BRITISH LIBRARY OF POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCE


LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL SCIENCE
whenhat whandornhis
.aibhrown :a

## REPORT :-

 the Population in each of the Years 1838-5Marriages in 1853. Number celebrated according and not according to the Rites of the Established Church, after Licence, Banns, \&c.
 Minors married

Signatures of Persons married
Buildings registered for the Soleminization of Marriages
Births in 1853. Proportion born of each Sex Births out of Wedlock
Deaths in 1853. Mortality of the Sexes

# Mortality in the 628 Districts of Ewind xii 

Family Nomenclature in Evgland and Wares -
A List of peculiar Surnames . . . . . . . xxv-xxvii
Specimens of Cornish Surnames . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

## ABSTRACTTS:-

Marriages Registered in England in each of the Divisions, Counties, and Districts in 1853; distinguishing those according and those not according to the Rites of the Established Church; and distinguishing also Persons married according to their conjurch; and distinguishing and Signature of the Register by marks

Ages of Persons married in 1853, distinguishing those of Bachelors, Spinsters, Widowers, Widows
Marriages, Births, and Deaths Registered in each of the Divisions, Counties, and Districts in 1853; also Births and Deathe in the Sub-districts of England; showing Illegitimate Births throughout .
Deaths in 1853 in the principal Public Institutions in London
Births of all Children, and of Children born out of Wedlock, Registered in the Divisions and Counties in each of the Four Quarters of 1853.
Deaths Registered in each of the Four Quarters of 1853, in Divisions and Counties
Deaths of Males and Females at different Ages Registered in 1853 in Divisions, Counties, and Districts
Causes of Death in London, at Twenty-four Periods of Life, in 1853
Causes of Death in England, and in each Division and County in 1853

## SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES.

Density of Population, Mortality, and Excess of Deaths over 17 in 1000 in the Years 1841-50, in the Counties and Districts of England .
Area, Population, and Number of Deaths in the Years 1841-50; with the Density of Population, Annual Mortality, \&c. in Towns represented by certain Districts and Sub-districts

## APPENDIX

extracts from the quarterly returns of Marriages, Births, and Deaths, issued in the year 1853 : -

Quarter ending March 31, 1853
1-16
Quarter ending June 30, 1853
Quarter ending September 30, 1853
Quarter ending December 31, 1853
LETTER TO THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ON THE CAUSES OF DEATH IN ENGLAND IN 1853, by WILLIAM FARR, Esq., M.D., F.R.S. : -

Public Health and Meteorology in 1853
Zymotic Diseases
Constitutional or Specific Diseases
Tables showing the Numbers and Proportions of Plersons dying of each Cause
oring of each Cause .
Diseases of Growth, e -
Violent Deaths ; or Deaths from evident external Causes
REPORT ON THE NOMENCLATURE AND STATISTICAL CLASSIFICATION OF DISEASES, by Dr. FARR

Introductory Remarks
Object of the Record and Tabulation of Diseases
Persons by whom the Cause of Death should be certified
Nomenclature of Diseases for Registers
Nomenclature for Mortuary Tables
Nomenclature of Diseases and Injuries that produce Sickness or Disability
Nosology: Classification of Diseases and Causes of Death
Classes I. to V.
Secondary Analysis of Causes of Death; Conclusion
Form of Medical Certificate of the Cause of Death in use in England
Statistical Nosology
Lists for tabulating Causes of Deati
Spectmen Table of the Causes of Death in the proposed Form of Classification

REPORT TO THE REGISTRAR GENERAL ON THE INTERNATIONAL STATISTICAL CONGRESS AT PARIS IN 1855, by Dr. FARR
Proposed Statistical Board . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $11-114$
Classification of Diseases . . . . . . . . 114-115
The Griat Powers

## REPORT

The Right Honourable Sir George Grey, Bart., G.C.B., Her Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for the Home Department, \&c. \&ce. \&c.

> General Register Office,

Sir,
tailed Abstracts 1855.
I have the honour to submit to you the detailed Abstracts of the Marriages, Births, and Deaths that have been registered in England and Wales during the year 1853 .

329,040 persons married, 612,301 children were born alive, 421,097 persons died, and were registered; so that $1,362,528$ names have been added to the lists of those already on the public registers.
The natural increase of population by the excess of births over deaths was was 191,294 , and probably stil
in the present state of the law.
The immigrations from Scotland, Ireland, and foreign parts have added a certain number of persons to the population; while by emigration an equal, or perhaps a greater, number has been removed. The ascertained English emigrants from the ports of the United Kingdom amounted to 62,915 , the Scotch to 22,605 , the Irish to 192,609, the Foreigners to 3 I, 459 ; and the nativity of 20,349 emigrants was undetermined.* Of the 62,915 English emigrants, 32,163 sailed to the Australian colonies, 26,496 to the United States, and 4194 only to our flourishing North American Colonies, where the soil and climate are adapted to develope all the vigour of the British race, which suffers in so many ways from the insalubrity of the Southern States of North America.

Table I.-Estimated Population, Number of Marriages, Births, and Deaths registered in England in each Year from 1838 to 1853.

| Years ended Dec. 31st. |  | Marriages. | Persons Married. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Brirths } \\ \text { (exclusive of } \\ \text { Still-born). } \end{array}$ | Deaths. | Excess of Births over Deaths. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1838 1839 1840 1841 1842 1848 1844 1845 1846 1847 1847 1848 1849 1850 1851 1852 1853 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * The Populati 1.220 per cent. in ending June 30th 18 \&1 51. | on has been deduce each of the 10 of Population has ced on the hypothe |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { and } \\ & \text { antreers } \\ & \text { ont } \\ & \text { did in } \end{aligned}$ |

* Fourteenth General Report of Emigration Commissioners, p. 92.

The temperature of the year at Greenwich was $\mathrm{r} \cdot 7^{\circ}$ below the average； and the price of wheat rose from $44 s$ ． $6 d$ ．a quarter in the spring to $69 s$ ．Io $d$ ． and the price of wheat rose from $44 s$ s． 0 d ．a quarter in the spring to sys．od．
in the last three months of the year．The rate of marriage was such，that in the last three months of the year．The rate of marriage was such，that
to every Iooo persons living， 18 （or more exactly 17.88 ）married；while to every 1000 persons living，I8（or more exactly 17.88 ）married；while
the average proportion is 16 ．The births were at the rate of 33 in rooo the average proportion is 16 ．The births were at the rate of 33 in in the people living；slightly above the average number，but less than in the
two previous years．The mortality of the year was at the rate of $22 \cdot 88$ two previous years．The mortality of the year was at the rate of $22 \cdot 88$
in rooo living，which is somewhat above the average；the excess of deaths in rooo living，which is somewhat above the average；the excess of deaths
in winter，spring，and autumn slightly exceeding the defect of deaths in summer．（Tables I．，II．）

Marriages，
164,520 marriages were celebrated in the year ； 138,042 or 84 per cent．according to the rites of the established church，and 26,478 or 16 per cent．not according to the rites of the established church． 20,632 marriages were by licence， 109,166 after banns，and 3814 on superinten－ dent registrar＇s certificate ；in 4430 cases，it is not stated whether the marriage was after banns or licence or on Superintendent Registrar＇s certificate．Of the marriages in the established churels are rapidly in－ creasing；the number in 1844 was 2280 ，in 1849 it was 4199 ，and in 1853 it reached to 8375 ．The marriages in the registered places of other Christian denominations increased in the same period from $6_{2} 84$ to Cho，140．The marriages in the offices of the Superintendent Registrars 10，149．The marriages in the offices of the Superintendent Registrars were 2064 in $1841 ; 3446$ in $1844 ; 6813$ in 1851 ；and 7598 in 1853.
68 marriages were performed according to the forms of the Quakers； 2888 68 marriages were performed according to the forms of the Quakers； 288 according to the Jewish rites．The Quakers appear to be stationary，the Jews to be rapidly increasing，in England ：the marriages of the Jews have doubled since 184r．（Table III．）
Marriages of Minors．－9131 men and 29，219 women married under the age of 2 I years；of men the proportion who married under age was 5.55 ， of women $17 \cdot 76$ in 100．The proportion of early marriages is rapidly increasing ；thus 4.38 of 100 men who married in 1841 ，and 5.55 of 100 men who married in 1853 ，were minors；and out of the same number of women who married 13.29 were minors in 1841 ，and $17 \cdot 76$ in 1853 ．
The age of marriage has considerable influence on the manners of families，as well as on the numbers，the characters，and the vigour of children ；and it is curious to observe how widely the practice of different counties varies．Thus in Bedfordshire $10 \cdot 54$ in 100 men，and 22.90 in

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Yearsended } \\ \text { Dee. } 3 \text { slt. } \end{gathered}$ | To 100 Persons living． |  |  |  | The Number of Persons living． |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marbiagrs． |  | Впит | Deatris． |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { To one } \\ \text { Porson } \\ \text { Maricied } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { To one } \\ \text { Death．}}}{\text { cosel }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 <br> 68 <br> 68 <br> 68 <br> 68 <br> 68 <br> 68 <br> 68 <br> 68 <br> 63 <br> 68 <br> 68 <br> 68 <br> 68 <br> 88 <br> 57 <br> 56 <br> 58 |  | 45 <br> 48 <br> 48 <br> 46 <br> 46 <br> 47 <br> 46 <br> 48 <br> 43 <br> 40 <br> 40 <br> 40 <br> 48 <br> 48 <br> 45 <br> 45 <br> 44 <br> 4 |
| Mean | 813 | 1.626 | 3＇261 | 2.228 | 123 | ${ }^{62}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | ${ }^{45}$ |

100 women，in Staffordshire out of the same numbers 9.05 men and 27.82 women，who married in 1853 ，were minors；the proportions in Northumberland were 3.44 men，and 14.03 women；in North Wales 3.13 men and 10.88 women．The straw－plait and lace manufactures in the South Midland Counties apparently promote early marriages by affording employment to children and to young people．In II counties the minors exceeded the proportion of 20 in 100 women married；namely in War－ wick 2I，Bucks 21，Northampton 22，Cambridge 22，Hertford 22，Essex 22， Bedford 23，Durham 24，Huntingdon 25，West Riding 25，Stafford 28.
Re－marriages．－ 22,358 widowers，and 14,758 widows married； 142,162 bachelors，and 140,762 spinsters married in the year．Of 100 men married， It had been married before，of ioo women 9 had been previously married． 14 he pron tion of widowers re－married was greatest，（ 16 ）in Cheshire， and least（ 0 ）in Westmorland．The proportion of widows was greatest （II．14）in Berks，least（ $3 \cdot 5$ ）in Rutland．

