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Report on Life Tables by the Government Actuary

A R Thatcher Esq CB
Registrar General for England and Wales Office of Population Censuses and Surveys St Catherines House
10 Kingsway
LONDON
LONDON

## Sir,

In compliance with your request, Life Tables for males and females have been constructed, based on the mortality experience of the population of England and Wales during the three years 1970, 1971 and 1972. The calculations have been based on the deaths registered in 1971 Census. I have also examined the variations in mortality according to marital condition during the ame three years.
2. The present tables form English Life Tables No. 13 and, like the previous ones, English Life Tables Nos. 8 o 12 , have been based on a period of three calendar years centred on the year in which a full census has been carried out. Study of the data disclosed no grounds for hinking that the mortality of the years 1990-72 differed materially from the general trend of mortality over the years 1966 to $1976^{1}$. There was therefore no reason to depart from the customary three-year period.

The construction of English Life Tables No. 13 3. Calculation of crude death rates. In my predecessor's report on the English Life Tables for 1960 to $1962^{2}$ he explained how the 'exposed to risk' was estimated as accurately as possible from the enumerated census population and the tabulations of registered deaths. A very similar process was used on this occasion; it is described in detail in Appendix 1. Crude he 1970-72 death exposed to risk; these figures are given in Appendix II.
4. Calculation of graduated rates of mortality. The crude rates of mortality given in Appendix II do not run moothly from age to age. In part, these irregularities may be due to misstatements of age, both in the census and when deaths are registered, though such mistatements are thought to be less important nowadays
ee The Recent Trend of Mortality in Great Britain C D Day See The Recent Trend of Mortality in Great Britain C D Daykin (Journal of the Institur
The Registrar General's Decennial Supplement, England and Wales, 1961 Life Tables.
The results of their work were published as Experiments in the Graduation of the English Life Tables (No. 13) Data, Transactions of the Faculty
of Actuaries Vol 35, p.281.
than they used to be. In part, too, they are due to the impossibility of calculating exactly the 'exposed to risk' at each age. Another cause of the irregularities is, however, random variations. So far as possible thes random variations ought to be removed in the published Life Tables, and this is the purpose of graduation. The graduated rates which, while forming a smooth progression over the whole range of ages covered, still preserves the general shape of the mortality curve.
5. For English Life Tables Nos. 11 and 12, graduated rates of mortality were obtained by a mathematical formula which combined a logistic curve with a 'Normal' curve. Not unnaturally, the first attempt at
graduating the $1970-72$ rates was to see if such a formula could be used again. The particular mathematical formula used for the 1950-52 and 1960-62 tables had been derived, not from any philosophical considerations, but empirically after study of the run of pivotal values of $m_{x}$ and, in particular, of the ratios $m_{x}+5 / m_{x}$ These pivotal values and ratios are given in Appendix III; the picture shown by the ratios was rather different from that in 1950-52 and 1960-62; and this indicated that it would be difficult to obtain a satisfactory fit with the same mathematical formula as before. Indeed, the male ratios in particular ran in such an irregular fashion as to suggest that it would be extremely difficult to fit any mathematical formula not involving more parameters than the seven required for the 1950-52 and 1960-62 formula
6. Experiments with that formula and alternative methods were carried out in the Department and also by Dr (now Professor) McCutcheon FFA and Dr Eilbeck of Heriot-Watt University who had been supplied with a copy of the data in order to undertake experiments in graduation ${ }^{3}$. The results of the work carried out in both locations was to confirm that a satisfactory fit could no be obtained with the formula as previously used, although it could be improved by adding to the number of parameters. Since there is no theoretical basis for a formula, and as there appeared now to be no particular advantage in its use, it was decided to discard it Another method which was examined was to fit a polynomial to $\log \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ over long ranges of ages by the method of orthogonal polynomials. This produced very satisfactory results over each fange, but there wha al of the Instie ther
7. The method of cubic splines is in essence a refinement of the method of osculatory interpolation devised by George King and used for English Life Details of graduation by cubic splines are given in the reference already cited. It involves the fitting of thirddegree polynomials to sections of the data, the degree polynomials to sections of the data, the
polynomials being chosen so that they and their first polynomials being chosen so that they and their first
two differential coefficients are continuous at the boundaries of each section. The method differs from King's method in three respects: first, the data at individual ages are used, and not just the pivotal values; secondly, the length of each section can be chosen to give the best results, whereas King's method used a fixed length of five years; thirdly, second, as well as the first, differences are continuous at the junctions.
8. The spline graduations cover the age range two to 95 for each sex. Appendix III shows comparisons between deaths actually recorded in 1970-72 and those between deaths actually recorded in 1970-72 and those graduated rates both at individual ages and in five-year groups. It will be seen that, though the deviations are groups. It will be seen that, though the deviations are
fairly large at some individual ages, the actual and expected deaths in each age-group are very close to one another and the accumulated deviation is always small.
9. It was then necessary to complete the graduation by obtaining rates at ages below two and over 95 . Rates at ages nought and one were obtained from the records of births and deaths in the years 1968 to 1973 rather than from the census data. At ages over 95 the graduations were completed by extrapolation, assuming that the limiting age for both males and females was 110; at these ages the census data and death registrations are

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \mathrm{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELT } 8 \\ & (1910-12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELT 9 } \\ & (1920-22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELT 10 } \\ & (1930-32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELT 11 } \\ & (1950-52) \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\text {ELT T } 12}$ <br> (1960-62) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELT 13 } \\ & (1970-72) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | . 12044 | . 08996 | . 07186 | . 03266 | . 02449 | . 01980 |
| 10 | . 01193 | . 00181 | . 00146 | . 00052 | . 00039 | . 00034 |
| 20 | . 00348 | . 00349 | . 00316 | . 00129 | . 00119 | . 00106 |
| 30 | . 00478 | . 00434 | . 00340 | . 00157 | . 00115 | . 00097 |
| 40 | . 00811 | . 00688 | . 00562 | . 00290 | . 00235 | . 00226 |
| 50 | . 01482 | . 01179 | . 01128 | . 00850 | . 00728 | . 00739 |
| 60 | . 03042 | . 02561 | . 02415 | . 02369 | . 02287 | . 02075 |
| 70 | . 06470 | . 05997 | . 06035 | . 05651 | . 05566 | . 05546 |
| 80 | . 14299 | . 14002 | . 14500 | . 13629 | . 12747 | . 12019 |
| 90 | . 27395 | . 26752 | . 28614 | . 29255 | . 25593 | . 24077 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | . 09767 | . 06942 | . 05455 | . 02510 | . 01896 | . 01523 |
| 10 | . 00196 | . 00180 | . 00134 | . 00035 | . 00024 | . 00023 |
| 20 | . 00295 | . 00306 | . 00268 | . 00083 | . 00044 | . 00045 |
| 30 | . 00411 | . 00392 | . 00319 | . 00127 | . 00075 | . 00060 |
| 40 | . 00660 | . 00532 | . 00440 | . 00227 | . 00180 | . 00160 |
| 50 | . 01140 | . 00915 | . 00816 | . 00524 | . 00439 | . 00449 |
| 60 | . 02310 | . 01897 | . 01770 | . 01271 | . 01088 | . 01025 |
| 70 | . 05259 | . 04646 | . 04451 | . 03532 | . 03104 | . 02784 |
| 80 | . 12419 | . 11766 | . 11858 | . 10466 | . 09108 | . 08014 |
| 90 | . 23826 | . 23852 | . 25061 | . 24146 | . 22128 | . 19805 |

1 am indebted to McCutcheon and Eilbeck for letting me have details of their computer output.

