowed itself in no haste to espouse the pro-osal. Mr. Rhys Davies (Under Secretary, Iome Office) refused to promise that the overnment would be responsible if the Bill assed the Second Reading. He declared it unusual for Governments to accept responsility for Bills introduced by private members, hich is, of course, not true. The Labour overnment itself had promised to accept sponsibility for the private member's housing sill debated only the week before, should it ass Second Reading, as it did. Mr. Davies as repeatedly questioned, but he persisted in refusing to give any promise. Members still asked for a Government promise, and later Mr. Clynes rose to answer. He said in ex-plaining the different attitude of the Governent towards the Housing Bill: "Last Friday e were dealing with a very different subject, relation to a matter which is the first item the Government's social programme. would not do more than promise to try to find time, if the committee to which the Bill would sent were as friendly to it as the House

Mrs. Wintringham ing, she said, to get them to settle down. Foot protested that he had never known a crusted old Tory. . I also have met old tinkers, and

Miss Jewson (Lab.), obbishness.

(Lib.), and Lady Astor (Cons.), supported the measure. The Duchess of Atholl opposed it. moving the rejection and telling against the measure, and Mrs. Phillipson voted against it. Lady Atholl was an anti-suffragist before women got the vote. It is really an impertinence that she should have presented herself as a Parliamentary candidate. She attacked the Bill on every point, declaring absurdly that to enfranchise more women would be to take advantage of the heroic sacrifices of the 740,000 men who lost their lives in the war. She particularly complained that the Bill would enfranchise the tinkers of Scotland, of whom she spoke with the utmost contempt. She was the tinker's occupation to be regarded as dishonourable, and that John Bunyan was a tinker and Conway added that the "noble Lady who spoke with disrespect of tinkers rather hurt among them have been some of the most A touch of humanity is to be found even amongst Tory politicians. The proud Duchess refused, however, to be ashamed of her

An Echo of Heated Controversies. Sir William Bull declared that at the time the Speakers' Conference in 1918, a woman representing one of the women's societies had given a pledge that the leading franchise societies would not ask for a further extension of the franchise for 10 years, and would be prepared to accept the vote for women

made by a member of a deputation of the leading franchise societies It would be interesting to know whether Sir William Bull spoke the truth, and whether the lady referred to was representing the National Council for Adult Suffrage, which indulged in surprising vagaries in its brief day.

Mr. Sexton's Bon Mot on the Labour

Government. Mr. James Sexton (Lab.), that cynical and often foolish old comedian, twitted the Labour Cabinet for its coldness towards the Franchise For 30 years the Tories had opposed es for women, whereas the Labour Party had for 30 years nailed votes for women and adult suffrage to the mast. " Only the other day," he said, " a friend of mine was asked what he thought of the new Government, and he replied: ' It is one of the best Conservative ernments we have had for years.' He ' I have lived in the days of open oting, and my own father was a political oss. I have seen him march a number of men into the backyard and lock them up until the polling was closed, while others were

Prejudice and Truth from Lord Hugh Cecil.

Lord Hugh Cecil (Cons.), took the fact that he supported votes for women in the old days, but opposed the present measure.

He added, with considerable effrontery: I am sorry we have come to include ong our Members persons belonging to the opposite sex, but what we have lost in dignity, we may have gained in efficiency." is curious that even so hardened a Tory Lord Hugh Cecil should have the notion

that men are more dignified than women; we der on what it can be based !

He further observed that Lord Bryce, in his and if you have too inexperienced an electorate, the result is not democracy at all it becomes government, not by the people, but government by an oligarchy, which is

pledge. The Labour Party has got many a vote and much financial and personal support by its supposed friendship to women's enfranchise-ment, but, in practice, it has always treated that question like Cinderella.

These remarks were supposed to be

often a rather shady oligarchy, for it becomes the government of an organised machine

That, of course, is very true; the moral is not, however, Parliament with a restricted franchise, but the Soviets.

' The various party organisations have an oligarchical organisation. They work from the point of view of their own party, and they work not as an expression of the functioning of the will of the whole people, but in order to impose on the people their particular point of view. I am not saving they are not necessary parts of a machine, but having, as we have, a very large electorate, this sort of machinery bec unduly powerful, and the power that is given enables the heads to suggest to 50,000 or 60,000 people how to vote. These people vote in the main as they are told. They are thus told by bodies which they have no share in choosing, which are invariably unrepresentative, and which possess the oligarchic influence. I do not of course, an oligarchy of rich men and influences. There is a Labour oligarchical organisation of a different ype, but that organisation is oligarchie Organisations of the kind are not demo-

cratic in the true sense of the word. That is a very neat exposure of some of the evils of the Parliamentary system.

Ruhr Coal.

Average deliveries of Ruhr	coal and Coke:
Sector and the sector	Monthly.
1921	1,500,000 tons.
1922	1,505,000 ,,
January to December, 1923	547,000 ,,
October, 1923, to January,	
1001	006 100

Passports.

The Labour Government will retain passports because other countries desire them, and the alien immigration restrictions in this country render it desirable for British subjects to prov their nationality to immigration officers. regards the aliens regulations as necessary.

Visas are not required for British subjects visiting France, Belgium, Luxemburg, Świt-zerland, Italy, Holland, Spain, Norway, Italy, Holland, Spain, Sweden, Denmark.

Warships. Major Hore-Belisha asked whether the Government intends to lay down this year, according to the programme of the late Tory Government, three submarines and a submarine depot ship, two gunboats of a special shape for the Persian Gulf, an aircraft carrier and a mine-laying vessel. Mr. Ammon replied that the five cruisers and two destroyers are alone decided on yet, and that a Cabinet Committee is considering Naval requirements The Tory programme would have increased Naval Estimates by £3,000,000 in 1924-5, and added 14,000 men to the Navy. The new cruisers decided on are 10,000 tons. They are expected to cost from £1,500,000 to £2,000,000. The "County" Class cruisers which are to be replaced cost £950,000 each. The "County" class cruisers cost £214,800 ar to maintain, the new cruisers will cost £259,000 to maintain. Naval costs are always mounting.