Signatures of persons married．$-49,983$ husbands and 72,204 wives， signed the marriage register with marks； 114,537 husbands and 92,316 wives wrote their names．Thus 30.4 in 100 men，and 43.9 in 100 women did not write their names．

The proportion in 100 persons（or 50 males and 50 females）was 40.8 in 184 I ，and $37^{\circ} 2$ in 1853 ；so that the proportion of men and women signing with marks has decreased r －I Ith part in twelve years．

Table III－Marriages registered in Exgland in each Year from 1841 to 1853.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { YEARS } \\ \text { ending: } \\ \text { 31st December } \end{gathered}$ | Aceording to the Reites of the Established |  |  |  |  |  | Not aceording to the Rites of the |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 愈 |  |  |  | Total． | Total． |  |  |  |  | 龶 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2064 \\ & 2357 \\ & 2817 \\ & 3446 \\ & 3977 \\ & 4167 \\ & 4258 \\ & 4790 \\ & 5558 \\ & 6207 \\ & 6813 \\ & 7100 \\ & 7598 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yEARS <br> ending <br> 31st December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | d | 迷 | 岸 | 耎 |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$69 \cdot 6$ in 100 men and $56 \cdot 1$ in 100 women wrote their names; but the proportions varied in different counties from $49^{\circ} 3$ men in Monmouthshire and $49^{\circ} 6 \mathrm{men}$ in Hertfordshire, to $85^{\circ} 4$ men in Westmorland ; from $33^{\circ} \cdot \mathrm{g}$ women in South Wales, $38^{\circ} 7$ women in Lancashire, $44^{\circ}$ o women in Bedfordshire, to $7.5^{\circ}$ women in Westmorland, and Surrey out of London.
The men $(87 \cdot 3)$ and women $(77 \cdot 7)$ who are married in London write their names in the largest proportions ; the men and women of Wales (and Monmouthshire) write their names in the lowest proportions. The men and women who marry in London are frequently of country birth; but it is probable that the mere fact of going to London implies in this class a higher degree of education than the average prevailing in their native counties. The Welsh would probably be better instructed in
writing if they learnt the English language in infancy
The ten counties in which the greatest proportion of men wrote their names are : Durham $72 \cdot 1$, Gloucester $72 \cdot 2$, Lincoln $72 \cdot 8$, Devon $73 \cdot 6$, Sussex $73 \cdot 8$, York (East Riding) $77 \cdot 5$, Northumberland $79^{\circ 2}$, York
(North Riding) 70.4 , Cumberland 82 . Westmorland $55 \cdot$ (North Riding) 79.4, Cumberland $82 \cdot 9$, Westmorland $85^{\circ} 4$.
The system of instruction and the habits of these people must be very different from those of the people in the ten following English counties, where instruction is at the lowest ebb: Cambridgeshire $60 \cdot 6$, Shropshire $58 \cdot 5$, Buckinghamshire $58 \cdot 4$, Norfolk $58 \cdot$, Essex 57.5 , Suffolk $56 \cdot 5$, Bedfordshire $55^{\circ}$, Staffordshire $53 \cdot 8$, Huntingdonshire $55^{\circ} 9$, Hertfordshire $49{ }^{\circ} 6$.
Thus in parts of England, the educational system of the country has been so narrowly based, and is so imperfect, that 5 in ten of the men who marry, cannot write their names.
It may be here useful to inquire, of what value is this test? as by some it has been misunderstood, and by others mis-stated.
164,520 men, of whom about five-sevenths were of the age 20-30, and the same number of women, of whom five-sevenths were also of the same age, and the rest younger or older, went through the various marriage ceremonies in the established churches, in the chapels of protestant dissenters, in the Roman catholic chapels, in the meeting houses of various kinds, and in the register offices. At the end of the ceremony the young husband and wife are invited in all cases to sign the register book, in the presence of the officiating minister or the registrar; they having the option, presence of the officiating minister or the registrar; they having the option,
The canties are not ask by mhang a mark against their names.
The parties are not asked whether in their own opinion they can or can not write, but are asked to write their names on an important occasion,

Table IV.-Marriages. The Proportion per Cent. of Minors of each Sex, of Males and Females who signed the Register with Marks, and of Persons who were Widowers or Widows.

| yEARS <br> ended <br> 1st December | To 100 Married. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | The Proportion under 21 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { The Proportion who } \\ & \text { signed the Marriage Wegister } \\ & \text { with Marks. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | The Proportion who were |  |  |
|  | Males. | Females. | Mean. | Males. | Females. | Mean. | Widowers. | Widows. | Mean. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 38 \\ & 4: 38 \\ & 4 \cdot 50 \\ & 4 \cdot 41 \\ & 4 \cdot 17 \\ & 4.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \cdot 29 \\ & 13.47 \\ & 13.25 \\ & 13.16 \\ & 13.48 \\ & 13.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \cdot 83 \\ & 9.80 \\ & 8.85 \\ & 88.87 \\ & 8: 93 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 8 \cdot 8 \cdot 8 \\ 47.9 \\ 49.0 \\ 49.6 \\ 49 \cdot 6 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 8 \cdot 8 \\ & 40.0 \\ & 40.8 \\ & 40.8 \\ & 41 \cdot-9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }_{c}^{12 \cdot 30} \\ 13.14 \\ 13.17 \\ 13: 71 \\ 128.81 \\ 12.64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} * 8 \cdot 99 \\ 8: 90 \\ 8: 73 \\ 8 \cdot 760 \\ 8 \cdot 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 10 \cdot 95 \\ & +10.92 \\ & 10.02 \\ & 10.95 \\ & 10.63 \\ & 10 \cdot 62 \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }_{1847}^{1846}$ | 4.33 |  | ${ }_{8}^{9 \cdot 03}$ | $32 \cdot 6$ <br> $31 \cdot 2$ | 48.2. | $40 \cdot 4$ <br> $38 \cdot 4$ | ${ }_{12}^{12 \cdot 59}$ | \% $\begin{aligned} & 8: 3 \\ & 8: 57\end{aligned}$ | 10.46 |
| (1848 | ¢ | - 14.06 | 9.24 | 31:2 | ${ }_{45}^{45} 4$ | 38.4 | -18:76 |  |  |
| ${ }_{1850}^{1849}=$ | ${ }^{4} 4.898$ | cer 14.88 | 9.79 10 10.01 | ${ }_{31}^{31 \cdot 0}$ |  | ${ }_{38 \cdot 5}^{38 \cdot 7}$ | $13 \cdot 85$ <br> $14 \cdot 49$ | $8: 88$ 9.27 | 11137 |
| ${ }_{1852}^{185}$ | 5.02 | $15 \cdot 75$ $16 \cdot 99$ | $10 \cdot 39$ 11019 | $30 \cdot 8$ $30 \cdot 5$ | ${ }_{4}^{45 \cdot 6}$ | ${ }^{38 \cdot 1}$ | - $\begin{aligned} & 13 \cdot 98 \\ & 13 \cdot 49\end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{8}^{9.80}$ | $11 \cdot 49$ <br> 11.18 <br> 18 |
| 1833 - | $5^{5 \cdot 55}$ | $17 \% 76$ | 11.66 | $30^{\circ} 4$ | $43 \cdot 9$ | $3{ }^{3} \cdot 2$ | 13:59 | $8: 97$ | 11.28 |

when on many accounts it is desirable that they should append their names, in their own handwriting, to a public register. The abstracts which have appeared in my reports, show how many men and how many women under these circumstances do sign with marks.

Two questions are raised on these signatures: Is the man or the woman who signs with a mark unable to write? Are the men or the women who write their names, able to write anything else? Some men and women who can write imperfectly, do undoubtedly sign with marks. Upon the

Table V.-England. Marriages.-Proportional Number of Marriages in Mable V.-England. Marriages.- Proportional Number of Marriages in
the several Counties of England during the Year 1853; of Persons who signed their Names; of Persons not of full Age; and of the Re-marriages of Widowers and Widows.


## Marriages.

other hand, some persons can write their names, who cannot write a letter or keep an account in writing. The former class is perhaps the
lean letter or keep an account in writing. The former class is perhaps the
most numerous. Some of the 30 men, some of the 44 women, who sign with marks can write their names. Some of the 70 men and the 56 women who write their names, write little else; and are evidently unpractised writers, as their signatures are often almost illegible ; not the flourishes of penmanship in which some men conceal the letters of their
name, nor the undecipherable scrawl in which others write, but the name, nor the undecipherable scrawl in which others write, but the uncouth, ill-formed letters of men and women who have never advanced at school beyond the first rudiments.

Table VI.-Number of Buildings registered in England and Wales for the Solemnization of Marriages to 31st December 1853.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \stackrel{4}{4} \\
\& \stackrel{H}{4}
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Wesleyan Methodists.} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { Caivinistic } \\ \text { METHodists }\end{gathered}\right.\)} \& \\
\hline OUNTY. \& \&  \&  \&  \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \\
\hline \[
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\text { Total in } \\
\substack{\text { ONGLAND } \\
\text { End WALES }}
\end{array}\right\}
\] \& 3453 \& 29 \& 27 \& 179 \& 1235 \& 797 \& 4 \& 409 \& 381 \& 45 \& 79 \& 42 \& 4 \& 4 \& 10 \& 71 \& 32 \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{valand.} \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Bedford }}^{\text {Berrs }}\) - \& 36 \& \& \& \& \({ }_{10}^{9}\) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Berks }}^{\text {Buask }}\) He \& - \& \& \& \& 10
20
18
18 \& 11
26
26 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Cambridge \\
Chester
\end{tabular} \& \({ }_{51}^{51}\) \& i \& - \& 11 \& \(\stackrel{18}{26}\) \& \({ }^{26}\) \& \& \& \& 4 \& 2 \& \& 1 \& - \& \& \& 1 \& \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Cornwall }}\) Cumberind \& \begin{tabular}{l}
51 \\
29 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 2 \& - \& \& \({ }_{10}^{7}\) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \({ }_{2}^{8}\) \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Derby }}\) Deron- \(=\) \& \({ }_{125}^{55}\) \& \& - \& \({ }_{13}^{3}\) \& 21
50 \& \({ }_{28}^{11}\) \& \& \& \begin{tabular}{c}
7 \\
17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \& \& \& \& - \& \& \& 1 \& \\
\hline come \& \({ }^{47}\) \& \(\overline{1}\) \& 5 \& 4
4
6 \& \({ }_{14}^{24}\) \& 边 \& \& \& \begin{tabular}{|c}
6 \\
13 \\
18
\end{tabular} \& \& \({ }_{5}^{2}\) \& \& \& i \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Disex }}^{\text {Dinam }}\) E- \& \% \({ }^{73}\) \& \& 5 \& \& 14
49
39 \& (17 \& \& \& ( \(\begin{gathered}18 \\ 9 \\ 8\end{gathered}\) \& \& \& \& \& - \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Gloucester - \& \({ }^{106}\) \& \& \& \& 39
5