[^0]
## Comparison with earlier English Life Tables

10. A picture of changes in mortality over a period o 60 years can be obtained by comparing English Life Tables No. 13 with the five previous sets, Nos. 8 to 12 age for males and females given by each of these six sets of Tables, and in Table B the changes in the rates since 1911 are shown by expressing the rates from the five later tables as percentages of those from English Life Table No.8. At ages up to 70, the ratios in Table B are shown graphically in Figure A.
11. The percentages in Table B and Figure A give broad picture of the secular trend of mortality from 1911 to 1971. The figures for ages 80 and 90 should, however, be treated with some reserve. Mortality a these older ages is much more affected than at younger ages by the incidence of epidemics or severe winter weather, so that even the experience of a three-yea period may differ considerably from the general trend 80. First, the data are relatively scanty; further, examination of the data suggests that there may be some misstatement of age in extreme old age and, finally, changes in the graduation method from one table to another may tend to distort the results at these very old ages.
12. The tables show that there has been great improvement in mortality over the period since 1911 and that, at every age and for both sexes, the 1970-72 death rates, as shown by the English Life Tables No. 13, are lower than the 1910-12 rates. The percentage improvement has, however, varied considerably. Over the 60 -year period the infant mortality rate has bee reduced to one sixth of its former level for both sexes; in









Table B Rates of mortality expressed as percentages of English Life Table No. 8 rates

| Age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELT } 8 \\ & (1910-12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELT 9 } 9 \\ & (1920-22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELT 10 } \\ & (1930-32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELT 11 } \\ & (1950-52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ELT} 12 \\ & (1960-62) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELT 13 } \\ & (1970-72) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 10 | 100 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{27}^{27}$ | 20 | 16 |
| 20 | 100 | 100 | 91 | 37 | 34 | 30 |
| 30 | 100 | 91 | 71 | 33 | 24 | 20 |
| 40 | 100 | 85 | 69 | 36 | 29 | 28 |
| 50 | 100 | 80 | 76 | 57 | 49 | 50 |
| 60 | 100 | 84 | 79 | 78 | 75 | 68 |
| 70 | 100 | 93 | 93 | 87 | 86 | 86 |
| 80 | 100 | 98 | 101 | 95 | 89 | 84 |
| 90 | 100 | 98 | 104 | 107 | 93 | 88 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 100 | 71 | 56 | 26 | 19 | 16 |
| 10 20 | 100 | 92 | 68 | 18 | 12 | 12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 100 100 | 104 95 | 91 78 | 28 31 | 15 18 | 15 15 |
| 40 | 100 | 81 | 67 | 34 | 27 | 24 |
| 50 | 100 | 80 | 72 | 46 | 39 | 39 |
| 60 | 100 | 82 | 77 | 55 | 47 | 44 |
| 70 | 100 | 88 | 85 | 67 | 59 | 53 |
| 80 | 100 | 95 | 95 | 84 | 73 | 65 |
| 90 | 100 | 100 | 105 | 101 | 93 | 83 |

1910-12 out of every 100 babies born, 11 died before reaching their first birthday, but by 1970-72 the number dying had been reduced to less than two.
13. For females, a similar reduction is found at all ages up to 30 and, though the percentage improvement lessens with age thereafter, it has been at least 50 per rent until age 60 and ber it 35 . Even at age 80 , death there is evidence of improvement up to the oldest ages For males, except in infancy improvement at every age has been less than for females. Even so, mortality rates for males in 1970-72 were less than 50 per cent of the $1910-12$ rates up to age 50 and even at ages over 70 , where improvement has been slowest, death rates have fallen by about 15 per cent.
14. As was indicated in my predecessor's report on the 1960-62 Life Tables, female mortality was improving more rapidly than male mortality during the period from 1931 to 1961, although this feature had not been evident in the years 1911 to 1931. Between 1961 and 1971, the difference in pace seems largely to have disappeared and, taking all ages together, the degree of improvement for the two sexes has been broadly the same over the decade, except at ages over 70
15. The changes between 1961 and 1971 are examined in more detail in Table C, in which the 1970-72 rates at every fifth age are expressed as percentages of the 1960-62 rates. Table C also gives, for both 1960-62 and 1970-72, the ratio of male mortality rates to female rates at the same age.
16. In the previous decennium, 1951 to 1961, mortality rates improved at all ages and for both sexes, but this was not the case between 1961 and 1971, for for women small increases over the decade in the rates rates), at age 45 for men and at age 50 for both sexes. In

Table C 1970-72 rates of mortality as percentages of $1960-62$ rates $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Age } & \begin{array}{c}\text { 1970-72 as percentage } \\ \text { of } 1960-62\end{array}\end{array} \begin{aligned} & \text { Male mortality rates as } \\ & \text { percentages of female rates }\end{aligned}$

|  | Males | Females | 1960-62 | 1970-72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 81 | 80 | 129 | 130 |
| 5 | 82 | 81 | 136 | 138 |
| 10 | 87 | 96 | 162 | 148 |
| 15 | 95 | 97 | 197 | 193 |
| 20 | 89 | 102 | 270 | 236 |
| 25 | 89 | 83 | 183 | 196 |
| 30 | 84 | 80 | 153 | 162 |
| 35 | 86 | 82 | 136 | 144 |
| 40 | 96 | 89 | 131 | 141 |
| 45 | 104 | 99 | 140 | 148 |
| 50 | 102 | 102 | 166 | 165 |
| 55 | 94 | 99 | 195 | 185 |
| 60 | 91 | 94 | 210 | 202 |
| 65 | 95 | 91 | 202 | 210 |
| 70 | 100 | 90 | 179 | 199 |
| 75 | 98 | 89 | 157 | 173 |
| 80 | 94 | 88 | 140 | 150 |
| 85 | 93 | 88 | 127 | 134 |
| 90 | 94 | 90 | 116 | 122 |

general, however, improvement continued between 196 and 1971, though at most ages it was less rapid than in the previous decade. The greatest improvement was in infant mortality where the 1970-72 rates were one fifth lower than those in 1960-62. The general pather was a decline averaging about 40 with litte change in the rates at ages 45 to 55. From age 60 onward, the experience of the two sexes tended to diverge; the improvement for men averaged less than 5 per cent, with very little improvement at all at ages 70 and 75 , whereas the improvement for women was about 10 per cent. Bearing in mind the general level of mortality rates at these older ages, this 10 per cent improvement for women represented a substantial fall in the rates; for example at age 80 , the rate has diminished from 9 per cent to 8 per cent.
17. As the final column of Table C shows clearly, male
mortality was heavier than female mortality in 1970-72 at all ages. The excess male mortality ranged from 30 per cent in infancy to 110 per cent at age 65, with an isolated peak of 136 per cent at age 20 . The mortality ates for women at ages 60 to 75 were, in general, no higher than those of men seven years younger. The maximum male: female ratio (apart from the value at ge 20 ) is at age 65 and 2.10 is the same as the 60 . in 1950-52 the maximum was 1.86 at age 60 . Men's mortality rates are now at least 50 per cent higher than hose for women of the same age at all ages from 50 to those
80.
18. Tables such as the English Life Tables represent a napshot of the mortality of the community at a particular point of time; they do not purport to show he likely experience of any particular generation. In pite of relating to a snapshot, however, expectations of ife form as convenient a measure as any other of the overall effects of changes in mortality. Table D sets out expectations of life as compiled from English Life Tables Nos. 8, 10, 11, 12 and 13.
19. Over the 60 years covered by Table $D$, the expectation of life at birth has increased by $171 / 2$ years or a boy and almost 20 years for a girl. Of this is less than one year for a boy and only $11 / 4$ years for a sirl. On the 1970-72 experience the expectation is 69 years for a boy and $75^{1 / 4}$ years for a girl. A large part of the improvement since 1911 has been due to reductions in mortality in infancy, but this is not the only cause, as is shown by the fact that the expectation of a man of 20 has increased by nearly seven years and of a woman of 20 by nearly 10 years. The greater improvement for women is very noticeable; over the 60 years the female expectation at age 60 has increased by $41 / 2$ years, but that for men of 60 by only $12 / 3$ years. It may be mentioned that the expectation of life of a woman at the state pension age of 60 was almost 20 years in 1971; for man of 65 , the male was little more than 12 years.