Four new battleships of "Hawkins" and Emerald "classes, laid down during the war, will be completed between June of this year and July of next.

Ships Built or Building and Less than 12 years old on February 27th, 1924.

	Light Cruisers		In Full Com-		
		Building.	mission.		
British Empire	46	4	34		
Japan	16	6	14		
France	4	3	4		
U.S.A	7	3	7		
(Continu	ed on p	age 6.)			



Workers' Dreadnought Founded 1914 Editor: SYLVIA PANKHURST.

All Matt er for Publication— To THE EDITOR. Business Communications — To THE MANAGER WORKERS' DREADNOUGHT, 152, FLEET STREET, LONDON, E.C.4

oudsunirituns.	1 081	1100.
Three months (13 weeks)		7½d. 3d.
Six months (26 weeks)		
One year (52 weeks) Subscriptions can start from any	weel	6a. k.

Vol, X. No. 51. Saturday, Mar. 8th, 1924

Our Diew.

Poplarism and the Labour Government.

The Liberal vote of censure upon the Government for rescinding the Mond Order against Poplar disappeared behind a Tory amendment against which the Liberals voted, and so saved the Labour Government from an adverse vote which might have forced the Government to resign. The Liberal motion was never put. Mr. Asquith and his most prominent followers voted in support of the Labour Government. Why did this happen? Does it mean that Poplar scored a victory? Certainly not; the Minister of Health, Mr.

Wheatley, and Mr. Ramsay MacDonald have both repudiated any approval of Poplarism and have promised that the Poplar Guardians shall be surcharged on all cases in which the auditor may report that excessive relief has been given. Mr. MacDonald has gone further. In reply to Mr. Asquith's suggestion that there should be " collateral sanction " to protect the ratepayers against excessive expendi-ture by Guardians, Mr. MacDonald has asked Mr. Asquith to assist the Labour Government in devising such security to protect the ratepayers. The following passages, from the vote of censure debate on February 26th are

Mr. Asquit

Could the Government consider some collateral sanctions of a more effective kind than the power of surcharge, and can these be devised and put into working order? It is not for us, it is not for any private Member to say what form such collateral sanctions should take, but it has been suggested that the hon. Gentleman should take the power indirectly, and empower a ratepayer, where an item of expenditure had been proved to be illegal, and when he was prepared to take the risk of proving it illegal, to make that reduction from the rates which he otherwise has been bound to pay. . . . At any rate, if anything more effective cannot be . . At any devised, I hope the Government will apply their minds to the consideration and adoption of it at the earliest possible

Mr. Ramsay MacDonald :-

"I would ask my right hon. Friend [Mr. Asquith] if he would not help us in trying to devise that collateral security. I should be very glad if it were possible to get collateral security that would work equitably and fairly, and would be in accordance with democratic government collateral security which, in addition to the surcharge, would protect the ratepayer."

The result of the present manœuvres will be that not only will Poplar be forced to reduce its scale of relief and to restrict the number of cases in which it gives relief, but all the other boroughs which give an equally high or even higher scale of relief will be made to do the same. Moreover, the great ratepayers even higher scale of relief will be made to do the same. Moreover, the great ratepayers will be given the power to refuse payment to represent 47 million people of another race to represent 47 million people of 48 million people of 48 million people 48 milli

of rates if they dislike the actions of the local authorities, and the arbiter of the matter will be the judges, who are almost all conserva-tives, who are irremovable and who are ppointed in an autocratic manner.

THE WORKERS' DREADNOUGHT

Even should the Guardians eventually win their cases the delays will be enormous, and such sanctions might not stop at Boards of Guardians : they would probably be applied to other local bodies and to Parliament also. Such a provision as that would place a

serious check upon the powers, already limited, of progressive people who may get elected to public authorities. It would be a check similar in character to that imposed by the Supreme Court in the United States, which frequently leclares progressive legislation to be illegal Mr. Asquith's proposal to fuse several dis-tricts under a single Poor Law body in order that such districts as Poplar may be dealt with by Guardians elected by wealthier districts as well as by local representatives, is designed, as are his other demands, to insure a stricter, harsher treatment of Poor Law cases and more onomy by Boards of Guardians.

The object is not alone to save the rates and protect the pockets of the well-to-do. There is also a political motive behind these plans. observed that in districts like Poplar where large proportion of families have either at some time or, at any rate, may at any time, require to apply to the Guardians for relief, the Labour Party has secured an impregnable position on elective bodies, just because the Labour Guardians are regarded as being more generous than those of other parties in giving relief. To secure a uniform scale throughout the country and to break down the ocal and personal contact of the Guardians and the people is naturally regarded as important by the parties which desire to secure the votes of the people without giving them the bribe of substantial poor relief.

THE LABOUR GOVERNMENT AND INDIA.

The policy of the Government towards India s been made very clear by Lord Olivier, in the carefully prepared statement which he read in the House of Lords. He has been criticised because he read that statement on the ground, forsooth, that his reading made it a tedious affair to listen to, and because he did not indulge in vague eulogies of Indian aspirations and mirage-like prophecies of Indian self-government which the MacDonald Government has no intention to further, and to which it is actually opposed. That Lord Olivier confined himself to the actual intentions of the Government and that he wrote out his statement beforehand, in order that there should be no mistake about it, indicates, in our opinion, more honesty than is displayed by the general run of politicians and probably also a certain wariness in respect of his own colleagues.