2 \& \& \& \& ${ }_{2}^{8}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{1}^{4}$ \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{\text {Herer }}^{\text {Hererorid }}$ - \& 36
15

15 \& \& \& 1 \& | 23 |
| :---: |
| 4 | \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& <br>

\hline  \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 15 \\
& \begin{array}{l}
156
\end{array} \\
& 363
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 3 \& $\bar{\square}$ \& \[

\frac{4}{34}

\] \& \[

\left|$$
\begin{array}{c}
44 \\
34 \\
93
\end{array}
$$\right|

\] \& [10 $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 38 \\ & 38\end{aligned}$ \& \& \[

\left[$$
\begin{array}{l}
18 \\
96
\end{array}
$$\right.
\] \& \& \& $\bar{\square}$ \& \& $\overline{2}$ \& - \& \& ${ }^{5}$ \& ${ }_{4}^{5}$ \& <br>

\hline Lateaster - \& cis \& $\underline{\square}$ \& $\stackrel{-}{-}$ \& \& | 17 |
| :---: |
| 13 |
| 13 | \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>


\hline ${ }_{\text {Lineoln }}^{\text {Middlesex }}$ - \& -61 \& $\overline{7}$ \& ${ }^{-}$ \& ${ }_{9}^{3}$ \& | 13 |
| :--- |
| 80 |
| 0 | \& ${ }_{34}^{18}$ \& \& \& | 15 |
| :--- |
| 11 |
| 1 | \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 2 \& <br>

\hline Monmouth - \& ${ }_{79}^{77}$ \& \& \& \& ${ }_{18}^{25}$ \& ${ }^{39}{ }_{20}^{39}$ \& \& \& ${ }_{13}^{2}$ \& \& ${ }_{6}^{1}$ \& \& - \& 1 \& 1 \& \& \& <br>

\hline Northampton \& ${ }^{57}$ \& \& \& $$
12
$$ \& 24 \& 25 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& - \& - \& $=$ \& \& \& <br>

\hline Northumberla \& | 81 |
| :--- |
| 43 |
| 28 |
| 8 | \& 14 \& 11 \& 12

2
1 \& ${ }^{8} 11$ \& ${ }^{6}$ \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& \& - \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Oxtord \& \& \& = \& - \& 10 \& ${ }_{6}^{6}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& = \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{\text {Salatand }}$ Sol \& 46 \& \& \[
\overline{7}

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
7
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 18

42 \& \& \& \& \& \& 5 \& \& \& \& 1 \& \&  \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{\text {Somerset }}^{\substack{\text { Somerset } \\ \text { Southan } \\ \text { Son }}}$ \& 104
785
95 \& \&  \& 2 \& 42
32
27 \& [ $\begin{array}{r}25 \\ 19 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& \& - \& \& \& \&  \& <br>

\hline Staftord Suffoll - \& ${ }_{63}^{95}$ \& \& $=$ \& $\stackrel{4}{3}$ \& ${ }_{34}^{27}$ \& ${ }_{19}^{8}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& = \& \& \& \& $$
-1
$$ \& <br>

\hline  \& | 65 |
| :--- |
| 68 |
| 68 | \& \& \[

\bar{z}

\] \& \[

4 \|
\] \& 32

22

22 \& 17 \& \& \& \& \& \& - \& = \& \& \& \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 4
\end{aligned}
$$ \& <br>

\hline  \& ${ }_{7}^{57}$ \& $\overline{1}$ \& \[
\bar{i}

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|}
\hline \\
5 \\
2
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

\left.$$
\begin{aligned}
& 22 \\
& 23 \\
& 23
\end{aligned}
$$ \right\rvert\,
\] \& 18 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& = \& \& \& \& 1 \& <br>

\hline Weittmorland \& ${ }_{6}^{11}$ \& \& $\underline{1}$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \\
& { }_{2}^{2} \\
& 5
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 27 \& \& \& \& \& \& - \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Worcester - \& ${ }_{319}^{45}$ \& \& 2 \& 14 \& 111 \& ${ }_{41}$ \& \& \& 62 \& 11 \& 13 \& 6 \& i \& 1 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Waies. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{\text {Anglesey }}^{\text {Breon }}$ - \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{13}^{13}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline ${ }_{\text {Caraigan }}^{\text {Carmarthen }}$ - \& \& \& \& $$
\begin{gathered}
4 \\
1
\end{gathered}
$$ \& 19

50 \& \& \& - \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 2 \& \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{\text {Carnarvon }}$ Denbigh - \& ${ }_{26}^{26}$ \& \& \& \& 11 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& - \& ${ }_{11}^{12}$ \& \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{\text {Flint }}$ Glamorgan \& 11

83 \& \& \& 1 \& 35 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& | 3 |
| :--- |
| 4 |
| 4 | \& \& <br>

\hline Merioneth- \& ${ }_{34}^{25}$ \& \& \& \& 9 ${ }_{16}$ \& ${ }_{2}^{2}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 18
7 \& \& <br>
\hline  \& 51 \& \& \& \& 24 \& \& \& \& 2 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Radnor \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note.-The above numbers are for the Counties proper, and not for the "Registration Counties."

Looking at both sides of the question, the obvious inference is, I believe, correct; and we have practically 49,983 young men, and 72,204 young women unable to write, out of $164,5^{20}$ of each sex who married, and will be the fathers and mothers of the next generation of English men and English women.
Of these persons unable to write, it is known that large numbers are unable to read.
On the hypothesis that the numbers who can write in the ordinary sense of the word are understated or are overstated, the test is still available for purposes of comparison; as the timidity which prevents some men and women from writing their names, or the vanity which prompts others to try who can scarcely put letters together, must be almost equally powerful in the several counties of England. These disturbing causes leave the important fact unexplained, that in ten counties from 15 to 28 men, and in ten other counties from 39 to 50 men, in 100 , sign with marks when they are required to write their names
The value of this test is also questioned upon the ground that it is, in itself, no proof of education; and it must be at once admitted that at the utmost it shows only how many out of a given number can or cannot write. Many of the men and women who cannot now write, as in the days of old when barons and knights signed with marks, possess great intelligence and have acquired many useful arts; so thousands, on the other hand, who read and write, are ill educated, and know nothing of those hand, who read and write, are ill educated, and know nothing of those as the sunshine and showers fertilize and adorn the soil of England.
the sunshine and showers fertilize and adorn the soil of England.
Yet reading and writing are no unimportant acquirements. They are the gates of the temple of learning, and open at once access to many of its most delightful courts, where the mind can range freely among the creations of man and the inspirations of God. They are useful to him in his business, and they facilitate in a wonderful and new way his communications with his fellow men. What a striking difference would there be between two nations, the one consisting of people who could all when they married read and write, the other of people who could not read and write! The men of the latter nation would derive no advantage from the great discovery of printing, nor from the earlier and still greater discovery of the art of writing; that is, of transmitting the ideas of man to man through the sense of sight as well as through the sense of hearing by the voice. They could only converse with their equals in ignorance and capacity in their immediate neighbourhood; while the men of the favoured nation, who could read and write, could call into their chamber at will some of the loftiest spirits of the present and of past ages; they could converse with the apostles and the prophets, with the poets and the historians of their own country, in health and in sickness, in the hours of joy and of sadness-in the "valley of the shadow of death "itself.
One of these nations we have still amongst us; we see them in the unobtrusive figures of the marriage muster interspersed all over the land, n every county and parish, still in the dark, or receiving only feeble rays of the reflected light that irradiates our path. In the same year 612,39 r children were born. Under the present system a large proportion of these children, and of the children born year after year, will evidently grow up in some counties without receiving adequate instruction, unless efforts are made at once to extend education in the most benighted counties. Why should $6 I$ in 100 children in Lancashire and Staffordshire, 56 in Bedfordshire, grow up into womanhood unable to write, when only 25 in 100 marry ignorant of this art in Surrey (extra Metropolitan) and in Westmorland?
This question can be easily, but very unsatisfactorily, answered by referring to the occupations of the children. The precise circumstances of the nation among us that cannot write should be ascertained, as well as
the means we have at our disposal for rendering them the aid which every man would be glad to render, who by the accident of birth or by some other accident of nature is able to write himself. It will probably be found that different agencies may be applicable in the northern, southern, and midland counties; but it is already evident that the great work of the education of the whole people cannot be carried out until a system of schools and colleges, easily accessible to all, becomes virtually one of the great institutions of the country.
In fine, the arguments that the marriage registers supply in favour of the extension of education cannot be set aside by a few stories about

Table VII.-ENGLAND. Births, 1853.-Number and Proportion of Male and Female Children born in and out of Wedlock.