Table D Expectations of life ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{X}}$ )

| $\overline{\text { Age }}$ | ELT 8 <br> (1910-12) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELT 10 } \\ & (1930-32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELT 11 } \\ & (1950-52) \end{aligned}$ | ELT 12 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELT 13 } \\ & (1970-72) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 53.08 | 55.79 | 59.24 | 60.21 | 60.74 |
| 20 | 44.21 | 46.81 | 49.64 | 50.57 | 51.08 |
| 30 | 35.81 | 38.21 | 40.27 | 41.06 | 41.51 |
| 40 | 27.74 | 29.62 | 30.98 | 31.62 | 32.01 |
| 50 | 20.29 | 21.60 | 22.23 | 22.68 | 23.11 |
| 60 | 13.78 | 14.43 | 14.79 | 15.06 | 15.41 |
| 70 | 8.53 | 8.62 | 9.00 | 9.29 | 9.50 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| , | 55.35 | 62.88 | 71.54 | 74.00 | 75.25 |
| 10 | 55.91 | 58.87 | 63.87 | 65.77 | 66.71 |
| 20 | 47.10 | 49.88 | 54.17 | 55.95 | 56.89 |
| 30 | 38.54 | 41.22 | 44.68 | 46.23 | 47.13 |
| 40 | 30.30 | 32.55 | 35.32 | 36.69 | 37.52 |
| 50 | 22.51 | 24.18 | 26.34 | 27.57 | 28.41 |
| 60 | 15.48 | 16.50 | 18.07 | 19.11 | 19.98 |
|  | 9.58 | 10.02 | 10.97 | 11.78 | 12.56 |

## Mortality rates according to marital condition

20. Both the 1971 Census population and the deaths registered in 1970, 1971 and 1972 have been tabulated according to marital condition. Not all registrations of deaths record the marital condition; this information was not available for 1.1 per cent of male deaths during five-year age-group for either sex did the proportion of deaths where the marital condition was not stated exceed 3 per cent. This is an appreciable improvement on the position in 1960-62, when 16.4 per cent of male deaths in the 20-24 age-group were recorded as 'marital condition not stated'. In the analysis below of mortality according to marital condition the 'not stated' cases have been allotted rateably to the various marita conditions. It must of course be remembered that the validity of such an analysis depends on the reliability of the statements of marital condition in the census schedules and the death registers, but there is no reason to believe that misstatements are frequent enough to vitiate the tables shown below.
21. From the census population and the 1970-72 deaths, pivotal values of the mortality rates at ages 22 deaths, pivotal values of the mortality rates at ages 27,32 and so on were calculated for all men, for women and for each marital condition. Rates for men are given in Table E and those for women in Table F. No value are inserted in the tables where the number of deaths in the three years was less than 100 ; in some other group the numbers are so small that the rates given are subject

Table E 1970-72 rates of mortality per according to marital condition

| ${ }_{\text {Age }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { men } \end{aligned}$ | Single men | Married <br> men | Widowers | Divorced men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.6 |  |  |
| 27 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.7 |  |  |
| 32 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.9 |  | 2.2 |
| 37 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 1.4 |  | 3.6 |
| 42 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 5.1 | 5.6 |
| 47 | 5.3 | 8.4 | 4.8 | 9.5 | 9.8 |
| 52 | 9.1 | 13.7 | 8.4 | 14.3 | 15.6 |
| 57 | 15.2 | 20.2 | 14.3 | 22.6 | 24.3 |
| 62 | 25.5 | 32.0 | 24.0 | 36.7 | 37.2 |
| 67 | 42.2 | 47.6 | 39.9 | 56.2 | 55.3 |
| 72 | 66.1 | 70.3 | 62.4 | 79.8 | 82.6 |
| 77 | 97.3 | 102 | 90.8 | 112 | 113 |
| 82 | 139 | 140 | 128 | 154 | 146 |
| 87 | 203 | 192 | 185 | 216 |  |

Table $F$ 1970- 72 rates of mortality per thousand $\left(10^{3} Q_{1}\right.$ ) for wome according to marital condition

| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { Age }} \\ & \mathrm{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ | Single women | Married women | Widows | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Divorced } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 |  |  |
| 27 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 |  |  |
| 32 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 |  | 1.1 |
| 37 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.0 |  | 2.0 |
| 42 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 1.8 |  | 2.7 |
| 47 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| 52 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| 57 | 7.9 | 9.4 | 7.4 | 9.2 | 9.2 |
| 62 | 12.3 | 13.6 | 11.5 | 13.9 | 14.3 |
| 67 | 20.3 | 20.6 | 19.0 | 22.1 | 22.8 |
| 72 | 34.6 | 34.9 | 32.4 | 36.4 | 37.7 |
| 77 | 59.6 | 57.9 | 54.4 | 62.1 | 70.9 |
| 82 | 97.6 | 95.2 | 88.3 | 100 | 115 |
| 87 | 157 | 153 | 134 | 160 | 192 |

to considerable margins of statistical error. It should be noted that the values given for all men and all women do not agree exactly with the pivotal values given in Appendix III; the differences are due to the use of the unadjusted census population as a denominator in this section of the report, whereas in Appendix III the population used was the 'exposed to risk' (see Appendix I).
22. In the corresponding section of the report on the Life Tables for 1960-62, widowers and divorced men were treated as one category. The characteristics of the two groups are, however, likely to differ and they have been treated separately on this occasion. Similarly separate figures are now given for widows and divorced women.
23. At all ages and for both sexes, the mortality rates for married persons are lighter than those for other members of the same sex, often by a large margin. For men, mortality rates for widowers and the divorced are heavier than for bachelors. Similarly, for women, spinsters appear to have lighter rates than do widow and whole, smaller than for men. These differences between Table $\mathbf{G}$ 1970- 72 mortality rates for single, widowed and divorced as
percentages of those for married Age Men

death rates for the various marital conditions are brought out in Table G which shows the rates for the single, widowed and divorced as percentages of those for married persons.
24. Looking first at men, the mortality rates for bachelors are double or more the rates for married men at ages up to 45 . Though the excess decreases thereafter, it is still substantial, being one third at age 62 and more spinsters is, at almost all . The excess mortality of mortality of bachelors, but again at ages 27 and 32 the single women's rate is well over double that for married women; the excess has fallen to 18 per cent at age 62 and is less than 10 per cent after age 65 . These differences, particularly at the younger ages, must be in large measure due to the selective effect of marriage. There is likely to be a higher proportion with impairment of health amongst those remaining unmarried.
25. Widowers and divorced men experience even heavier mortality rates than bachelors; again, some part of the excess may be due to selection, since those who are healthy are more likely to remarry. At all ages widowers experience mortality rates at least 20 per cen higher than those for married men and the excess is o be little overall difference between the mortality widowers and divorced men.
26. The differences are again less in the case of women nd the excess of widows' mortality over that of married women is less than 20 per cent at age 67 and less than 15 per cent at higher ages. At almost all ages the excess mortality of divorced women is greater than that of widows.