That is all the good we are able to say about Lord Olivier's statement. It revealed the Government's clear determination to stand by Imperialism. It refused the very moderate request which has come from India for a round table conference of Indians and of British Government representatives to discuss and arrive at an agreed form of Indian selfgovernment

The refusal of this round table conference will certainly prove to have been one of the great mistakes of the Labour Government. The demands put forward by the Indian representatives at such a conference, held would be very much more moderate and conciliatory than those which will emanate in the course of the struggle which will inevitably develop in India, as it did in Ireland, but with greater cause and even greater bitterness, because of the infinitely more pronounced race bjection and exploitation imposed on the Indians

India will certainly not stop short of com-

iving on a distant little island one-fortieth of its size. Moreover, it is not merely the alien Imperialist Government that must, and will, disappear from the world; all Governments go: they will make way for the free onomous organisation of their affairs by classless peoples in all parts of the world. By giving to the Indian Independence Movement, on an agreed basis, a substantial measure of what it is at present demanding, however, the Labour Government would have staved off, for a time, the bitterer and more acute phases of the independence struggle, and would have won a reputation for enlightenment which would have stood it in good stead. The refusal of the round table conference

was indeed a very great piece of folly, even from the standpoint of the delaying effect of a conference. The Labour Party is not usually slow to appreciate the uses of delay in bolstering up the weak position of a bureaucracy. The Labour Party has, however, bowed to the arrogant demands of the Imperialists who insist that British prestige would suffer by any pretence of a discussion with Indians on equal

Mr. MacDonald, in his self-righteous letter to the Indian non-co-operators, said: "You must come nearer to us." When the invita-tion is accepted he and his Government reply with an arrogant repulse. Having refused the round table conference,

the Labour Government is thrown back on the use of coercion : that coercion is being used with a ruthless hand in India is shown by the recent firing on the Sikh religious procession, as well as by other incidents.

Lord Olivier made use of all the time-honoured hypocrisies of Imperialism in his House of Lords pronouncement, and certainly earned for himself and his Government the contempt at least of those Indians who keep in touch with British politics and are as well educated as he is. He declared the Non-Co-operator to be "mistaken, ill-informed and operator to be "mista unjustified," and said :

We are convinced that the establishment of full responsible self-government would be worse than perilous, would be big with disaster to the people of India . . . would be a respon-sibility which His Majesty's Government are not prepared to accept."

He asserted that the Indians cannot agree amongst themselves, because of their religiou differences, and therefore the British (who, o course, do not agree amongst themselves either) must keep them from "flying asunder." He was glad of Gandhi's release from rison, " but the terrible practical reactions of Mr. Gandhi's philosophically innocent teachmerely illustrated the excesses into which the Indian popular temperament was prone.' One may evidently look for the early re-confinement of Gandhi, since this is the view

of the Labour Government. There can be no doubt that the Liberal and

Tory Parties desire the Labour Party to make such administrative regulations and to intro-duce such legislation as will reduce the scale of relief in all districts where it is relatively high, and will, as far as possible, prevent generous treatment of poor relief applicants from being used as a political asset in winning votes. Tories and Liberals wish to make the Labour Government responsible for the measures they themselves refrained from taking lest they should prove too unpopular. In order to retain office without power the Labour Government under Mr. MacDonald's leadership seems ready to play into the hands of its opponents.

THE MACDONALD-POINCARÉ LETTERS. The MacDonald-Poincaré letters are remark-ble documents, especially the former. It is the former which offers conciliation and rather obscurely, but, nevertheless, undoubtedly in-sinuates an offer to make concessions. Whether that offer will take tangible shape when the powerful influences by which he is daily modifying his course are brought into action

ubilant predictions of the Daily Herald victory for the more Left and more French tendencies will shortly take t the polls, it may seem to some a little that Mr. MacDonald should offer cons to M. Poincaré, as though he were a nent fixture. The explanation, of is that M. Poincaré does speak as a arrogant and bellicose individual; he mind of French capitalism of the ful interests which control the French nment to-day, and would continue to even were a Government be the equivalent of the British Labour nment shortly be returned. MacDonald letter, by the way, was not

ed in full in the Daily Herald. own on the ground that it was too long blish in full. Curiously enough, some of assages expunged in the *Herald* version which we find most significant. e of these expunged passages contain regard as a distinct, though subtly

d offer to reconsider a special British guarantee to France, and also to dispushing back of the German frontier e Rhine. It is fortunate that capitalist papers have published the letters in full. oduce here the passages from the onald letters which seem to us most icant, indenting those which did not r in the Herald's expurgated version.

The Salient Points. widely felt in England that, contrary provisions of the Treaty of Versailles e is endeavouring to create a situation gains for it what it failed to get during lied peace neg The Rhine Frontier.

"There were many people in France who imagined that with the complete lefeat of Germany they would automaticv be freed for ever from a menace which fully realise, was real; some thought that, in order to attain absolute securi the frontiers of France should be extended to the Rhine.

A Special Guarantee to France.

"They were disappointed in this ex-pectation; they were offered instead a joint juarantee by Great Britain and the United tates of America; with the abstention of America this offer itself lapsed, and the rench people have since, with some stification, been seeking for other and tangible safeguards to take its place.

"In regard to reparations also the French public have suffered disappointneither the virtually unlimited ent: xpectations aroused at the moment of tory nor the more specific assessment ade in 1921 bear any real relation to the situation as it stands to-day The position of this country is entirely

Our security on land and sea remains been gravely endangered, owing not the inability of Germany to pay a cerin sum in reparation, but to the acute ad persistent dislocation of the markets Europe, occasioned mainly by the untainty in the relations between France d Germany, the continued economic aos in Germany, shown so clearly by violent fluctuations in the value of the rency, and the ultimate uncertainty in relations between France and our-

Without Consideration of Reasonable Interests."

it has come about that the people in untry regard with anxiety what appears tarian development, European peace on su m to be the determination of France to fermany and to dominate the Continent, consideration of our reasonable s and future consequences to European

All P. s who visited the Ruhr some time ago reported in favour of the international capital-is texploitation of the Ruhr and Rhineland in order that the rival capitalist faction might not quarrel over this source of wealth. nce, also that France is financing the **Poincare's Answer**. organisation of small Central Euro- M. Poincaré replied not without irony:

THE WORKERS' DREADNOUGHT

pean States without attempting to pay either interest or principle of her debt to Britain. Then come passages expunged from the

" Such popular sentiments, erroneous though they may be, are factors which both you and I are bound to take into con-

'In my judgment, it is these states of opinion which have vitiated our relations in the past, and have often induced our two Governments to indulge in altercations regarding the symptoms of the malady, without endeavouring, with clarity and

good sense, to investigate the causes. . . . "I am heartily anxious that you and I together should try and give both these sections of French and British opinion some sense of confidence that the basis of their fears and resentments is being removed.