|  | registration counties. |  | Female Children born. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | england | 318756 | 2963 | 293423 | 279 | 203 | 19 | $105 \cdot 1$ | $105 \cdot 1$ | 10 |  |
|  | I.-Londo | 42132 | 40122 | 40541 | 38557 | 1591 | 1565 | $105 \cdot 0$ | $105 \cdot 1$ | 101 | 3.8 |
| $\begin{array}{\|} \frac{1}{3} \\ 3 \end{array}$ | II.-South Eastern Counties. <br> Surrey (extra-metropolitan) <br> Kent (extra-metropolitan) <br> Sussex <br> Berkshire |  |  | 3035 <br> 7345 <br> 7929 <br> 6270 <br> 271 | 2874 7199 4790 495 2656 | 140 482 380 425 215 215 | $\begin{aligned} & \substack{490 \\ \hline 462 \\ 390 \\ 392 \\ 252} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 104 \cdot 3 \\ 10+7 \\ 10.7 \\ 10.7 \\ 150 \cdot 1 \\ 102 \cdot 7 \end{array}\right\|$ | 105.6. 1020 $105-0$ 100 $104-9$ |  | $5 \cdot 0$ 57.9 8.1 $7 \cdot 9$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | III.-South Midland Counties. <br> Middlesex (extra-metropolitan) Hertfordshire Oxfordshire Northamptonshire Huntingdonshire Cambridgeshire - | 2341 2774 27001 2655 3657 2978 2.76 2760 | 2287 2533 2932 2516 3501 9514 2142 2919 2919 |  | 2199 20964 2038 2381 3272 1995 2701 2701 | $\begin{aligned} & 122 \\ & 189 \\ & 188 \\ & 187 \\ & \hline 20 \\ & 170 \\ & 208 \end{aligned}$ |  | 102:4 |  |  | 5.2 6.7 6.9 7.7 6.7 5.0 6.8 7.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{14}{15} \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | IV.-Eastern Counties. Essex Suffolk Norfolk | $\begin{aligned} & 5578 \\ & \hline 689 \\ & \hline 6.618 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 5037 \\ \hline 0577 \\ 6340 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52920 \\ & \hline 897 \\ & 5933 \\ & 5933 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 401 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 4905 \\ 5653 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 358 \\ & 468 \\ & 678 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 336 \\ & 4929 \\ & 697 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1005.5 \\ & 1050 \\ & 100: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \\ & \hline 105 \cdot 6 \\ & 105 \cdot-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 105 \cdot 5 \\ 1099 \\ 197 \cdot 3 \end{array}\right\|$ | 6.4 8.5 10.6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 178 \\ & 18 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | V.-South Western Counties. Wiltshire Dorsetshire Devonshire Somersetshire |  | 3442 2751 8274 8862 6623 66 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \\ & 199 \\ & 509 \\ & 530 \\ & 462 \\ & 462 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 251 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 92 \\ \hline 99 \\ 4295 \\ 423 \\ 423 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 105.0 \\ & 100.6 \\ & 100.4 \\ & 106.4 \\ & 101.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $7 \cdot 1$ 7.2 $5 \cdot 5$ $5 \cdot 0$ $6 \cdot 6$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 23 \\ & 24 \\ & 25 \\ & 26 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 6330 \\ 1330 \\ \hline 3967 \\ 12562 \\ \hline 4250 \\ 8789 \end{array}\right\|$ | (6133 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 344 \\ & 135 \\ & 829 \\ & 829 \\ & \hline 697 \end{aligned}$ |  | (102-5 | (17\% ${ }^{117}$ | $5 \cdot 8$ 9.8 9.8 96.5 6.5 6.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 29 \\ & 30 \\ & 31 \\ & 32 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | VII.-North Midland Counties. <br> Leicestershire Rutlandshire Nottinghamshire Derbyshire - | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} 4051 \\ \hline 0782 \\ 63822 \\ 51516 \\ 4516 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3885 \\ \hline 185 \\ \hline 1085 \\ \hline 1085 \\ 4399 \end{gathered}$ |  | 3552 368 5663 4642 4082 408 | $\begin{aligned} & 313 \\ & 18 \\ & 495 \\ & 496 \\ & 335 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 303 \\ & 28 \\ & 447 \\ & 437 \\ & 307 \end{aligned}$ | 107:3 | (104:4 |  | $7 \cdot 8$ 7.3 7.6 8.5 $7 \cdot 2$ |
| ${ }_{34}^{33}$ | VIII.-North Western Counties. Cheshire Lancashire - | ${ }_{41849}^{718}$ | 70088 39789 | ${ }_{3}^{6924}$ | ${ }^{6.5986}$ | ${ }_{2765}^{594}$ | [550 | 100:4 | 106 105 10 | ${ }_{98}^{10 \cdot 6}$ | 7.88 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 36 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IX. - Yorkshire. } \\ & \text { West Riding (with York) - } \\ & \text { East Riding } \\ & \text { North Riding - - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\begin{array}{c} 26737 \\ \text { and } \\ 3180 \end{array}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 25565 \\ \hline 4060 \\ 2943 \\ 2943 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 23880 \\ 3723 \\ 2366 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} 1708 \\ 312 \\ 255 \\ 250 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 1668 \\ 328 \\ 277 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 104 \cdot 7 \\ 105: 5 \\ 108 \cdot-1 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} 104 \cdot 9 \\ 109 \cdot 5 \\ 109 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cos.5. } \\ & 90.1 \\ & 92.1 \end{aligned}$ | ¢ $\begin{gathered}6.5 \\ 8 \cdot 6 \\ 8.7\end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 39 \\ & 40 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { X.-NORTHERN COUNTIES. } \\ & \text { Durham }- \\ & \text { Northumberland }=-\overline{=} \\ & \text { Cumberland }=- \\ & \text { Westmorland }=-=- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8325 \\ & 8178 \\ & \hline 1799 \\ & 871 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7787 \\ & \hline 926 \\ & \hline 9058 \\ & 8535 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7899 \\ \hline 807 \\ \hline 8939 \\ 7797 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7346 \\ & \hline 479 \\ & 2722 \\ & 7723 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 496 \\ & 374 \\ & 396 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 441 \\ & \left.\begin{array}{c} 437 \\ 386 \\ 80 \end{array} \right\rvert\, \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 106 \cdot 9 \\ 10.9 \\ 10 .-1 \\ 102 \cdot 3 \cdot \\ 102 \cdot 1 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 112 \% \\ 1078 \\ 179.8 \\ 92 \cdot 5 \end{gathered}$ | $5 \cdot 8$ 7.1 11.7 8.9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{43}{43} \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | XI.-Monmouthshire and Wales. <br> Monmouthshire - <br> South Wales | $\begin{gathered} 31215 \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} 3175 \\ \text { 14950 } \end{array}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2981 \\ & 9608 \\ & 5629 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29513 \\ & 97713 \\ & 5525 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2847 \\ & \hline 9.90 \\ & 5210 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 170 \\ & \hline 68 \\ & 465 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & 784 \\ & 418 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104 \cdot 7 \\ & 106.8 \\ & 100 \cdot 8 \\ & 108 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108 \cdot 7 \\ & 1067 \\ & 106 \cdot 0 \\ & 108 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \% \\ & 10 \% \\ & 118_{2} \\ & 11.2 \end{aligned}$ | $5 \cdot 0$ $7 \cdot 6$ 7 |

young girls, terrified in the presence of the clergyman, making marks when they are able to write their names. The marks of the men alone are conclusive. (Tables IV , V )
Registered Places for Marriages. - At the end of the pear 853 were 3453 buildings registered for the solemnization of marriages under were 3453 buildings registered for the solemn
the Act of $6 \& 7$ Will. IV. c. 85 . (Table VI.)

Births.
Births (exclusive of Still-born).-The births of the year (612,391) were not so numerous as the births of the year preceding. The birth rate was

Table Viif.-ENGLAND. Births 1853.-Proportional Number of Birthe regisor the Number of Births in each Quarter to 1000 Births in the Average Quarter Year,

| registration counties. |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { BirtHS } \\ \text { to } 100 \\ \text { Persons } \\ \text { living. } \end{array}$ | Proportional Number of Births registered. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In the AVERAGE QUARTER assumed to be 1000. | In the FIRST QUA UTTER Unding March 31. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In the } \\ \text { THIRD } \\ \text { QUARTER } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { Sept. } 30 . \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | england |  | 3.328 | 1000 | 1056 | 1087 | 964 | 943 |
|  | I.-London | 3:334 | 1000 | 1028 | 1002 | 974 | 996 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { No. } \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | II.-South Eastern Counties. Surrey (extra-metropolitan) Kent (extra-metropolitan) Sussex Hampshire III | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 99 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1000 <br> 1000 <br> 1000 <br> 1000 <br> 1000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1034 \\ & 1099 \\ & 10991 \\ & 10991 \\ & 10911 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 960 \\ & 9597 \\ & 997 \\ & 9955 \\ & 9950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1046 \\ & \hline 989 \\ & 954 \\ & 959 \\ & 917 \\ & 997 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | III.-South Midland Coun Middlesex (extra-metrooolitan) Hertfordshire Oxfordshire Northamptonshire Huntingdonshire Cambridges Cambridgeshire - | $\begin{aligned} & 3.10 \\ & \hline 2.974 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1000 1000 1000 Ioco 1000 1000 1000 1000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1081 \\ & 1127 \\ & \hline 1150 \\ & \hline 1060 \\ & 111031 \\ & 1102 \end{aligned}$ | 933 $\left.\begin{array}{l}1012 \\ 1024 \\ 1039 \\ 1022 \\ 1988 \\ 1083 \\ 1090\end{array}\right)$ 10 | $\begin{gathered} 985 \\ 995 \\ 995 \\ 995 \\ 994 \\ 994 \\ 994 \\ 943 \end{gathered}$ | 1000 986 887 894 897 898 865 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 15 \\ 16 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Essex - <br> Norfolk | $\begin{aligned} & 3.054 \\ & 3.051 \\ & 2.014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 1000 \\ 1000 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1085 \\ & \hline 1095 \\ & 111954 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1078 \\ & \substack{1100 \\ 1098} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 930 \\ & 9917 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 988 \\ & 889 \\ & 852 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 17 \\ & 19 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.889 \\ & 2.987 \\ & 2.987 \\ & 2.910 \\ & 2.818 \\ & 2.828 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1124 \\ & \hline 1007 \\ & 11004 \\ & 1084 \\ & 1084 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1061 \\ & 1056 \\ & 1051 \\ & 107969 \\ & 1064 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9969 \\ 9966 \\ 9986 \\ 9365 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 866 \\ & \hline 930 \\ & 950 \\ & 8992 \\ & 8993 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 23 \\ & 24 \\ & 25 \\ & 26 \\ & 27 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { 1000 } \\ & \text { 1000 } \\ & \text { 1000 } \\ & \text { 1000 } \\ & \hline 0000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1069 \\ & 11059 \\ & 10596 \\ & 10067 \\ & 10764 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1052 \\ & 1014 \\ & 1093 \\ & 1099 \\ & 1004 \\ & 1029 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 952 \\ & 992 \\ & 963 \\ & 9.31 \\ & 993 \\ & 9.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 927 \\ & 989 \\ & \hline 88 \\ & 995 \\ & 995 \\ & 967 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 29 \\ & 30 \\ & 31 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | VII.-North Midland Counties. <br> Leicestershire <br> Rutlandshire <br> Nottinghamshire <br> Derbyshire - |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \substack{1000 \\ 1000 \\ 1000 \\ 1000 \\ 1000} \end{aligned}$ $10000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1081 \\ & 1004 \\ & 1045 \\ & 10660 \\ & 10010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1043 \\ & 1079 \\ & 1092 \\ & 1092 \\ & 1078 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 990 \\ & 996 \\ & 995 \\ & 997 \\ & 973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 926 \\ & 949 \\ & 9792 \\ & 9621 \\ & 938 \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }_{34}^{33}$ | VIII- - North Western Counties. Cheshire <br> Lancashire - | ( | 1000 1000 | ${ }_{1037}^{1038}$ | ${ }_{1084}^{1084}$ | ${ }_{981}^{984}$ | ${ }_{941}^{894}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 36 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | West Riding East Riding (with York) North Riding <br> X.-Northern Counties. | $\begin{aligned} & 3.781 \\ & \hline \end{aligned} .282$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & 1000 \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{1030 \\ 1030 \\ 1022} \\ 102 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1016 \\ & 1063 \\ & 1088 \\ & 1083 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 992 \\ & 999 \\ & 967 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 961 \\ & 938 \\ & 922 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 39 \\ & 40 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | Durham <br> Northumberland <br> Westmorla <br> rlan | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 769 \\ & \hline 8.240 \\ & \hline .10505 \\ & 2 \cdot 8889 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & 10000 \\ & 10000 \\ & 10000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1013 \\ & 1045 \\ & 1020 \\ & 1020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1073 \\ & 1079 \\ & 1099 \\ & 10909 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 988 \\ & 997 \\ & 997 \\ & 976 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 925 \\ 932 \\ 9.22 \\ 1037 \\ 1037 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 43 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} 3.354 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{array} 266 \\ & 2.816 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \substack{1000 \\ 1000} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1031 \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} 1049 \\ 1020 \end{array}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 1085 \\ 1062 \\ 1082 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 983 \\ \begin{array}{c} 959 \\ 1000 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 901 \\ & 930 \\ & 890 \end{aligned}$ |