## EA J Johnston

Government Actuary's Department London SW1H 9LS
October 1978

Appendix I Calculation of the 'exposed to risk' for the years 1970-72

1. The census was taken on the night of 25/26 April 1971, or 0.315 years after the beginning of 1971 . If one considers the enumerated population at age x last spread of birthdays over the year $0.315 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$ were aged between $x-1.315$ and $x-1$ on 1 January 1970 and $0.685 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$ were aged between $\mathrm{x}-1$ and $\mathrm{x}-0.315$ on that date. Ignoring mortality and migration, each person in the first group would on average have been exposed to risk in the period 1970-72 for the following periods:

> At age $x-2$ last birthday:
> 0.1575 years
(ie $1 / 2$ of 0.315 ) (ie $1 / 2$ of 0.315 )
> At age $x-1$ last birthday
> At age $x$ last birthday:
> At age $x+1$ last birthday: 1 year 0.8425 years (ie the average of durations 0.685 to 1)

This is a total of three years, as it clearly should be.
Similarly, the second group would on average have been exposed for the following periods:

| At age $\mathrm{x}-1$ last birthday: | 0.6575 years (ie the <br> average of durations <br> ranging from 1 to |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.315 ) |
| At age x last birthday: | 1 year |
| At age $\mathrm{x}+1$ last birthday: | 1 year |
| At age $\mathrm{x}+2$ last birthday: | 0.3425 years |
| (ie $1 / 2$ of 0.685 ) |  |

2. Thus the enumerated population $P_{x}$ were exposed to risk for the following periods in years

At age $x-2$ last birthday: $\quad 0.04961 \mathrm{P}$
At age $x-1$ last birthday:
At age x last birthday:
At age $\mathrm{x}+1$ last birthday:
At age $\mathrm{x}+2$ last birthday:
.04961 P
$0.315 \times 0.1575$
$0.76539 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$
$0.76539 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$
$0.315+0.68$
$\left.0.315+0.685_{1 \times 8} 0.6575\right)$
$1.00000 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ( $0.315+0.685$ ) $0.95039 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$ $(0.315 \times 0.8425+0.685)$ $0.23461 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$
$(0.685 \times 0.342$
$3.00000 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$

It follows from this that the 'exposed to risk' for 1970-72 at age $x$ last birthday, ignoring deaths and migration, is:
$0.04961 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}+2}+0.76539 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}+1}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}+$
$0.95039 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}-1}+0.23461 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}-2}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}$ say
3. $A_{x}$ is not the true 'exposed to risk', because it assumes that all those enumerated in the census formed part of the population for the whole of the three years 1970-72 and that no other persons contributed to the 'exposed to risk'. An addition has to be made for those who died between 1 January 1970 and the census date, but are not part of the census population; on the other hand, a deduction has to be made for those enumerated at the census but who died before the end of 1972 and therefore did not contribute to the 'exposed to risk' for the full three years.
4. The method of adjusting for the deaths in the period 1970-12 may be illustrated by reference to the deaths in 1970. Of the deaths in that year at age $x$ las birthday, some were aged $x-1$ and some were aged $x$ last birthday on 1 January 1970. Of deaths at time years after the beginning of the year (when $t$ is less than 1), the proportion aged $x$ last birthday on 1 January may be taken as $1-\mathrm{t}$ and for each such death the last birthday. Similarly, the proportion aged $x-1$ en January would be $t$, the ages at that date ranging from $x-t$ to $x$; thus, of the additional years of exposure for such deaths, $\frac{1}{2}$, on average, relates to age $x-1$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ t to age x . The additional exposure per death at age x last birthday in 1970 is therefore:

## At age $\mathrm{x}-1: \quad \int_{0^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dt}}=\frac{1}{6}$ years

At age $\mathrm{x}: \quad \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{t}(1-\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}+\int_{0}^{1 \frac{1}{2} t^{2}} \mathrm{dt}=\frac{1}{3}$ years
Thus if the deaths in 1970 at age x are $\theta_{x}^{70}$, he adjustment to the 'exposed to risk' at age x for deaths in 1970 is $\frac{1}{6} \theta_{x+1}^{70}+\frac{1}{3} \theta_{x}^{70}$
5. Similar methods applied to the deaths in other years, led to the following formula for the adjustment to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}$ to obtain the 'exposed to risk'

$$
\frac{1}{6} \theta_{x+1}^{70}+\frac{1}{3} \theta_{x}^{70}+.017 \theta_{x+2}^{712 a}+.641 \theta_{x+1}^{712 a}+\frac{1}{2} \theta_{x}^{712}-\frac{1}{2} \theta_{x}^{716}
$$

$-.764 \theta_{x-1}^{7 \mathrm{lb}}-.078 \theta_{x-2}^{7 \mathrm{lb}}-\frac{1}{3} \theta_{x}^{72}-\frac{1}{6} \theta_{x-1}^{72}$
6. In theory, there should be a further adjustment for migration, but statistics of migration were not available in enough detail for this to be done. It is believed, however, that the resulting error is relatively small.

Appendix II

Table II.1 Crude central rates of mortality ( $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}}$ ), 1970-72 England and Wales
Note: The method of Appendix I did not give the 'exposed to risk'

| $\overline{\text { Age }}$ | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposed <br> to risk <br> (1) | Deaths $1970-72$ <br> (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ & =(2) \div(1) \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | Exposed <br> to risk <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deaths } \\ & \text { 1970 } 72 \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m_{x} \\ & =(2) \div(1) \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 1191743 | 957 | . 00080 | 1132886 | 761 | . 00067 |
| 3 | 1211605 | 759 | . 00063 | 1150786 | 599 | . 00052 |
| 4 | 1232567 | 634 | . 00051 | 1169813 | 482 | . 00041 |
| 5 | 1254028 | 579 | . 00046 | 1189876 | 413 | . 00035 |
| 6 | 1261948 | 589 | . 00047 | 1198630 | 362 | . 00030 |
| 7 | 1255220 | 519 | . 00041 | 1192521 | 319 | . 00027 |
| 8 | 1236213 | 456 | . 00037 | 1173991 | 303 | . 00026 |
| 9 | 1211189 | 436 | . 00036 | 1147993 | 288 | . 00025 |
| 10 | 1180077 | 396 | . 00034 | 1116294 | 266 | . 00024 |
| 11 | 1149807 | 384 | . 00033 | 1086925 | 217 | . 00020 |
| 12 | 1122522 <br> 1099146 | 368 411 | $\begin{array}{r} .00033 \\ .00037 \end{array}$ | $1061235$ $1038203$ | $\begin{aligned} & 240 \\ & 225 \\ & 225 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .00023 \\ & .00022 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 1099146 \\ & 1073505 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 411 \\ & 473 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .00037 \\ & .00044 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1038203 \\ & 1012107 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 225 \\ & 239 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .00022 \\ & .00024 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | 1046519 | 536 | . 00051 | 985408 | 281 | . 00029 |
| 16 | 1031388 | 837 | . 00081 | 972530 | 351 | . 000336 |
| 17 | 1021084 | 1058 | . 00104 | 969511 | 406 | . 00042 |
| 18 19 | 1014114 | 1049 <br> 1091 | .00103 . 00108 | 973756 982299 | $\begin{aligned} & 386 \\ & 435 \end{aligned}$ | . 000040 |
| 20 | 1023106 | 1093 | . 00107 | 1004524 | 478 | . 00048 |
| 21 | 1053824 | 1119 | . 00106 | 1040348 | 447 | . 00043 |
| 22 | 1107406 | 1096 | . 000999 | 1097042 | 498 | . 000045 |
| 23 | 1167428 | 1113 | . 000095 | 1156110 | 511 485 | . 00044 |
| 24 | 1152600 | 943 | . 00082 |  |  | . 00043 |
| 25 | 1098575 | 956 | . 00087 | 1083853 | 492 | . 000045 |
| 26 | 1023420 | 935 | . 00091 | 1006104 | 477 | . 00047 |
| 27 | ${ }_{9}^{9967636}$ | 993 828 | .00092 .00087 | $\begin{aligned} & 975650 \\ & 930862 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 464 \\ & 472 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .00048 \\ & .00051 \end{aligned}$ |
| 28 29 | 953636 901666 | $\begin{aligned} & 828 \\ & 808 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .00087 \\ & .00090 \end{aligned}$ | 930862 877499 | $\begin{aligned} & 472 \\ & 510 \end{aligned}$ | . 000051 |
| 30 | 872172 | 864 | . 00099 | 846834 | 520 | . 00061 |
| 31 | 873149 | 927 | . 0106 | 845802 | 517 | . 00061 |
| 32 | 885631 | 961 | . 00109 | 856468 | 615 | . 00072 |
| 33 | 884387 | 989 | . 00112 | 854016 | ${ }_{6} 678$ | . 000079 |
| 34 | 875516 | 1057 | . 00121 | 845984 | 697 | . 00082 |
| 35 | 862662 | 1207 | . 00140 | 835646 | 742 | . 00089 |
| 36 | ${ }^{846631}$ | 1296 | . 00153 | ${ }_{818561}^{82363}$ | 885 | . 000104 |
| 37 38 | ${ }_{842115}^{83613}$ | 1336 1437 | . 000159 | ${ }_{824567}^{81851}$ | 970 1053 | . 0001198 |
| 39 | 859650 | 1693 | . 00197 | 846323 | 1199 | . 00142 |
| 40 | 872204 | 1961 | . 00225 | 865798 | 1422 | . 00164 |
| 41 | 876636 | 2223 | . 00254 | 876944 | 1572 | . 000179 |
| 42 | 873230 | 2573 | . 002935 |  | 1738 | . 000228 |
| 43 44 | 879039 891111 | 2915 3270 | . 0003367 | 880245 891520 | 2007 2199 | . 0022247 |
| 45 | 903355 | 3829 | . 00424 | 907287 | 2535 | .0027y |
| 46 | 910739 | 4287 | . 00471 | 920946 | 2817 | . 00306 |
| 47 | 917556 | 4765 | . 00519 | 936324 | 3305 | . 00353 |
| 48 | ${ }_{9}^{941929}$ | 5513 | . 00585 | 968595 | ${ }_{4}^{3682}$ | . 003880 |
| 49 | 977703 |  | . 00673 | 1011647 | 4194 | . 00415 |