" It is not, however, my present purpos to enter at any length into such questions as the Ruhr, the Rhineland occupation, or the Palatinate

I see little prospect of our being able to attain any agreement in such matters unless we are first able, by frank and courageous discussion, to achieve some unanimity in regard to the essential purposes to which these problems are merely

For, when I consider our relations in their wider aspect, I do not feel that our essential objects are so divergent Whether this can be partially achieved by processes of local demilitarisation and neutralisation, by the creation between certain States of bands of neutralised territory under mutual. or even collective, guarantee and supervision, or by some other means, is a matter for careful consideration in detail.

"We must clearly await the reports of the two expert committees, and I do so with hope that they will draw your country and mine

ogether I see no reason why this problem, if proached from its widest aspect, if sidered in conjunction with the cognate prob-lem of inter-Allied debts, should not on an early day be solved in such a manner as to rive to England the hope of economic stability n Europe, and to France the assurance that "Here, again, if France and England can

but agree, the co-operation of other European countries will be assured, and it will be pos sible for us to approach the United States of America, not as debtors disputing the one with the other, but as a united Europe, anxious, by mutual sacrifice and arrangement, to cure the ills from which our people are now suffer-

With renewed offers of co-operation Mr. MacDonald concludes. His letter may be taken to mean at least an offer to discuss a British guarantee of military support to France, with or without the United States, an agreement that the Rhine shall be the western frontier of Germany, provided British capitalism gets a share in exploiting the Ruhr, the Rhineland and the Palatinate, Germany west of the Rhine and perhaps also a part of the border east of the Rhine being made a neutral zone and demilitarised. If the letter does not mean that we should like to know what it does mean. Such a solution would result in an iniquitous overlordship by international capitalmean. ism over an important part of Europe where the proletariat is far advanced towards desire and capacity to abolish capitalism altogether. If the price should be the retarding of prolebasis would be dearly bought indeed. The scheme is one which certain great coal-iron-steel capitalists have been endeavouring to establish for some time. The Scottish Labour M.P.'s who visited the Ruhr some time ago

"Are there really Englishmen who suppose that France would be capable of making fratricidal preparations against their country? Our military and aerial establishment are exclusively designed to defend us against attempted German revenge. . . Our army and air force are no more a sign of defiance to Eventued the ...

igland than the aerial and maritime fleet of Britain are, in your view, a threat to France." He expresses agreement with Mr. Mac Donald's review of the situation, and says he believes that the interests and desires of France and Britain are identical and can be satisfied by the same means. As to the Rhine he adds

"Apart from Alsace, we have never claimed the Rhine as a frontier. We have only asked that Germany should no longer be in a position to use the Rhine as a military base in fresh attacks against France; we have asked that, in the general interests of peace, the river should form a barrier against aggression."

As to the French policy towards the States of the Little Entente, France, says Poincaré, has been anxious to keep in touch with all States interested in applying the peace treaties "pending the grant to us, if it be possible, of more effective guarantees for the aintenance of peace."

That, of course, means the revival of the one-war guarantee of British support to France in the event of war and the pushing back of the German frontier to the Rhine

As to the Ruhr, France, says Poincaré, will continue to occupy it till Germany has paid reparations and till the demands of France regarding peace guarantees are met. As to the League of Nations, France would

have given it from the beginning "more powerful means of action than those with which it has been endowed."

Evidently France felt more confident than Britain of manœuvring a majority on the League Council, and saw means of using the eague as a means of furthering her power in Europe which was not acceptable to Britain.

An agreement between the French and British Governments for the further exploitation of weaker nations which would stave off Franco-British war rather longer than would otherwise have been the case; but with all the talk of the French Government being in financial difficulties now current, M. Poincaré's letter shows that he has not departed from any of the main demands he has continuously made.

The " Henderson Affair."

Again the Labour Government has been faced with an outstanding test of its power to maintain the policy it enunciated before it took office. Again it has failed with startling lack

During the General Election the Labour Party issued a manifesto signed by the present Prime Minister, Mr. MacDonald, as well as by Henderson, in which it was declared that the Labour Party

stands for the immediate calling by the British Government of an International Conference (including Germany on terms of equality) to deal with the revision of the Versailles Treaty.'

Since obtaining office Mr. MacDonald has replied to a Parliamentary question that he is opposed to calling an international conference at the present time, and his declarations policy have made no mention of revising the Treaty of Versailles.

Mr. Henderson, however, in the Burnley by-election, in which he secured a tremendous majority, declared that " those of us who value world peace.

must insist, as an absolute essential, upon the revision of the Treaty of Versailles with all expedition possible." Revision, he said, was very much overdue : "as regards both the territorial and

economic aspects of the Treaty." "He wanted the public to understand where the Government stood. He was convinced that until we had a Government which was prepared, not only to promul-gate such a policy, but to press it, and take notes, and stand by it, we could not

THE WORKERS' DREADNOUGHT

hope to have an enduring, staple, political and economic settlement among the nations

of Europe." Faced with that statement in the House Commons, Mr. MacDonald repudiated it, and declared that the Government does not stand for the revision of the Treaty. He pleaded for consideration on the score that members of his Cabinet were inexperienced. Mr. Lloyd George took advantage of the situation to say that Mr. Henderson ought to have known better, because he "had been corrected before" when he was expelled from the Lloyd George Coalition Government for supporting the Stockholm Peace Conference. Mr. MacDonald expressed great indignation for the treatment of Mr. Henderson at that time. Mr. Henderson has not on this occasion disclosed his feelings The Labour Government has again shown that it cannot work socialist miracles with capitalist elements and by capitalist methods. F SVLVIA PANKHURST.