3.328 per cent. on the population; the average rate being $3 \cdot 26 \mathrm{r}$, and the rate of the preceding year, the highest on record, 3.428 .
313,756 boys and 298,635 girls were born in the year ; the proportion of the numbers was 1.05 I to $1 \cdot 000$, or nearly 105 to $100=21$ boys to 20 girls.
There were to 100 girls, 108.3 boys born in Rutland, $108 \cdot 2$ in Hertford, $108 \cdot \mathrm{I}$ in the North Riding of Yorkshire, 107. 8 in Devonshire. In these counties the boys abounded; and in every county the number of boys exceeded the number of girls. But in Nottingham and Dorset the excess of boys was less than $x$ in 100 .
These proportions undergo considerable fluctuations from year to year ; and, in conformity with mathematical theory, the fluctuation in the proportions is greatest where the births are fewest in number. Thus in Rutland to 100 girls, $115^{\circ} 4$ boys were born in 1850 , and 94.8 boys in 1851 , the year immediately following. It will be seen whether, on an average of years, there is any great permanent difference in the proportion of boys years, there is any great permanent difference in the proportion of boys
born in the counties. In all England the proportion of boys has increased born in the counties. In all Eng
since 1850 from $104^{\circ} 2$ to $105^{\circ} 1$.
The relative ages of the father and mother have probably some influence on the sexes of the children ; but the English schedule does not state the ages of the parents at the birth of the children.


[^0]39,763 children were born out of wedlock; or $6 \cdot 5$ in every 100 children born alive. This proportion is less than the proportion ( $6 \cdot 8$ ) of the three
previous years.
Norfolk $\left(10^{\circ} 6\right)$, Hereford $(9 \cdot 8)$, Salop $(9 \cdot 8)$, Nottingham $(8 \cdot 5)$, the North Riding of Yorkshire $(8 \cdot 7)$, Cumberland $(11 \cdot 7)$, and Westmorland $(8 \cdot 9)$, still show a great excess of illegitimate children; while in

Table XI.-Annual Rate of Mortality per Cent. in each County during the 13 Years 1841-1853.


Huntingdon $(5 \cdot 0)$, Devon $(5 \cdot 5)$, Cornwall $(5 \cdot 0)$, Warwick $\left(5^{\circ} 4\right)$, Durham ( $5 \cdot 8$ ), and Monmouth ( $5^{\circ} \cdot$ ), the proportions are much lower.
It was shown in my fourteenth Report that to 100 married women of the age $20-40$, about 31 children were born in 185 I ; and that to 100 single women in the same year, 3 children were born. As a general rule the counties in which the unmarried women have proportionally the least number of children, are the counties in which the women write in more than the average proportion of cases. To this rule, however, Westmorland and Cumberland are remarkable exceptions.
The excess of boys among children born out of wedlock was 4.6 in 100 ; for to 100 girls 104.6 boys were born.
The number of births in the first half exceeded the number in the last half of the year. The births in the four quarters were in the proportions of $1056,1037,964$, and 943 , if 1000 be taken as the quarterly average. (Tables VII., VIII., IX.)

Deaths.
421,097 persons died in the year.
The mortality was at the rate of 22.88 in 1000 living; which is slightly above the average, $22 \cdot 38$, of the 16 years $1838-53$, or nearly I in 44 died, instead of I in 45 .

Table XiI.-England. Deaths.-Annual Rate of Mortality per Cent. of Table XII.-ENGLAND. Deaths.-Annual Rate of M
Males and Females at different Ages

| deaths to 100 Males living. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | 1844 | 1845 | 1846 | 1847 | 1848 | 1849 | 1850 | 1851 | 1852 | 1853 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A verage } \\ & \text { of the } \\ & \text { Rates } \\ & \text { 10 Years } \\ & \text { 1841-53. } \end{aligned}$ |
| All Ages - | $2 \cdot 242$ | $2 \cdot 170$ | $2 \cdot 395$ | 2.546 | 2.391 | $2 \cdot 581$ | $2 \cdot 143$ | $2 \cdot 276$ | 2-320 | 2-379 | 2•344 |
| $0-$ | 6.984 | $6 \cdot 665$ | $7 \cdot 760$ | 7.588 | 7-401 | 7.513 | 6.695 | $7 \cdot 298$ | 7.500 | $7 \cdot 346$ | $7 \cdot 275$ |
| ${ }^{5-}$ | -897 | -823 | -825 | -970 | 1.043 | 1.124 | -814 | .889 | -906 | $\cdot 847$ | $\cdot 912$ |
| 10- | -473 | - 466 | . 507 | . 50 | . 530 | . 640 | ${ }^{4} 467$ | -491 | - 520 | . 506 | . 516 |
| 15- | -763 | ${ }^{781}$ | -859 | -929 | -858 | . 951 | $\cdot 717$ | -776 | -799 | - 828 | -826 |
| 25- | -940 | ${ }^{-928}$ | ${ }^{1} \cdot 025$ | $1 \cdot 100$ | 1.026 | 1.243 | -879 | -948 | .988 | $1 \cdot 013$ | 1•07 |
|  | $1 \cdot 225$ | $1 \cdot 202$ | $1 \cdot 272$ | $1 \cdot 436$ | $1 \cdot 303$ | 1.581 | $1 \cdot 160$ | 1.236 | $1 \cdot 230$ | 1-316 | $1 \cdot 297$ |
| 45- | 1.750 | 1.715 | 1.800 | $2 \cdot 065$ | 1-864 | 2-262 | 1.716 | 1.787 | 1.816 | 1-9\%8 | 1 8873 |
| 55- | $3 \cdot 051$ | $2 \cdot 975$ | 3.129 | 8•649 | 3 266 | $3 \cdot 655$ | $2 \cdot 980$ | 3.031 | $3 \cdot 073$ | 3-278 | 3-209 |
| 65- | ${ }^{6} \cdot 736$ | ${ }^{6} \cdot 491$ | ${ }^{6}$-758 | $7 \cdot 695$ | ${ }_{6} 6793$ | $7 \cdot 244$ | 6.308 | 6.396 | 6.284 | 6.912 | ${ }^{6} \cdot 762$ |
| 75- | 14-651 | 14-400 | 15.070 | 17-326 | 14-986 | $15 \cdot 187$ | 14.019 | 14.055 | 14-161 | 15•897 | 14.975 |
|  | 31.716 | \|30-191 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mid 2 \cdot 214 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $35 \cdot 533$ | $30 \cdot 622$ | $29 \cdot 976$ | $28 \cdot 555$ | 28.245 | ${ }_{23} \cdot 279$ | 31-297 | 30.685 |
| $95 \&$ upwds. | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 43 \cdot 10 \\ 43 \cdot 228 \end{array}\right\|$ | $49 \cdot 035$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline 52.614 \\ 51 \cdot \end{array}\right.$ | $56 \cdot 607$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline 22 \cdot 435 \end{array}\right\|$ | ${ }_{42}$-859 | 38-560 | 41-937 | 43•422 | 47-305 | 45.704 |

deaths to 100 Females living

| All'Ages - | $2 \cdot 083$ | 2.012 | $2 \cdot 221$ | 2.380 | 2.224 | 2.445 | 2.013 | 2.124 | 2.156 | 2-201 | 2.186 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-$ | 5.885 | $5 \cdot 657$ | 6.675 | 6.553 | 6.396 | 6.488 | 5.738 | $6 \cdot 299$ | 6.445 | 6•362 | 6.250 |
| ${ }^{5}-$ | .902 | . 800 | -813 | .951 | -997 | 1-102 | -810 | . 860 | -878 | -813 | -893 |
| $10-$ | -503 | -476 | -338 | - 577 | - 566 | ${ }^{653}$ | ${ }^{4} 491$ | -527 | -537 | -540 | -540 |
| 15- | -810 | -815 | -870 | -919 | - 878 | 1.000 | ${ }^{7} 77$ | -818 | -834 | $\cdot 861$ | 888 |
| $25-$ | 1.006 | -980 | 1.018 | 1-173 | $1 \cdot 090$ | 1-347 | -988 | 1.005 | 1.031 | 1.064 | 1.073 |
| 35- | 1-200 | 1-188 | 1.242 | 1-422 | 1-301 | 1•617 | 1.169 | 1-193 | $1 \cdot 214$ | 1-251 | $1 \cdot 280$ |
| 45- | 1.5\% | 1-667 | 1.559 | 1.789 | 1.589 | 1.998 | 1 - 473 | 1.519 | 1.514 | 1-596 | $1 \cdot 603$ |
| $5_{5-}$ | 2.773 | 2.668 | 2.783 | 3.226 | $2 \cdot 860$ | $3 \cdot 355$ | 2.625 | 2-679 | $2 \cdot 660$ | $2 \cdot 845$ | 2.887 |
| 65- | 6.052 | 5.856 | 6. 156 | ${ }^{6}$. 964 | 6.072 | ${ }^{6} \cdot 596$ | 5•717 | 5.854 | 5.708 | 6.133 | $6 \cdot 111$ |
| 75- | $13 \cdot 494$ | 13.036 | $13 \cdot 794$ | 15.945 | 13.604 | 14-028 | 12.684 | 12:818 | 13.177 | 14-106 | 13.669 |
| 85- | $28 \cdot 434$ | $27 \cdot 569$ | 30-350 | 32-104 | 27.623 | 28.028 | 25-922 | $20^{3} \cdot 37$ | 27.427 | 28.968 | $28 \cdot 278$ |
| $95 \&$ upwds. | $44 \cdot 16$ | 42.036 | 52.200 | 53.230 | 46 81816 | 43:323 | $42 \cdot 927$ | $45^{\circ} 017$ | $40^{\circ} 676$ | 45'770 | ${ }_{45} \cdot 661$ |