| $\overline{\mathrm{Age}}$ | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposed <br> to risk <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deaths } \\ & \text { 1970-72 } \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}=(2) \div(1) \\ & (3) \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | Exposed to risk <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deaths } \\ & \text { 1970-72 } \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\prime} \\ & =(2) \div(1) \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ |
| 50 | 998894 | 7552 | . 00756 | 1038147 | 4693 | . 00452 |
| 51 | 931794 | 7585 | . 00814 | 975493 | 4806 | . 00493 |
| 52 | 823841 | 7492 | . 00909 | 867867 | 4756 | . 00548 |
| 53 | 751477 | 7618 | . 01014 | 796402 | 4498 | . 00565 |
| 54 | 763587 | 8821 | . 01155 | 809995 | 4966 | . 00613 |
| 55 | 822503 | 10480 | . 01274 | 876186 | 5877 | . 00671 |
| 56 | 858503 | 11840 | . 01379 | 919781 | 6606 | . 00718 |
| 57 | 874768 | 13338 | . 01525 | 942453 | 7649 | . 00812 |
| 58 | 864908 | 14641 | . 01693 | 934924 | 8192 | . 00876 |
| 59 | 848975 | 16211 | . 01909 | 927439 | 8740 | . 00942 |
| 60 | 834709 | 17508 | . 02097 | 922363 | 9697 | . 01051 |
| 61 | 822865 | 19079 | . 02319 | 921792 | 10181 | . 01104 |
| 62 | 804962 | 20813 | . 02586 | 911167 | 11301 | . 01240 |
| 63 | 780114 | 22031 | . 02824 | 895554 | 12293 | . 01373 |
| 64 | 747831 | 24059 | . 03217 | 874284 | 13131 | . 01502 |
| 65 | 714359 | 25415 | . 03558 | 854817 | 14116 | . 01651 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{6447123} 6$ | 26173 | . 03852 | 833950 | 14867 | . 01783 |
| 68 | 604672 | ${ }_{28336}$ | . 044686 | 8179349 | 16726 | . 0202051 |
| 69 | 563082 | 29479 | . 052385 | 754709 | 17697 19135 | . 0222731 |
| 70 | 516090 | 29672 | . 05749 | 727181 | 20600 | . 02833 |
| 71 | 465134 | 28815 | . 06195 | 695145 | 21537 | . 03098 |
| 72 73 | 413421 36934 | 28110 | . 06799 | 651727 | 23213 | . 03562 |
| 74 | 332709 | 26516 | . 0797970 | 612499 57970 | $\begin{aligned} & 24167 \\ & 25393 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .03946 \\ & .04393 \end{aligned}$ |
| 75 | 302104 | 26168 | . 08662 | 544906 | 26713 | . 04902 |
| 76 | 272462 | 25563 | . 093882 | 506871 | 27864 | . 05497 |
| 78 | ${ }_{224644}^{2426}$ | ${ }_{24238}^{24888}$ | .10078 .1049 | 468881 430226 | 28281 | . 060472 |
| 79 | 196772 | 23357 | . 11870 | 395125 | ${ }_{29968}$ | . 077884 |
| 80 | 172956 | 22117 | . 12788 | 360980 | 30396 | . 08420 |
| 81 | 151378 | 20380 | . 13463 | 330701 | 29980 | . 09066 |
| 82 | 130567 | 19538 | . 14964 | 297591 | 30437 | . 10228 |
| 83 | 110226 | 17569 | . 15939 | 262874 | 29742 | . 11314 |
| 84 | 91688 | 16323 | . 17803 | 226578 | 28373 | . 12522 |
| 85 | 75619 | 14582 | . 19284 | 194300 | 27003 | 13898 |
| 86 | ${ }_{6}^{61705}$ | 12698 | . 20579 | 164403 | 25703 | . 15634 |
| 87 | 49933 | ${ }^{11097}$ | . 222439 | 136853 | 22779 | :16645 |
| 88 89 | 38927 30542 | 9263 7833 | $\begin{aligned} & .23796 \\ & .25647 \end{aligned}$ | 111988 91129 | 20657 <br> 18234 | .18446 .20009 |
| 90 | 23318 | 6275 | . 26911 | 72412 | 15684 | . 21659 |
| 91 | 17175 | 4982 | . 29007 | 54986 | 12945 | . 23542 |
| 92 | 11966 | 3868 | . 32325 | 39973 | 10795 | . 27006 |
| 93 | 8328 | 2764 | . 33189 | 28842 | 8498 | . 29464 |
| 94 | 5734 | 2166 | . 37775 | 20919 | 6462 | . 30891 |
| 95 | 3857 | 1467 | . 38035 | 14926 | 4965 | . 33264 |
| 96 | 2541 | 996 | . 39197 | 10166 | 3558 | . 34999 |
| 97 | 1638 | 625 | . 38156 | 6816 | 2331 | . 34199 |
| 98 99 | 1116 | 424 | .37993 .31518 | 4687 3283 | 1652 | . 35246 |
| 100 |  |  | . 31518 | 3283 | 1017 | . 30978 |
| and over | 1487 | 283 | . 19032 | 6019 | 1597 | . 26533 |