(Continued from page 3.)

him on the Effective List

	Ships	on the	Luce	LIVE LISL.		
	AL STATE				Cruiser	s
					(includin	ıg
			Battle	Battle	Light	
		S	hips.	Cruisers.	Cruise	s)
British	Empire		18	4	48	
	and there		9	Nil	16	
Italy	30 M		7	Nil	15	
	Des	stroyers	(inclu	iding		
		Flotilla	leade	rs) Torpe	do Boat	s.
British			201	64192-68	Nil	
			56		11	
			63		71	
	Rates (Parts	10 11 2 C 14		0.1		

Cruisers and Light Cruisers.

British Empire U.S.A. 29 lapan 16

Apparently the Labour Government need ave no immediate alarm lest the British Navy disappear through wastage

Increase in Mediterranean Fleet.

Mr. Ayles (Lab.) asked whether the increase in the Mediterranean Fleet indicates a change of policy on the part of the Government. Ammon said no.

No Commercial Shipbuilding for Royal Dockyards !

Major Hore-Belisha asked whether, in view of the decreased employment in the Royal dockyards that must follow the policy of limi-tation of naval armaments and the consequent distress for the men in those dockyards, and n view of the waste of taxpavers' money from the progressive disuse of the dockyards, a Royal Commission will be appointed to report on the possibility of using the dockyards for commercial shipbuilding.

Such a suggestion might have been expected to be completely in line with the views of the Labour Government, which is supposed to be pledged to pacifism, disarmament and State

Surprisingly, however, Mr. Ammon replied on behalf of the Prime Minister : "No, sir. I have no reason for anticipating any cessation of the economical use of the principal Royal yards for necessary naval work. The possi-bilities of employing the Royal yards on commercial work were fully explored by the Colwyn Committee in 1919. The Report is contained in Command Paper 587 of 1920

German Reparations.

Mr. Ramsay MacDonald, replying to Mr. Pringle (Lib.), refused to give facilities for discussing a motion to suspend the German Reparation (Recovery) Act. "We have no intention of suspending the Act," he said.

Capitalist Competition.

The municipalities of Bradford, Glasgow and Manchester and the Metropolitan Water Board have given contracts to foreign firms to the value of nearly £1,000,000, because these firms tendered below British prices by 20 to Anglo-Persian Oil Company

The Government provided part of the capital for this concern, but Mr. Snowden said the Government will leave the management of the ompany entirely to the free discretion of the directors

School Meals in Scotland.

In June, 1922, 13,750 children were fed in Glasgow schools, in February, 1924, only 407. The reduction is due to the issue by the Lord Advocate of Circular 51. Mr. Adamson Advocate of Circular of . Mr. Adamson, Secretary for Scotland, promised to discuss the question. Mr. Nichol (Lab.) protested against school classes over 50. Mr. Adamson said there are few over 60, and in new schools classes over 50 will not be permitted. Mr. Nichol was not satisfied.

Cruisers or schools? Experimental Farm.

Mr. Adamson could not say whether money will be found for the experimental farm desired by the Fife Education Committee. Scientific agriculture or cruisers

Agricultural Wages.

The Government has not decided whether the Agricultural Wages Boards shall be set up in Scotland. Taxation, 1923-4.

I underformy 1000 II				
In sterling, at par, per head,	per	ani	num	2
the later is all a little in the	£	s.	d.	
United Kingdom	15	18	0	
France	6	18	2	
U.S.A. (Federal only)	6	14	10	
Italy	3	6	11	
Germany (Reich only)	. 4	1	4.	
Canada (Central only)	7	19	8	
Australia :				
Commonwealth	8	1	9	
States	8	4	2	
South Africa :				
Union	3	9	11	
Provinces	0	11	9	
New Zealand	12	5	3	
Tanda Engilition Ac	+			

Trade Facilities Act. The Labour Government has introduced a Bill to continue the trade facilities introduced by its predecessors. It will pay threequarters f the interest on any loan raised in this coun-y by a Dominion or Protectorate of the Empire. The goods bought with the loan must be bought in this country. The period of application and repayment of export credits already in force is extended. Only £8,500,000 of the $\pounds 26,000,000$ already set apart for the credits has yet been taken up. To the trade facilities guarantees already set apart for this country another £15,000,000 is added.

This is all capitalist legislation. The Tory-Liberal Coalition having originally introduced the scheme, it was naturally approved by them when the Labour Governmen continued it.

Farmer v. Labourer.

Mr. Buxton, the Minister for Agriculture, asked for a vote to grant loans to farmers' combinations. Mr. Royce (Lab.) protested that the labourers, many of whom are only getting an average of 15s. a week, are being overlooked.



OUR BOOKSHOP. WILLIAM MORRIS

Monopoly Useful Work and Useless Toil Signs of Change: Seven Lectures . The Life and Death of Jason Early Romances PANNEKOEK Marxism and Darwinism

SYLVIA PANKHURST Lloyd George Takes the Mask Off Rebel Ireland The Schooling of the Future Soviet Russia as I Saw It

ANATOLE FRANCE : The Red Lily

Thais Penguin Island The Crime of Sylvestre Bonnard Crainquebille The Human Tragedy, with 16 illustra-

tions by Michel Sevier . Ditto Majesty of Justice . SIR J. G. FRAZER : The Golden Bough : A Study in Magic

and Religion (12 vols.) (each) 12/ Folk Lore in the Old Testament 18 JOHN GALSWORTHY :

n Chancery	
Catterdemalion	
Saint's Progress	
Beyond	
The Country House 6/- and	
The First and the Last 6/- and	
Fraternity 6/- and	
The Patrician 6/- and	
The Island of Pharisees	
The Inn of Tranquillity	
The Man of Property 6/- and	
Awakening	
IIL ZOLA.	
Fruitfulness	
Fruth	
Abbe Mouret's Transgression	
The Fat and the Thin	
The Conquest of Plassans	
The Fortune of the Rougons	
The Dream	
The Joy of Life	
Germinal : Master and Man	
Dram-shop	
His Masterpiece	
Paris	

Work 0 GEORGE BERNARD SHAW

Cashel Byron's Profession Plays Unpleasant

Plays Pleasant

THE EVOLUTION OF MAN. By Wilh Boelsche. One of the best and simplest planations of the evolution theory ever ten. It contains many proofs of evolu discovered since Darwin wrote. Illustra with pictures showing the different forms life through which man evolved. 3s. THE EVOLUTION OF BANKKING. By Rob H. Howe, 3s.