The mortality of males was $23^{\circ} 79$, of females 22 . OI per 1000 in the year ; he average mortality of males, $23^{\circ} 19$, being on an average 1.62 in 1000 more than the mortality of females, $2 I \cdot 5 \%$.
The deaths of females were in the year to the deaths of males as 100 to IO4; while the rates of mortality were as 100 to 108. This difference is caused by the excess of females living in England over the number of males living. The latter numbers show that where 100 females die in England, out of a given number of females living, 108 males would die out of an equal number of males. (Tables X., XI.)
The Table (XII.) shows the mortality of males and of females at twelve periods of life, in the ten years 1844-53. It will be observed, that the greater excess of mortality occurs in boys in the first five years of age, when out of 1000 living $72 \cdot 75$ die annually, while out of the same number of girls only 62.50 die; in the next stage of life (age 5-10) out of the same numbers 9.12 boys, and 8.93 girls die. A change takes place, at the age $10-15$, for then $5 \cdot 16$ boys and $5 \cdot 40$ girls die. The mortality of both sexes then increases, and the women up to the age of 35 die in rather greater proportions than men. After the age of 45 the mortality of men is considerably higher than the mortality of women.
mortality of men is consideraty 1853 , with the average at different ages
it will be observed that the excess is most notable in childhood and it will
old age.
The deaths fluctuated in the four quarters of the year from 118,119 in the winter quarter, to $9^{2,201}$ in the summer quarter; or, putting 1000 deaths

|  | Quarters ending the last day of |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years. | March. | June. | September. | December. |
| 1838 1839 | 98152 89740 | ${ }_{879697}^{9087}$ | ${ }_{768880}^{7287}$ | ${ }_{8}^{80854} 8$ |
| 1884 | ${ }_{98896}$ | ${ }^{90339}$ | ${ }^{80822}$ | 89830 |
| 1841 184 18 | ${ }_{963969}$ | 86134 <br> 86538 | 75440 82339 | 83204 <br> 84728 <br> 8.23 |
| 1843 1844 1 | ${ }^{949926}$ | ${ }_{8}^{872334}$ | ${ }^{7} 779792$ | ${ }_{90664}^{87433}$ |
| 1845 | 104664 | 89149 | 74872 | 80681 |
| (1846 | ${ }_{\text {898484 }}$ | ${ }_{10930}^{906718}$ | ${ }_{9}^{101664}$ | ${ }_{103479}^{10893}$ |
| (1848 $\begin{aligned} & 1848 \\ & 1849\end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{1200392}$ | ${ }^{9} 909275$ | ${ }_{\substack{87638 \\ 13527}}^{8}$ | ${ }_{97589}^{92436}$ |
| 18 | ${ }_{98430}$ | 22871 | 85849 | 91845 |
| 1851 1882 | ${ }^{1053539}$ | ${ }^{994588}$ | 91499 100382 | ${ }_{99770}^{9980}$ |
| 1853 | 118119 | 107647 | 92201 | 103130 |

Table XIV.-ENGLAND. Deaths.-Proportional Number of Deaths in each -ENGLAND. Deaths.-Proportional Number of Deat
Quarter to 1000 Deaths in the Average Quarter of each Year.

as the quarterly average, II22 occurred in the winter, 876 in the summer, quarter. (Tables XIII., XIV.)
Various influences that affect the births, deaths, and marriages are discussed in the Quarterly Reports, extracts from which have been reprinted, and will be found in the Appendix (pp. 1-64).
The causes of death are discussed by Dr. Farr, in a letter addressed to me, which will also be found in the Appendix (p. 65).
The series of Tables that follow this Report (pp. I-139), are the regular abstracts of some of the principal facts that appear invariably in my reports.
The second series of Tables comprises one of the occasional abstracts and summaries of facts which I am only able to publish at intervals.

## Mortality of the 628 Districts of England.

In the Thirteenth Report the number of people living in 1841 and in 185 I , with the number of births and deaths in each of the ten years $184 \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I} 850$, were printed, so as to show the natural and the enumerated increase of population in each district of England and Wales. From that extensive series of Returns a new set of Tables has been formed, howing the mortality and the density of the population of each district,
The natural term of human life appears to be $a$ hundred years; and out of the annual generations successively born in Encland and Wales a few solitary individuals attain that limiting age, the rest dropping off year by year as age advances; so that the mean lifetime is at present only 4 r years.
If every person born lived 100 years, and the annual births were equal year after year, the mortality would be at the annual rate of I in 100 , or of 10 in IOOO; and if every person lived 41 years, it is obvious that, the of 10 in 1000 ; and if every person lived 41 years, it is obvious that, the
births being equal, the mortality would be at the rate of 1 death annually in 4 I living, or rather more than 24 deaths in 1000 living.
41 living, or rather more than 24 deaths in 1000 living.
If the births constantly exceed the deaths in numb
hypothesis, the mortality remaining the same, alth in number, upon this hypothesis, the mortality remaining the same, although the mean duration of life remains 41 years, the mean mortality will be below 1 in 41 -below 24 in 1000. And the same proportion is true, though the lifetimes of individuals vary from o to 100 years: thus though the mean lifetime is 4 I , the births exceeding the deaths and increasing, the mortality in England and Wales is I in 45, or rather more than 22 annual deaths in 1000 living.
Upon referring to Table (XII.) it will be observed that the rate of mortality among children and among men and women of different ages, varies; so that the mortality ( 73 in 1000) of children under 5 years of age is at nearly three times the average rate of the whole population, while among boys and girls of the age (10-15), the mortality is at the rate of 5 in 1000 , or only one fourth or one fifth of the general rate; and the mortality remains below the average until the age of 55 , but becomes after that age much above the average. The population in which the annual number of births increases, contains an undue proportion of children, of youths, and of persons of middle age ; and the result is, that the rate of mortality is less than it would be if the result is, was in the proportions that would arise from an equal number of annual births.
The deaths to 1000 living in different districts will, therefore, vary to some extent, according as the population is constituted of more or less of the children or adults at the ages that experience a relatively high or a low rate of mortality.
But allowing for the circumstance that the annual deaths to roco living in England should be less than they would be if the births did not increase, the mortality of different districts varies with the different degrees of health in the population.

The series of results in the tables (pp. 142-149) was deduced by dividing the deaths in the ten years $184 \mathrm{I}-50$, by ten times the arithmetical mean of the population enumerated within the several districts in 1841 and 1851 . In some districts the resulting mortality by this method is slightly understated, in others slightly overstated. But the general results, deduced from such an extended series of returns, present a sufficiently correct view of the sanitary state of the various parts of England and Wales.

Upon examining the tables it was found that in three districts (Rothbury and Glendale in Northumberland, Eastbourne in Sussex, ) the annual bury and Glendale in Northumberland, Eastbourne in
The annual rate of mortality was 16 in 1000 living in the fourtee The annual rate of mortality was i6 in 1000 living in the fourteen
following districts ; Holsworthy (Devon), Battle, Cuckfield (Sussex), Reifollowing districts ; Holsworthy (Devon), Battle, Cuckfield (Sussex), Rei-
gate (Surrey), Haltwhistle, Easthampstead, Guisborough, Bootle, Christgate (Surrey), Haltwhistle, Easthampstead, Guisborough, Bootle, C
church, Hambledon, Okehampton, Garstang, Builth, and Steyning.

The annual mortality was at the rate of 17 in 1000 in Hendon (comprising Harrow), Belford, Southwell, Dorking; and in all forty-seven districts.

Upon going over these districts it will be found that the health and the circumstances of the population by no means approach any ideal standard of perfection. Nature, however, does much for the inhabitants. The fresh air dilutes the emanations from their nuisances ; and infectious diseases are not easily transmitted from person to person in detached houses. Still the health of the people in those districts admits of improvement; and it may be assumed with certainty, that the mortality of the English people, in very variable but generally favourable conditions, does not exceed I7 in 1000 deaths.

The deaths of 17 persons in 1000 may therefore be considered, in our present imperfect state, natural deaths; and all the deaths above that number may be referred to artificial causes.

Thus it was shown in my last Report that licensed victuallers, butchers, miners, bakers, shoemakers, tailors, labourers, experience a much higher rate of mortality than farmers. The unhealthy occupations of the people, therefore, contribute to raise the annual mortality above the rate of 17 in 1000.

Where the women are employed in any but domestic labour, they discharge the duties of mothers imperfectly, and the mortality of their children is high. The children in the mining districts, in the straw-plait and lace districts, and in the factory districts, suffer evidently from the want of wise, assiduous maternal care.

The marshes in low, ill-drained districts raise the mortality above I7 in 1000. Thus in Ely, Whittlesey, Wisbeach, and North Witchford, four thinly-peopled, marshy districts of Cambridgeshire round the Wash, four thinly-peopled, marshy districts of Cambridgeshire round the Wash,
the mortality was at the rate of $23,25,25$, and 27 in 1000. The districts on the lower portions of the Thames suffer from marsh diseases. tricts on the lower portions of the Thames suffer from ma
All our dockyards, except Pembroke, are on unhealthy sites.