| $\overline{\mathrm{Age}}$ | 1960-62 |  | 1970-72 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio of } \\ & \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}(1970-72) \\ & \text { to } 1960-62 \\ & \text { rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Age } \\ & \mathrm{x} \end{aligned}$ | 1960-62 |  | 1970-72 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio of } \\ & \mathbf{m}_{\times}(1970-72) \\ & \text { to } 1960-62 \end{aligned}$rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pivotal value of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\frac{m_{x+5}}{m_{x}}$ | Pivotal value of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\frac{m_{x+5}}{m_{x}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pivotal } \\ & \text { value of } \\ & \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{m_{x}+s}{m_{x}}$ | Pivotal value of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\frac{m_{x+5}}{m_{x}}$ |  |
| $\overline{\text { Males }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\overline{\text { Females }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | . 00099 | 1.21 | . 00088 | 1.22 | 0.89 | 27 | . 00060 | 1.45 | . 00049 | 1.44 | 0.82 |
| 32 | . 00120 | 1.54 | . 00108 | 1.49 | 0.90 | 32 | . 00087 | 1.55 | . 00070 | 1.62 | 0.80 |
| 37 | . 00185 | 1.59 | . 00161 | 1.80 | 0.87 | 37 | . 00135 | 1.59 | . 00114 | 1.76 | 0.84 |
| 42 | . 00295 | 1.76 | . 00290 | 1.84 | 0.98 | 42 | . 00214 | 1.60 | . 00201 | 1.73 | 0.94 |
| 47 | . 00520 | 1.76 | . 00532 | 1.70 | 1.02 | 47 | . 00344 | 1.55 | . 00347 | 1.51 | 1.01 |
| 52 | . 00914 | 1.84 | . 00996 | 1.71 | 0.99 | 52 | . 00530 | 1.53 | . 00525 | 1.52 | 0.99 |
| 57 | . 01679 | 1.69 | . 01545 | 1.67 | 0.92 | 57 | . 00812 | 1.67 | . 00799 | 1.55 | 0.98 |
| 62 | . 02833 | 1.56 | . 02584 | 1.66 | 0.91 | 62 | . 01356 | 1.66 | . 01241 | 1.64 | 0.92 |
| 67 | . 04406 | 1.54 | . 04290 | 1.58 | 0.97 | 67 | . 02253 | 1.75 | . 02035 | 1.73 | 0.90 |
| 72 | . 06804 | 1.55 | . 06760 | 1.50 | 0.99 | 72 | . 03932 | 1.75 | . 03520 | 1.73 | 0.90 |
| 77 | . 10516 | 1.52 | . 10149 | 1.46 | 0.97 | 77 | . 06889 | 1.71 | . 06092 | 1.68 | 0.88 |
| 82 | . 15963 | 1.51 | . 14844 | 1.50 | 0.93 | 82 | . 11767 | 1.62 | . 1028 | 1.65 | 0.87 |
| 87 | . 24091 | 1.45 | . 22295 | 1.41 | 0.93 | 87 | . 19103 | 1.55 | . 16803 | 1.56 | 0.88 |

Table III. 2 Comparison of actual and expected deaths

| $\overline{\text { Age }}$ | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actua deaths 1970-72 (A) | Expected deaths using graduated m (E) | A-E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { deaths } \\ & 1970-72 \\ & \text { (A) } \end{aligned}$ | Expected deaths using graduated $\mathrm{m}_{x}$ (E) | A-E |
| 2 | 957 | 963 | - 6 | 761 | 759 | ${ }^{2}$ |
| 4 | 759 634 | 754 640 | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ -\quad 6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 599 \\ & 482 \end{aligned}$ | 600 485 | $-\quad 1$ $-\quad 3$ |
| 5 | 579 | 587 | - 8 | 413 | 408 | 5 |
| 6 | 589 | 555 | 34 | 362 | 360 |  |
| 7 | 519 | 519 | 0 | 319 | 329 | - 10 |
| 8 | 456 | 478 | - 22 | 303 | 305 | - 2 |
| 9 | 436 | 439 |  | 288 | 280 | 8 |
| 10 | 396 | 402 | 6 | 266 | 255 | 11 |
| 11 | 384 | 375 | 9 | ${ }_{2} 217$ | ${ }^{236}$ | - 19 |
| 12 | 368 | 371 | - 3 | 240 | 226 | 14 |
| 13 | 411 | 399 | 12 | ${ }^{225}$ | ${ }_{2}^{229}$ | - 4 |
| 14 | 473 | 466 | 7 | 239 | 249 | - 10 |
| 15 | 536 | 585 |  | 281 | 287 |  |
| 16 | 837 | 777 | 60 | 351 | 336 <br> 383 |  |
| 17 | 1058 | 1058 | 0 $-\quad 47$ | 406 | 383 418 | - $\begin{array}{r}23 \\ -\quad 32 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 18 | 1049 | 1096 1097 | - 47 | 386 435 | 440 | [ 5 |
|  | 1091 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1093 | 1088 | 5 | 478 | 455 | 23 |
| 21 | 1119 | 1080 | 39 | 447 | 468 | - 21 |
| 22 | 1096 | 1085 | 11 | 498 | 485 | 13 |
| 23 | 1113 | 1096 | 17 | 511 | 504 500 | - 15 |
| 24 | 943 | 1043 | - 100 | 485 | 500 | - 15 |
| 25 | 956 | 967 |  | 492 | 486 | ${ }_{8} 8$ |
| 26 | 935 | 889 | 46 | 477 | 469 |  |
| 27 | 913 828 | 869 850 | $\begin{array}{r}44 \\ -\quad 22 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 464 472 | 478 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { - } 14 \\ -\quad 13 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| ${ }_{29}^{28}$ | 828 808 | ${ }_{835}^{850}$ |  | 510 | 489 | 21 |
| 30 | 864 | 847 | 17 | 520 | 507 | 13 |
| 31 | 927 | 897 | 30 | 517 | 547 | - 30 |
| 32 | 961 | 964 |  | 615 | ${ }_{6} 60$ | 14 |
| 33 | 989 | 1025 | - 36 | 678 | 654 | $\begin{array}{r}24 \\ 15 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 34 | 1057 | 1086 | - 29 | 697 | 712 | - 15 |