COMMUNIST WORKERS MOVEMENT

(Anti-Parliamentary.) For particulars of membership apply retary, 152, Fleet Street, London, E.C.

COMMUNIST WORKERS' MOVEMENT WILLESDEN.

A group of the C.W.M. has been formed Mr. A. Parsons, 182, Cha Road, Willesden, is acting as Secretary Intending members should commun with him.

WHERE TO GET THE DREADNOUGHT.'

The following newsagents now stock Dreadnought

East Greenwich .- Johannes, 11, Blackw Lane. Lewisham .-. " Bob's," Lewis Grove.

Bellingham.-Stephens, Station Approac Readers are urged to encourage these new agents by their support.

A Tale of the Ruhr.

gave me Löttchen as a name, and even was not hers, it is quite a pretty one. was new to the dancing clubs, she said; seemed likely enough. She was really a darling, and here is her story. that, after twenty, one does not readily the "pasts" which are revealed at times, but I have a feeling that this one true. . . . I wish that I could picture for the shrug of her pretty shoulders, like directness of her talk.

a winter morning, in a succession of ening jerks, one of the suburban trains rs up in the Friedrickstrasse Station, throwthe packed standing passengers against other. The doors lurch open on to and clamour, and the struggle between leaving and entering begins. For a few ents all is confusion; then the streams rate, the worn-out but still punctual train ders, pitches, with an immense effort, es on again, and the flood of workers grimly towards the stairways and the t; the youngest takes the lead and my hen trips first through the barrier. That do for the opening scene; that is a connt way to catch up her story

was early, she realised : there was no to hurry; so she took the longer route It was also the more amusing, office. there were shops that way, though some still shuttered

was passing the bakerei now. Already was a long queue waiting on the chance ing able to buy bread. It was only four away now. She wouldn't look. months one couldn't possibly save half h: and by then it would be sold. Mein It might be sold already! She turned towards the window

was still there : and to-day in the sunlight emed more perfect than ever. Such iful fleecy stuff! Probably it was real It would feel . . . just like that. And s the very shade of grey she had always ! And how warm it for. Gott be! It would last for ever. If osing But one couldn't have every-one wanted! So presently she turned on her road and towards the office. ing Conrad would be there. He was

rly, just as Bertha was always late. f course, Herr Fischel wouldn't say any whatever she did. Naturally lly. Perhaps, really, it was because of that she wanted that grey dress so For one could do quite well without a dress if one had an overcoat. In the it was so warm that it was equal: and ne got back to one's lodgings one could bed, and then in a few mi mfortable, however cold it was. But o have anything at that price. Besides, idn't grudge Bertha what she got, for mes she did such kind things-like the when she spoke to Herr Fischel and young Conrad from a rowing. Bertha ! en wondered if Frau Fischel knew. v, Bertha never had a dress so beautiful grey one.

Bertha was early for once, and came and office soon after Löttchen arrived. "hildren," she said, " such news! Herr el is in fine mood. The office is shut for at Christmas, and we draw full money o extra week's pay as well !" ordly ! Lordly !" exclaimed Conrad, his

mmense behind spectacles. think I shall go home for Christmas, added presently, and rather defiantly, as he clock.

he clock. tchen opened a big ledger, but its pages o meaning. Home! Home for Christ-Oh!... She'd... she'd never been rom home at Christmas. But having to ne's own ling now, and having to be in, one had put away the thought of

THE WORKERS' DREADNOUGHT.

Oh, she didn't grudge Bertha her new that day we had tea together. You reme green dress . but to be going home for Christmas! Oh! how it all came back to her.

Bertha was quite a good sort, and besides she enjoyed showing her power. Still, was it fair to ask her to get favours, when one felt that what would make her able to was wrong? It wasn't quite fair. No, it wasn't. Nevertheless, when Bertha returned, Löttchen asked for her help.

She'd done it. She'd done it. Oh, wasn't that splendid? Bertha was a dear. Funny She'd done tion against the darkened houses. "Good. Certainly, I will wait for you at six o'clock, Fräulein," he said, and went out into old Fischel was a dear. Everything was per fect. One felt certain that with so much money one would be able to buy the ticket. She'c be able to go home for Christmas. Hermon or Caspar would meet her with the little hand Bertha looked quickly at her, as if she had seen her for the first time : and for so slight a Hermon cart, or perhaps with the small sleigh they drew wood on. The snow would be all crisp reason Löttchen felt all at once proud. and frozen. They'd go through the dark forest, and quite quickly they'd be home. Home! Oh, it would be splendid! An hour later the Austrian traveller who He met her at the door of the office, and while he was opening an umbrella Conrad came out and passed them with his pale lip stiff. Löttchen noticed that, and was sorry because bought gramophones and bicycle parts came in. He winked a white-lashed eye at Lotta as he passed, and later, after he had talked with Herr Fischel behind the glass partition, of it, and yet in a way glad, for the thought that there were in Berlin two persons who cared whether she was alive or not made her feel less desolate. he made excuses to dawdle near her desk. The kino was beautiful, Löttchen told me; Good-day, little girl," he-said. ' How

goes it? Very well, thank you," Löttchen answered,

and went on with her work. "Only last night I arrived."