One of the greatest and most prevailing causes of ill-health, and of the deaths in excess of 17 in 1000 , is the condensation of people in towns, without the requisite mechanical and chemical arrangements for removing concentrated impurities, for supplying pure water, and for introducing through large streets free currents of pure air. To be beyond the reach of these causes this pepulation must be distributed on higher grounds, over wider spaces, on which the sun can shine and the breezes of heaven blow ; proper sanitary arrangements must be made ; and energetic means be adopted to obviate by art all the artificial disadvantages of life in cities. As serious efforts are to be made to obtain the solution of this problem, on which the well-being, happiness, and vigour of many generations of the English race depend, I have deemed it right to publish at once a rough ceneral view of the sanitary state of the country. The future returns will enable us to describe its changes, and I hope to see ultimately brought to bear on it all the exactest methods of statistical inquiry.

It will greatly facilitate sanitary inquiry if a convenient scale can be framed for measuring the degrees of damage, and the loss of life, which each district sustains from the various causes of insalubrity. The most accurate scale is supplied by the Life Table; which can only be constructed by expending a considerable amount of labour on the returns of each district. A much simpler scale is derived from the rates of mortality. Thus the mortality in the districts of England ranged from 15,16 , and 17 to 36 in 1000 .
If, as has been proposed, $I y$ is taken as the point above which all the mortality is excessive, Iy will be the zero of this new scale; and in England the scale will range up to 19 or 20 degrees. There are 87 districts experiencing one death in excess of 17 annually; $9^{6}$ have two tricts experiencing one death in excess of 17 annually; 96 have two
deaths, and III have three deaths; and i8 have eleven or more deaths in excess annually. These results can be traced in the annexed table (XV.) And it will be observed that the mortality increases as the density of the And it will be observed that the mortality increases as the density of the
population, or the nearness of the people to each other, increases in each population, or the nearness of the people to
I have, to facilitate the comprehension and adoption of international I have, to facilitate the comprehension and adoption of international
measures, shown the number of hectares as well as the number of acres to measures, shown the number of hectares as well as the number of acres to
each person in England, and in the several counties (pp. 142-143). One each person in England, and in the several counties (pp. 142-143).
column shows the annual rate of mortality in each county; another the column shows the annual rate of mortality in each county; another the
excess in the number of deaths to 1000 living; and the last column the excess in the number of deaths to Iooo living; and the last columed in
excess in the number of deaths over those that would have happened in the counties if the mortality had been 17 in 1000 . The continuation of the table shows the mortality and the excess of deaths in each district.

It is a remarkable fact that in England, the least unhealthy country in the world, the excess of deaths over those that would have happened if the standard of I7 had obtained universally, was 846,044 in ten years; so that the excess in that time was at the rate of 84,604 deaths in England annually. This excess occurred in 560 districts, for in 64 districts the mortality was below or did not exceed this standard. The people of each district can read their own sufferings and losses in the table ; and I rrust that they will succeed in future years in diminishing the fatal numbers.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Districts. } \end{gathered}$ | Acres. | Mean <br> Population 1841-1851. | Density and Proximity of Population. |  |  | $\substack{\text { Annual } \\ \text { Dertality. } \\ \text { teaths } \\ \text { living. }}$ | Excess in the Number of Deaths over those that if the Mortality hadbeen 17 in 1000 living. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Acres } \\ \text { fors } \\ \text { Porson. } \end{gathered}$ | Persons Stans Souare Mire. | $\|$Proximity <br> rear <br> nearnes of <br> Person <br> to Person. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Anuully } \\ & \text { tolyoly } \\ & \text { living. } \end{aligned}$ | In the 10 1841-50. |
| 3 14 47 |  |  | (11:40 | 56 <br> 106 <br> 144 <br> 1 | Yards. | 15 16 17 17 |  |  |
| ${ }_{9}^{87}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,38,531 \\ & 6,64,543 \\ & 6,67,3+3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,486,877 \\ & \hline, 887,182 \\ & , 827 \end{aligned}$ | 4.29 | 149 <br> 182 <br> 182 | 155 <br> 140 <br> 148 | 18 19 19 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 111 |  |  |  | 202 | 133 |  |  |  |
| 90 48 | ¢, ${ }_{\text {6,094,898 }}^{2,55,488}$ | - ${ }_{\text {2,094,155 }}^{1,29,608}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2 \cdot 91}$ | ${ }_{32+}^{220}$ | ${ }_{105}^{128}$ | ${ }_{22}^{21}$ | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ |  |
| ${ }_{26}$ | 1,23,079 | ${ }^{935,147}$ | $1 \cdot 32$ | ${ }_{485}$ | 86 | ${ }^{23}$ | 6 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 29 \\ & 29 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,265,905 \\ 1,51,549 \\ \hline, 583 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{53}{.51}$ | 1216 <br> 1282 <br> 2064 <br> 204 | 54 <br> 53 <br> 58 <br> 8 | 24 25 25 20 | 7 |  |
|  |  | 1,034,678 |  | 2064 | 42 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 275,899 | ${ }^{769,263}$ | 36 | 1784 | 45 | ${ }^{27}$ | 10 | 76,926 182,037 |
|  |  |  | $\cdot 14$ | 4434 |  | up to 36 | up to 19$\}$ |  |
| 624 | 37,324,915 | 16,903,169 | $2 \cdot 21$ | 290 | 111 | 22 | 5 | * |
| Nore.-In this Table the districts of England are thrown into groups according to their respective rates of mortality. Thus, there were 3 districts in which the annual mortality was 15 in 1000 living, and 111 distriets in <br>  2,336,246, being 3.16 acres to each person or 202 persons to each square mile, 14 ne popess of mortality over 17 in 1000 living is 3 , representing an excess of 70,087 deaths in the 10 years $1841-50$ among the population living in this group of 111 distriets. <br> The total of this column gives a higher number ( 889,089 ) than the result obtained for the whole of England by a single operation, which gives an aggregate of 846,044 deaths, and this latter total, for similar reasons, differs hy a single operation, which gives an aggregate of 846,044 deaths, and this latter total, for similar reasons, diffe fiom the aggregate of the results obtained separately for each county, or from the aggregate of the districts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In former reports* I have described the nature and important use of the indexes prepared in this department, by means of which the entry of any registered birth, death, or marriage can be generally referred to, on any registered birth, death, or marriage can be generally referred to, on
the mere mention of the name, in a very short space of time. These the mere mention of the name, in a very short space of time. These
indexes, which are separately prepared for the births, deaths, and marriages registered in each quarter, receive a yearly addition of upwards of riages registered in each quarter, receive a yearly addition of upwards of
$\mathrm{I}, 350,000$ names; and at the end of the year 1854 they contained the I,350,000 names; and at the end of the year 1854 they contained the
names of $4,828,464$ persons married, of $9,598,276$ children born, and of $6,622,108$ persons who died during the period of $17 \frac{1}{2}$ years from ist July $6,622,108$ persons who died during the period of $17 \frac{1}{2}$ years from ist July
1837 , when the system of general registration commenced. More than 1837, when the system of general registration commenced. More than
$21,000,000$ of the names of the immediate subjects of one or more of the $21,000,000$ of the names of the immediate subjects of one or more of the
important events of birth, death, and marriage were thus inscribed in the important events of birth, death, and marriage were thus inscribed in the
indexes to the registers, which thus form a nominal list of no inconsiderindexes to the registers, which thus form a nominal list of
able number of the people of England, living or deceased. able number of the people of England, living or deceased.
The personal or family nomenclature of the inhabitants of any country is a subject of considerable interest. Much that is illustrative of their early condition, customs, and employments is often discoverable in the names which have been handed down to them from bygone generations, and an investigation of the origin and character of these names will always afford matter for curious speculation and useful inquiry. English surnames have already to some extent engaged the attention of antiquaries and others, who have brought to light many interesting facts on the subject; but several curious questions as to the number and extension of particular surnames have never, owing doubtless to the want of a sufficient collection of observations, been fully examined. As a contribution in aid of such inquiries, it may prove not uninteresting to notice here a few of the more obvious facts derived from the indexes to the registers, leaving the application of them to those whose tastes may lead them to follow up the subject.

The most striking circumstance presented by the indexes is the extraordinary number and variety of the surnames of the English people. Derived from almost every imaginable object,-from the names of places, from trades and employments, from personal peculiarities, from the Christian name of the father, from objects in the animal and vegetable kingdoms, from things animate and inanimate, -their varied character is as remarkable as their singularity is often striking. Some of the terms which swell the list are so odd and even ridiculous that it is difficult to assign any satisfactory reason for their assumption in the first instance as family names, unless indeed, as has been conjectured, they were nicknames or sobriquets, which neither the first bearers nor their posterity could avoid.
In Wales, however, the surnames, if surnames they can be called, do not present the same variety, most of them having been formed in a simple manner from the Christian or fore-name of the father in the genitive case, son being understood. Thus, Evan's son became zvans, John's son Jones, \&c. Others were derived from the father's name coalesced with a form of the word $a p$ or hab (son of), by which Hugh ap Howell became Powell, Evan ap Hugh became Pugh, and in like manner were formed nearly all the Welsh surnames beginning with the letters B and P. Hereditary surnames were not in use even amongst the gentry of Wales until the time of Henry VIII., nor were they generally established until a much later period; indeed, at the present day they can scarcely be said to be adopted amongst the lower classes in the wilder districts, where, as the marriage registers show, the Christian name of the
father still frequently becomes the patronymic of the son in the manner just described.*
The probable number of surnames in England and Wales has been the subject of conjectural estimates based on a small collection of facts. By the careful collation of all the registration indexes it could be approximately ascertained; for during a period of more than seventeen years it is probable that almost every resident family contributed to the registers an entry of birth, death, or marriage. The task of collating upwards of two hundred immense quarterly indexes would, however, involve a vast amount of labour without any commensurate result; moreover the number of names is constantly varying, owing, on the one hand, over the number of names is constantly varying, owing, on the one hand, to emigration, or to the extinction of families by death, and on the other, to the introduction of fresh names by foreigners and immigrants, to the corruption of existing names always going on amongst the illiterate, and to various other circumstances. I have ascertained the number of different surnames contained in one quarterly index of births, and in another of deaths; the former selected with reference the the period of the last census,
results :-
 According to these numbers, there were for every 100 of the births registered about 16 different surnames, and for every 100 of the deaths about 18 , reckoning every surname with a distinctive spelling, however slightly it may differ from others, as a separate surname. Taking the two indexes together, and by a careful collation eliminating all duplicates, the numbers stand thus :-
${ }_{c}^{\text {Persons }} \begin{gathered}\text { regisered. }\end{gathered}$
Difirerent
surnames.
Different surnames to
every 100 persons.
275,405
32,818
1I.9