| Table III. 2 continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { Age }} \\ & \mathrm{x} \end{aligned}$ | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { deaths } \\ & 1970-72 \\ & \text { (A) } \end{aligned}$ <br> (A) | Expected deaths using graduated $m_{x}$ (E) | A-E | Actual deaths 1970-72 <br> (A) | Expected deaths using graduated $\mathbf{m}_{x}^{1}$ (E) | A-E |
| 35 36 37 38 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 1207 \\ & 1296 \\ & 1336 \\ & 1437 \\ & 1693 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1155 \\ & 1238 \\ & 1351 \\ & 1590 \\ & 1729 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \\ 58 \\ -\quad 15 \\ -\quad 72 \\ -\quad 33 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 742 \\ 853 \\ 970 \\ 1053 \\ 1199 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 776 \\ 848 \\ 937 \\ 1074 \\ 1254 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \quad 34 \\ -\quad 5 \\ -\quad 33 \\ -\quad 1 \\ -\quad 11 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 41 \\ & 42 \\ & 43 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1961 \\ & 2223 \\ & 2573 \\ & 2915 \\ & 3270 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ -\quad 14 \\ -\quad 53 \\ -\quad 44 \\ -\quad 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1422 \\ & 1572 \\ & 1738 \\ & 2007 \\ & 2199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1388 \\ & 1578 \\ & 1768 \\ & 1992 \\ & 2254 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ -\quad 6 \\ -\quad 30 \\ -\quad 15 \\ -\quad 55 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 46 \\ & 47 \\ & 48 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3829 \\ & 4287 \\ & 4765 \\ & 5513 \\ & 6583 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3767 \\ & 4280 \\ & 4850 \\ & \hline 5586 \\ & 6491 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ -\quad 7 \\ -\quad 75 \\ -\quad 93 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2535 \\ & 2817 \\ & 3305 \\ & 3682 \\ & 4194 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2553 \\ & 2871 \\ & 3219 \\ & 3655 \\ & \hline 6175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ -\quad 54 \\ -\quad 86 \\ \quad 27 \\ 19 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 51 \\ & 52 \\ & 53 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7555 \\ & 7585 \\ & 7492 \\ & 7618 \\ & 8821 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7408 \\ & 7703 \\ & 7575 \\ & 7674 \\ & \hline 6651 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 144 \\ -118 \\ -\quad 83 \\ -\quad 56 \\ -170 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4693 \\ & 4806 \\ & 4756 \\ & 4498 \\ & 4996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4672 \\ & 4778 \\ & 478 \\ & 4618 \\ & 4598 \\ & 5069 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 28 \\ -138 \\ -100 \\ -103 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 56 \\ & 57 \\ & 58 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10480 \\ & 11840 \\ & 13338 \\ & 14641 \\ & 16211 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10331 \\ & 11948 \\ & 13483 \\ & 14764 \\ & 16056 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 149 \\ -108 \\ -145 \\ -123 \\ -155 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5877 \\ & 68069 \\ & \hline 684929 \\ & 87740 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5943 \\ & 6766 \\ & 7526 \\ & 8116 \\ & 8765 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -\quad 66 \\ -\quad 160 \\ 123 \\ -\quad 76 \\ -\quad 25 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 61 \\ & 62 \\ & 63 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17508 \\ & 19079 \\ & 20813 \\ & 22031 \\ & 24059 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17499 \\ & 19130 \\ & 20759 \\ & 22314 \\ & 23716 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ -\quad 51 \\ -\quad 54 \\ -\quad 343 \\ \hline 34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9697 \\ 10181 \\ 11301 \\ 12293 \\ 13131 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9504 \\ 10381 \\ 11247 \\ 12154 \\ 13084 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 193 \\ -\quad 200 \\ 54 \\ 139 \\ 47 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 66 \\ & 67 \\ & 68 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25415 \\ & 26173 \\ & 27485 \\ & 28336 \\ & 29479 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25098 \\ & 2649 \\ & 27679 \\ & 28646 \\ & 29320 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 317 \\ -\quad 246 \\ -\quad 194 \\ -310 \\ -159 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14116 \\ & 1487 \\ & 16726 \\ & 17967 \\ & 19135 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14146 \\ & 15296 \\ & 16505 \\ & 17676 \\ & 19084 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -30 \\ -\quad 429 \\ 221 \\ 21 \\ 51 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 71 \\ & 72 \\ & 73 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29672 \\ & 28815 \\ & 28110 \\ & 27167 \\ & 26516 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29436 \\ & 28955 \\ & 27998 \\ & 27142 \\ & 26507 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 236 \\ -140 \\ -112 \\ 25 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20600 \\ & 21537 \\ & 23213 \\ & 24167 \\ & 25393 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20525 \\ & 21916 \\ & 22956 \\ & 24099 \\ & 25397 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ -\quad 379 \\ 257 \\ -\quad 68 \\ -\quad 4 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 76 \\ & 77 \\ & 78 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26168 \\ & 25653 \\ & 24858 \\ & 2423 \\ & 23357 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26061 \\ & 25434 \\ & 24908 \\ & 24170 \\ & 23261 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107 \\ 129 \\ -\quad 50 \\ 53 \\ 96 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26713 \\ & 27864 \\ & 28281 \\ & 29101 \\ & 29968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26720 \\ & 27711 \\ & 28497 \\ & 29129 \\ & 29719 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ -\quad 153 \\ -216 \\ -\quad 28 \\ \hline 249 \end{array}$ |
| 80 81 82 83 84 84 | $\begin{aligned} & 22117 \\ & 20380 \\ & 19538 \\ & 17569 \\ & 16323 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22127 \\ & 20954 \\ & 19573 \\ & 17882 \\ & 16088 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ -\quad 584 \\ -\quad 35 \\ -313 \\ -235 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30396 \\ & 2990 \\ & 30437 \\ & 29742 \\ & 28373 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30131 \\ & 30605 \\ & 30506 \\ & 29818 \\ & 28406 \end{aligned}$ | 265 $-\quad 625$ $-\quad 69$ $-\quad 76$ $-\quad 33$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 85 \\ & 86 \\ & 87 \\ & 88 \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14582 \\ 12698 \\ 11097 \\ 9263 \\ 7833 \end{array}$ | 14340 <br> 12635 <br> 10940 <br> 9262 7819 | $\begin{array}{r} 242 \\ 63 \\ 157 \\ 1 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27003 \\ & 250703 \\ & 22779 \\ & 20657 \\ & 18234 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26885 \\ & 25064 \\ & 22945 \\ & 20608 \\ & 18368 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118 \\ 639 \\ -166 \\ -\quad 49 \\ -\quad 134 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 91 \\ & 92 \\ & 93 \\ & 94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6275 \\ & \hline 982 \\ & \hline 986 \\ & 2864 \\ & 2764 \\ & \\ & \hline 166 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6414 \\ & 5069 \\ & 3784 \\ & 2817 \\ & 2072 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139 \\ -\quad 87 \\ -\quad 84 \\ -\quad 53 \\ -\quad 94 \end{array}$ | 15684 <br> 12945 <br> 10795 8498 6462 | 15955 <br> 13226 <br> 10487 8248 <br> 8248 6519 | $\begin{array}{r} -\quad 271 \\ -\quad 281 \\ -\quad 308 \\ -\quad 57 \end{array}$ |
| 95 | 1467 | 1486 | - 19 | 4965 | 5067 | - 102 |


| $\overline{\text { Age- }} \begin{aligned} & \text { group } \end{aligned}$ | Actual deaths 1970-72 <br> (A) | Expected deaths on basis of graduated (E) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deviation } \\ & \text { A-E } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Accumulated } \\ & \text { deviation } \\ & \sum(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | + | - | + | - |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-9 | 2579 | 2578 | 1 |  | I |  |
| 10-14 | 2032 | 2013 | 19 |  | 20 |  |
| 15-19 | 4571 | 4613 |  | 42 |  | 22 |
| 20-24 | 5364 | 5392 |  | 28 |  | 50 |
| 25-29 | 4440 | 4410 | 30 |  |  | 20 |
| 30-34 | 4798 | 4819 |  | 21 |  | 41 |
| 35-39 | 6969 | 6980 |  | 11 |  | 52 |
| 40-44 | 12942 | 12890 | 52 |  | 0 |  |
| 45-49 | 24977 | 24974 | 3 |  | 3 |  |
| 50-54 | 39068 | 39011 | 57 |  | 60 |  |
| 55-59 | 66510 | 66582 |  | 72 |  | 12 |
| 60-64 | 103490 | 103418 | 72 |  | 60 |  |
| 65-69 | 136888 | 137162 |  | 274 |  | 214 |
| 70.74 | 140280 | 140038 | 242 |  | 28 |  |
| 75-79 | 124169 | 123834 | 335 |  | 363 |  |
| 80-84 | ${ }_{5}^{95927}$ | 96634 |  | 707 |  | 344 |
| -85-89 | 55473 20055 | $\begin{aligned} & 54996 \\ & 20156 \end{aligned}$ | 477 | 101 | ${ }_{32}^{133}$ |  |
| Total | 850532 | 850500 | 32 |  |  |  |
| Femal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-9 | 1685 | 1682 | 3 |  | 3 |  |
| 10-14 | 1187 | 1195 |  | 8 |  | 10 |
| 15-19 | 1859 | 1864 |  | 5 |  | 10 |
| 20-24 | 2419 | 2412 | 7 |  |  | 3 |
| 25-29 | 2415 | 2407 | 8 |  | 5 |  |
| 30-34 | 3027 | 3021 | 6 |  | 11 |  |
| 35-39 | 4817 | 4825 |  | 8 | 3 |  |
| 40-44 | 8938 | 8980 |  | 42 |  | 39 |
| 45-49 | 16533 | 16473 | 60 |  | ${ }^{21}$ |  |
| 50-54 | 23719 | 23735 |  | 16 | 5 |  |
| 55-59 | 37064 | 37116 |  | 52 |  | 47 |
| 60.64 | 56603 | 56370 | 233 |  | 186 |  |
| 65-69 | 82541 | 82707 |  | 166 | 20 |  |
| 70-74 | 114910 | 114893 | 17 |  | 37 |  |
| 75-79 | 141927 | 141776 | 151 |  | 188 |  |
| 80-84 | 148928 | 149466 |  | 538 |  | 350 |
| 85-89 | 114376 | 113870 | 506 |  | 156 |  |
| 90-94 | 54384 | 54435 |  | 51 | 105 |  |
| Total | 817332 | 817227 | 105 |  |  |  |