Ninety-two gold marks on September the second when the rate was " It is colder here in Berlin than it is in Vienna: but you ladies always wear thin othes whatever the weather is. On September the second when the rate

me this evening? Thank you many times. I am engaged to-night.

Perhaps to-morrow, then, my dear Fräulei

Also then am I engaged." The Austrian, fingering his hat, cocked an eyebrow at Bertha, who smiled in a vague

way, and he passed out

And that next morning also Löttchen forgot to look at the grey dress; for she had been to the station to ask, and the ticket home would cost five hundred million marks more then she could possibly find. It wasn't to be always having new dresses made one It was silly, stupid. One wouldn't one wanted, it was too dear. Five hundred milliards!

> But when she was in the office and was asked if she had got her ticket, Löttchen nodded and bent quickly over her ledger. She wasn't going to be pitied. No! There it was, and it couldn't be altered. With a set smile she made some entries in the big book. Yet in the lunch interval she so hated to be left alone that she thought of asking Conrad if she might acompany him on his walk, but she did not do so. Instead, she wrote Christmas letters home. Hermann would be taller. He'd be taller still before she saw him again. Would their Christmas go on just the same without her? It seemed strange that things could go on just the same when one wasn't there.

In the afternoon the Austrian traveller came

You live a gay life, don't you, Fräulein?" e said presently. Löttchen laughed, genuinely Whatever makes you say that? "

tin, one had put away the thought of "You are always engaged whenever I hap-mas and all that it meant! And now pen to be here, my dear Fräulein: ever since



Fräulein, will you come to the kino with

Löttchen remembered.

"To-night, for example. What are you doing that is so important? "

But when he was gone she was sorry, for to hear the others chatting together made her feel more lonely than before. A kino! only been to one since she came to the city It would have made her forget.

She wouldn't give in : she'd smile. And as she did so the Austrian turned, and in a flash she knew from the glint of his glasses on the windows that he had been watching her reflec-

indeed, she described the whole programme. She had the child's eye for the irrelevant details upon which conviction depends. After that the Austrian took her to a cabaret, where they had supper, and where there were dancers and singers of comic songs. Löttchen had never seen anything like it. He gave her wine, and then that first cognac. She drank a second. They warmed her. For a moment she remembered about Christmas, and then quickly she forgot it. He took her hand, but she did not nd. He was nicer than he had been in the office : he knew the tunes and hummed them, and he told her all sorts of funny stories about the dancers. He had inside information of everything. He asked her about herself and her home. She told him little, and put a brave face on what she told. One had to earn one's living. Everyone was poorer than they used to be. Everyone had to do something nowadays. If one wanted to do any good one had to be in Berlin. It would be nicer to be nearer home. Oh yes, of course, it would : but one office. It was warm: it wasn't far from the station; Conrad and Bertha were nice: the Herr Direktor was kind.

There was an interval, and the audience began to fox-trot in a cleared space between the tables. Löttchen did not know that kind of step, but the Austrian led cleverly, and she gained confidence. She was sorry rformance began again and they took their seats.

My kitten, what do you want most in the world? " the Austrian asked at her side. What did she want most? She drew back quickly from her vision. What did she want

most? Ha! One wants lots of things. Everyone does,

I suppose. "Of course."

But presently he returned to the same theme. For himself he would like to stay in grand hotels and gamble in kasinos: he would like to go to Italy Yes," Löttchen answered vaguely.

It was good, he went on, to know just what one wanted: for it was by wanting things enough that one got them. Löttchen watched him twirl his wine-glass. That was silly, all him twirl his wine-glass. That was siny, and that. As if by wanting it she could go home. As if one could want anything more. "Now, if it were something like a hat you'd seen. . . . Well, one never knows."

Didn't she take any interest in clothes and

THE WORKERS' DREADNOUGHT

GEORGE ALLEN AND UNWIN, Ltd., Cr. 8vo. 6s., post free 6s. 6d.

HAPPY INDIA

as it might be if guided by Modern Science.

by Arnold Lupton, Civil Engineer, 7, Victoria Street, London, S.W. 1.

He says :----" My object in visiting India was to dis-cover the real material condition of the people. My object in writing this book was to give

such things? he asked presently. " Oh yes There wasn't one particular hat she wanted? No, not one particular one."

On the stage a comedian was pretending to hold a telephone conversation and was using his pipe as the instrument. How did people think of such funny things? Or even a frock? Wasn't there a frock

which she wanted badly. Perhaps if one wanted it enough one might get it. Life wasn't like that Löttchen knew. One wanted a thing or one didn't: one got it or one didn't. There wasn't any connection between the two. Hadn't she seen any frock that she wanted? Yes. Oh yes. There was one she passed every day on her way to work. It was grey. Beautiful! Beautiful! Was it very expensive? Oh, terrible.

The Austrian had taken her hand: he was whispering again. All at once he made a definite suggestion, and Löttchen at last understood what all this talk of the grey dress had meant. There was no doubt about his inten-tions. Some men, the bad ones, were like that, she knew. She ought to get up and go: but getting up would make a scene, and she was too self-conscious to do that. One could pre-tend not to have understood. She said something evasive.

" And then? "

Her consciousness returned from some remote distance.

distance. "And then? Oh, my story is just like any-one else's I expect. Have you a home? Yes? And people you love? Yes? Then you will understand how I felt when I thought I might never see them again. But I said to myself: "What the Austrian wants is a sin; I will never doi:t." Becides even if it had not been a sin

'What the Austrian wants is a sin; I will never do it.' Besides, even if it had not been a sin it was horrible, for I am not . . . like that. "And then the lady in white came on to the stage again, and she sang a very sad song, and I thought of how I was all alone in this Berlin; and presently I began to cry. I knew that I ought to get up and go, but I had not the courage to do it. And the Austrian says he will give me 450 milliards; and that is almost enough to buy the ticket. Never, I almost enough to buy the ticket. Never, I say. But though I meant it, I prayed he should not offer 500 milliards, for I thought that I not oner our initiatus, for i hought that i might never see my home again, and I was growing afraid of what I might do. And all at once I remembered the path across the meadows that leads to the river. And when he said he would give me 500 milliards I did not answer, only I cried. And so he led me out. I had my hand to my eyes, and I did not even see where he took me. . . . That is

everyone who reads it an uncontrovertible statement of facts founded on the Government Statistics and the writings of Statesmen, Indian and English, of Professors of Agriculindian and English, of Professors of Agricul-ture, and of others, English and Indian, who have lived many years in India. The men of knowledge and Indian experience are in sub-stantial agreement as to the facts which I have recorded. And the conclusions I have drawn follow inevitably from the facts."

EXTRACTS FROM REVIEWS.

Court Journal.

"There is no doubt that Mr. Arnold Lupton proves his case by chapter and verse, and his book is worthy of the serious consideration of all."

Statist.

-

" Mr. Lupton's book is well worthy of perusal by all who have the interests and welfare of India at heart."

Birmingham Post.

"The book bristles with figures, for Mr. Lupton makes no proposal that he does not support with calculations of cost and return. He has digested masses of official information, analysed volumes of statistics, and has produced a book that ought to be read by every Member of Parliament."

" That is all? And afterwards? "Afterwards I thought : ' How shall I ever look at my father again, or at my mother?' and it comes to me that I must tell them, and then that it would hurt them too much, and that I must not. Even when I went to the that I must not. Even when I went to the station to take the train I had not decided which I must do. Yet in the end it would not have mattered whichever I had determined to do for when I went to buy the ticket I found that the price had been raised again, unex-pectedly, that morning, and like that I had not money enough—and so, after all, I could not go.

That was what Löttchen told me at the nacht lokal.

Extract from " Defeat," by Geoffrey Moss (Constable).

DREADNOUGHT £1,000 FUND.

Brought forward, £198 5s. 3d. A. Carford, 31s.; Collection, Hamilton Hall, 15s. 1d.; A. Daniele, 3s. 6d.; Anon. 1. Total for week Daniels, 3s. 6d.; Anon., 1s. Tota £2 10s. 7d. Total, £200 15s. 10d. Total for week,

A LITERATURE PITCH. Comrade Mrs. Ironside is organising a lit-erature selling pitch in Oxford Street. Com-rades willing to assist are asked to communi-cate with the "Dreadnought" office in order that we may forward their names to her.

COMMUNIST WORKERS' MOVEMENT. Meetings.

Sundays, 3 p.m., Hyde Park. N. Smyth and others.

INDOOR MEETINGS.

Sunday, March 9th, 7.30 p.m., S. London Socialist Club, 131, Newington Causeway, S.E. Discussion on "Communism." Opener, Sylvia Pankhurst.

CLERICAL WORK.

Volunteers are needed for Clerical and Or-ganising work. Comrades should write to the "Dreadnought" office.

YOUR SUBSCRIPTION

A blue mark in this space indicates that your subscription is now due

The high cost of production of the paper necessitates prompt payment. The Amrita Bazar Patrika (Calcutta) "A valuable book which we heartily welcome. The Englishman (Calcutta).

"The author is neither a faddist nor a cra . In eighteen short chapters . . , he appendent to the sentiments, but to the common-sense the Indian people. . . . He has figures of value put forward"

The Nation and the Athenæum.

"He argues that India is a country of unlimited potential wealth, crying to be drawn out. . . . If Mr. Lupton, whose book is lucid and dispassionate, can succeed in converting, say, a thousand in-fluential Indians to his idea, the history of Asia will be changed."

Justice.

"His book contains a great deal of downright good sense. . . And I now gum a label on the cover. of his 'Happy India,' and inscribe on it worth reading." The Manchester City News.

The Manchester City News. "There are Englishmen, however, great enough to have a conscience. Mr. Arnold Lupton is one of them. . . Mr. Lupton landed in India, toured the country, spoke with officials, doctors, engineers, farmers, labourers, read the multitude of Indian Government reports and statistics . . his in-formation he has collated and examined, and finally, with the aid of sound common-sense, keen insight, and good scientific knowledge, he has written one of the most remarkable books, not of the year, but of the century, a book which sets out in practical details how India can be recreated anew, at small expense, so as to be one of the happiest and most prosperous countries in the world . . ."

SPICE.

Those who think Workshop Councils too advanced a project for British workers should observe that Egyptian textile workers won a recent strike by their Workshop Councils seizing the factory.

The Labour Government respectably disclaimed any sympathy with Poplar administra tion, though the infant death rate has falle from 106 to 58 per thousand since 1918; the are four public libraries, six baths, was houses and laundries, a municipal electric undertaking paying a minimum wage of £4 week, yet with labour costs of only .06d. unit, whilst the nearest commercial undertak has a labour cost per unit of .24d. The tot expenditure, including Poor Law Relief i Poplar, according to Mr. John Scurr, is onl £6 5s. 1d., while in Kensington it is £7 18s. 90

Do YOU want to learn The Real Facts about the struggle of the **Working Class** in **Ireland** and the general happenings in that country? If so

Read the " Irish Worker."

The paper that tells the truth.

Obtainable from 17, Gardiner Place, Dublin from the "Dreadnought" office, 152, Flee Street, E.C., etc, Voluntary sellers wanred everywhere.

Dublin Office would put you in touch.

Read EIRE The Irish Natio Weekly Review of Irish Republican Opini

PRICE TWOPENC

On Sale Saturdays

THE "ONE BIG UNION BULLETIN"

The One Big Union seeks to organise the works on class lines. Read about it. 10/- per year; 5/- six months. Plebs Buildings, 54 Adelaide Street, Winnips Canada.

Published by E. Sylvia Pankhurst, at 152, FL Street, London, E.C. 4, and printed by Agenda Press, Ltd. (T.U.), at 10, Wine Off Court, London, E.C. 4.