| ${ }_{\text {Age }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | ${ }^{\text {e }}$ x | Age x | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | ${ }_{\text {¢ }} \times$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 98020 | 117 | . 00119 | 69.39 | 56 | 85646 | 1184 | . 01382 | 18.32 |
| 2 | 97903 | 79 | . 00081 | 68.47 | 57 | 84462 | 1292 | . 01530 | 17.56 |
| 3 | 97824 | 61 | . 00062 | 67.53 | 58 | 83170 | 1408 | . 01693 | 16.83 |
| 4 | 97763 | 51 | . 00052 | 66.57 | 59 | 81762 | 1532 | . 01874 | 16.11 |
| 5 | 97712 | 46 | . 00047 | 65.60 | 60 | 80230 | 1665 | . 02075 | 15.41 |
| 6 | 97666 | 43 | . 00044 | 64.64 | 61 | 78565 | 1805 | . 02298 | 14.72 |
| 7 | 97623 | 40 | . 00041 | 63.66 | 62 | 76760 | 1954 | . 02546 | 14.06 |
|  | 97583 | 38 | . 00039 | 62.69 | 63 | 74806 | 2110 | . 02821 | 13.41 |
| 9 | 97545 | 35 | . 00036 | 61.71 | 64 | 72696 | 2270 | . 03122 | 12.79 |
| 10 | 97510 | 33 | . 00034 | 60.74 | 65 | 70426 | 2432 | . 03453 | 12.18 |
| 11 | 97477 | 32 | . 00033 | 59.76 | 66 | 67994 | 2594 | . 03815 | 11.60 |
| 12 | 97445 | 32 | . 00033 | 58.78 | 67 | 65400 | 2752 | . 04208 | 11.04 |
| 13 | 97413 | 35 | . 00036 | 57.80 | 68 | ${ }^{62648}$ | 2900 | . 046299 | 10.50 |
| 14 | 97378 | 42 | . 00043 | 56.82 | 69 | 59748 | 3033 | . 05076 | 9.99 |
| 15 | 97336 | 55 | . 00056 | 55.84 | 70 | 56715 | 3145 | . 05546 | 9.50 |
| 16 | 97281 | 73 | . 00075 | 54.87 | 71 | 53570 | 3235 | . 06038 | 9.02 |
| 17 | 97208 | 101 | . 00104 | 53.91 | 72 | 50335 | 3297 | . 06551 | 8.57 |
| 18 | 97107 | 105 | . 00108 | 52.97 | 73 | 47038 | 3335 | . 07091 | 8.14 |
| 19 | 97002 | 106 | . 00109 | 52.02 | 74 | 43703 | 3349 | . 07662 | 7.72 |
| 20 | 96896 | 103 | . 00106 | 51.08 | 75 | 40354 | 3337 | . 08269 | 7.32 |
| 21 | 96793 | 99 | . 00102 | 50.13 | 76 | 37017 | 3301 | . 08918 | 6.93 |
| 22 | 96694 | 95 | . 00098 | 49.19 | 77 | 33716 | ${ }^{3241}$ | . 09612 | 6.56 |
| 23 | 96599 | 91 | . 00094 | 48.23 | 78 | 30475 | 3156 | . 110357 | $\stackrel{6.21}{587}$ |
| 24 | 96508 | 87 | . 00090 | 47.28 | 79 | 27319 | 3048 | . 11158 | 5.87 |
| 25 | 96421 | 85 | . 00088 | 46.32 | 80 | 24271 | 2917 | . 12019 | 5.54 |
| 26 | 96336 | 84 | . 00087 | 45.36 | 81 | ${ }_{2} 1354$ | 2764 | . 12944 | 5.23 |
| 27 | 96252 | 84 | . 00087 | 44.40 | 82 | 18590 | 2591 | . 13935 | 4.94 |
| 28 | 96168 | 86 | . 00089 | 43.44 | 83 | 15999 | 2398 | . 14990 | 4.66 |
| 29 | 96082 | 89 | . 00093 | 42.48 | 84 | 13601 | 2191 | . 16110 | 4.39 |
| 30 | 95993 | 93 | . 00097 | 41.51 | 85 | 11410 | 1973 | . 17293 | 4.14 |
| 31 | 95900 | 99 | . 00103 | 40.55 | 86 | 9437 | 1749 | . 18538 | 3.90 |
| 32 | 95801 | 104 | . 000109 | 39.60 38.64 | 87 | 7688 | 1525 | . 119842 | 3.68 3.47 3.4 |
| 33 <br> 34 | ${ }_{95586}^{95697}$ | 111 119 | .00116 .00124 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.64 \\ & 37.68 \end{aligned}$ | 88 89 | 6163 4856 | 1307 1098 | .21202 .22615 | 3.47 <br> 3.28 |
| 34 | 95886 | 119 | . 00124 | 37.68 | 89 | 4856 |  |  |  |
| 35 | 95467 | 128 | . 00134 | 36.73 | 90 | 3758 | 905 | . 24077 | 3.09 |
| 36 | 95339 | 139 | . 00146 | 35.78 | 91 | 2853 | 730 | . 257586 | 2.92 |
| 37 | 95200 | 153 | . 00161 | 34.83 | 92 | 2123 | 576 | . 27137 | 2.76 |
| 38 | 95047 | 170 | . 00179 | 33.88 | 93 | 1547 | 434 | . 287226 | 2.61 |
| 39 | 94877 | 191 | . 00201 | 32.94 | 94 | 1103 | 335 | . 30348 | 2.47 |
| 40 | 94686 | 214 | . 00226 | 32.01 | 95 | 768 | 246 | . 31999 | 2.34 |
| 41 | 94472 | 241 | . 00255 | 31.08 | 96 | 522 | 176 | . 33675 | ${ }^{2.22}$ |
| 42 | 94231 | 271 | . 00288 | 30.16 | 97 | 346 | 122 | . 35371 | 2.10 |
| 43 | 93960 | 306 | . 00326 | 29.24 | 98 99 | ${ }_{121}^{224}$ | 83 55 | .37083 .38804 | ${ }_{1}^{2.00}$ |
| 44 | 93654 | 346 | . 00369 | 28.34 | 99 | 141 | 55 | . 38804 |  |
| 45 | 93308 | 388 | . 00416 | 27.44 | 100 | 86 | 35 | . 40535 | 1.84 |
| 46 | 92920 | 436 | . 00469 | 26.55 | 101 | 51 | 22 | . 42277 |  |
| 47 | 92484 | 487 | . 00527 | 25.68 | 102 | 29 | 13 | . 44028 |  |
| 48 | 91997 | 544 | . 00591 | 24.81 | 103 | 16 | 7 | . 457975 |  |
| 49 | 91453 | 605 | . 00662 | 23.95 | 104 | 9 | 4 | . 47562 |  |
| 50 | 90848 | 671 | . 00739 | 23.11 | 105 |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | 90177 | 742 | . 000823 | ${ }_{22}^{22.28}$ | 106 107 | ${ }_{1}^{3}$ | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | . 511368 |  |
| 52 | 89435 | 818 | . 00915 | 21.46 |  | 1 | 1 | . 52938 |  |
| 53 54 | 88617 87717 | ${ }_{989}^{980}$ | . 0101127 | 20.65 19.86 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table IV. 1 Continued


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 13a Castle Street, Edinburgh EH2 } 3 \text { 3R } \\
& 41 \text { The Hayes, Cardiff CF1 1 JW } \\
& \text { Brazennose Street, Manchester M60 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Brazennose Street, Manchester M60 8AS } \\
& \text { Southey House, Wine Street, Bristol BS1 2BO }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Southey House, Wine Street, Bristol BS1 2BO } \\
& 258 \text { Broad Street, Birmingham B1 } 2 \mathrm{HE}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 258 \text { Broad Street, Birmingham B1 } 2 \mathrm{HE} \\
& 80 \text { Chichester Street, Belfast BT1 } 4 \mathrm{JY}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 80 \text { Chichester Street, Belfast BT1 4JY } \\
& \text { Government publications are also available }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { thoverment puollcat } \\
& \text { trough booksellers }
\end{aligned}
$$
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[^0]:    See 'Mortality at the oldest ages' (G T Humphrey) Journal of the Institute of Actuaries, Vol. 96, p. 105