Persons to
ono surnemame.
$8 \cdot 4$

An alphabetical list of 32,818 surnames, the largest collection yet made, is thus obtained; and as this result is furnished by two quarterly indexes only, it may be assumed as a rough estimate that the whole number in England and Wales is between thirty-five and forty thousand. It is England and wales is between thirty-five and forty thousand. It is important, however, to remember that the list includes a large number
derived from the same roots as others, commonly agreeing in sound, but derived from the same roots as others, commonly agreeing in sound, but
differing in orthography often only to the extent of a single added or differing in orthography often only to the extent of a single added or
substituted letter. By these trifling variations the number is immensely substituted letter. By these trifling variations the number is immensely,
increased. The name of clerk, for instance, is also commonly spelt clark increased. The name of clerk, for instance, is also commonly spelt clark
and clarke, one and the same primary name (from clericus) being and clarke, one and the same primary name (from clericus) being implied in the three forms ; but three separate items necessarily appear in the list, for practically as surnames they represent different and distinct persons and families. Again, the widely spread name of Smith appears in family nomenclature also as smyth, smythe, and even as smijth. It is not usual, however, to regard these diverse forms as representing one name only, nor would their bearers probably all concur in admitting the common origin of the several variations. Until a comparatively recent period, an entire disregard of uniformity and precision in the mode of spelling family names prevailed, even amongst the educated classes, and many family Bibles and writings might be adduced as evidence that this was apparently less the result of carelessness than of affectation or design. While the sound was in a great measure preserved, the number of different

* So late as the time of the accession of the House of Hanover, the unabbreviated * So late as the time of the accession of the House of Hanover, the unabbreviated
prefix "ap" was very commonly used, and, by employing it with the contracted form,
three generations could be expressed in one name; thus Richard ap Iritchard implied Richard the son of Pritchard the son of Richard.
surnames became greatly multiplied by these slight orthographical varia-i tions, as well as by other corruptions; and if, in reckoning the number, each original patronymic with its modifications were counted as one, the list of 32,818 would be considerably reduced.
The contribution of Wales to the number of surnames, as may be inferred from what has been already stated, is very small in proportion to its population. Perhaps nine tenths of our countrymen in the principality could be mustered under less than 100 different surnames*; and while in England there is no redundancy of surnames, there is obviously a paucity of distinctive appellatives in Wales, where the frequency of such names as Jones, Williams, Davies, Evans, and others, almost defeats the primary object of a name, which is to distinguish an individual from the mass. It is only by adding his occupation, place of abode, or some other special designation, that a particular person can be identified when spoken of, and confusion aroided in the ordinary affairs of life The name of and confusion avoided in the ordinary affairs of life. The name of John Jones is a perpetual incognito in Wales, and being proclaimed at the cross of a market town would indicate no one in particular. A partial remedy for this state of things would perhaps be found in the解 people could be induced to overcome their unwillingness to depart from ncient customs, so far as to forego the use of the scriptural and other
ommon names usually given to their children at baptism.
From the circumstance of their common British origin it might be supposed that the Welsh people and the inhabitants of Cornwall would exhibit some analogous principles in the construction of their surnames; such, however, is not the case. The Cornish surnames are mostly local, derived from words of British root, and they are often strikingly peculiar. A large number have the prefix Tre, a town; the words Pol, a pool, Pen, a head, Ros, a heath, and Lan, a church, are also of frequent occurrence in surnames. The Cornish family nomenclature differs so materially from that of the rest of England, that I have thought it not uninteresting to give a few specimens of it (see page xxviii).
The local distribution of surnames is not the least interesting branch of this subject ; for most persons will have remarked that every district of the country possesses some surnames rarely met with anywhere else, the origin of which must be sought for in circumstances peculiar to the locality. To trace out the connection between the surnames and these circumstances is a task which may be most advantageously undertaken by local inquirers; and the indexes prepared by each superintendent egistrar, and preserved with the registers in his custody, would prove useful adjuncts in such investigations.
While it is obvious that the original adoption of a particular surname was the result in most cases of arbitrary circumstances,-since John smith, instead of being called after his occupation, might equally have chanced to become John Johnson from his father's Christian name, or John wood from the situation of his abode, or John Brown from his complexion, -it is curious to remark the predominance of certain names, which seem to have been adopted preferentially by large numbers of the people, or conferred upon them by others, and now prevail in every county of England. Do these common names hold the same rank in point of numbers which they had at first, or have some of them spread and multiplied more rapidly than others? For instance, is the present predominance of the smiths amongst English surnames due to the original
* Of the 328 Registration Officers and their deputies acting in the Districts of Wales, 207 are comprised under 17 surnames, in the following proportions; viz., Jones 46 Williams 26, Davies 16 , Evans 16, Thomas 15 , Roberts 14, Lewis II, Hughes 10 Edwards 8 , Lloyd 8 , James 6 , Griffith 6 , Morgan 6 , Rees 6 , Owen 5 , Morris 4, and
Ellis 4. There is only one officer of the name of Smith. The Districts referred to are numbered 581 to 623 in the Abstracts, and include some portions of English Counties on the Welsh border.
numerical strength of that great family, or to some special circumstances acting upon the ordinary laws of increase, owing to which the descendants of the hammer-men have multiplied at a greater rate than the bearers of any other name? Has the progeny of the tawny 3rowns increased faster than that of the fair-complexioned whites, relatively to the origina numbers of each race, so as to account for the excess of the former over the latter; or were the Browns in a majority in the first instance? Various are the surmises and speculations to which such questions may give rise. One point, however, the registration indexes enable us to determine; the particular names which have ultimately attained the strongest hold on the people; and also, with tolerable certainty, the relative numbers of the adherents of each.
The subjoined list (Table XVI.), of 50 of the most common surnames in England and Wales is derived from 9 quarterly indexes of births, 8 of deaths, and 8 of marriages; and although the inquiry might have been extended over a more lengthened period, it was found that the results were in general so constant as to render a further investigation unnecessary. When arranged according to the numbers in each index, the names appeared almost always in the same order, and the variations, when they occurred, rarely affected the position of a name beyond one or when they occurred, rarely affected the position of a name beyond one or
two places. These 50 names embraced nearly 18 in every roo of the two places. These 50 names embraced nearly 18 in every 100 of the
persons registered. The 3 names at the head of the list, smith, Jones, persons registered. Williams, are, it will be observed, greatly in advance of the others; and williams, are, it will be observed, greatly in advance of the others;
and if the numbers may be taken as an index of the whole population, it and if the numbers may be taken as an index of the whole population, it
would appear that on an average one person in every 28 would answer to one or other of these 3 names.
Regarded with reference to their origin (See Table XVII.), it seems that of the 50 most common names more than half are derived from the Christian or fore-name of the father, and are thus literally sire-names or sirnames. This is the most primitive form of a second name, and it was extensively used amongst the Anglo-Saxons as well as by other European

Hohal $\{$ Table XVI. - Fifty of the most common Surnames in England and Wales, with the aggregate Number of each entered in the Indexes of Births, Deaths, and Marriages in aggregat Number of each entered in the Indexes of Births, Deaths, and Marriages in
the Year ending 30th June 1838, of Births in the Quarter ending 31st March 1851,

|  | surnames. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of oftrics } \\ \text { Surnamme. } \end{gathered}$ |  | surnames. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \frac{4}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 28 \\ & 28 \\ & 20 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 80 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 112 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 13 \\ 18 \\ 14 \\ 18 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 36 \\ & 38 \\ & 30 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 17 \\ & 18 \\ & 18 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 42 \\ & 48 \\ & 48 \\ & 48 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 22 \\ & 22 \\ & 25 \\ & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 48 \\ & 48 \\ & 48 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Totas - - | 40,9,911 |

nations.* Names derived from occupations are next in number, and contribute 13 to the list. After the smiths come the Taylors, who are about half as numerous as the smiths; next the wrights, amounting to about half the number of the Taylors; then the waikers, Turners, Clarks, Coopers, wards, Bakers, and Clarkes. The Clarks and the Clarkes, if taken collectively, would occupy the third place in the list of names derived from employments; a fact which points significantly to the importance attached to the clerkly office, and to the possession of a moderate amount of learning, in rude and unlettered times, when a king received his characteristic epithet (zeau-clerc) from his scholarship. This class of surnames is peculiarly instructive as illustrating the pursuits and customs of our forefathers ; many of them furnish evidence of a state of society impressed with the characteristics of feudal times ; and not a few are derived from terms connected with the amusements of the chase and other field sports to which our ancestors were so ardently attached. Widely different would be a national nomenclature derived from the leading occupations of the present day. The thousands employed in connexion with the great textile manufactures would take precedence even of the smiths: while the Taylors would give place to the shoemakers (now scarcely recognizable under the not common surname of suter with its variations soutter, sowter, \&c. $\dagger$ ), as well as to the Colliers, the

Table XVII.-Fifty of the most common Surnames in England and Wales, arranged

| SURNAMES. | Numbers from the foremoing TTable | SURNAMES. | Numbers foregoing Table) | SURNAMES. | Numbers (rfom the foreong Table). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Derived from Curistian or Fore-names. |  | Derived from Christian or Fore-NAmes-cont. |  | Derivedfrom Locitity |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,124 \\ & 4,771 \\ & 4,761 \\ & 4,639 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Evans |  |  | 24,032 | Shaw | 4,7594,731 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { Rooberts } \\ \text { Johnson } \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,617 \\ & 9,968 \\ & 9,0415 \\ & 8,917 \\ & 8,017 \end{aligned}$ | Derived from Occupa- |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { Reobinson } \\ \text { Wilson }}}^{\text {- }}$ |  |  |  | ( 7 names) | 46,373 |
| Hughes - - |  | $\mathrm{Sm}_{\text {mith }}$ |  | eriv |  |
| Lewis | 7,959 | Wraytor |  | Pectliar |  |
|  | $\underset{\substack{7,916 \\ 7,859 \\ 7,659}}{ }$ | Walker <br> Turner | \%,549 | ${ }_{\text {Wrown }}^{\text {Write }}$ - $=$ | (14,366 |
| Harris - | 7,042 | Chark- |  | (2 names) | 22,154 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 6,399 \\ & 6,295 \\ & 6.8988 \\ & 5.888 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cooper } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Ward } \\ \text { Baler } \\ \text { Clarke } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,084 \\ & \hline 6,031 \\ & \hline 0,309 \\ & 0,39 \end{aligned}$ | From other Circum- |  |
| James | ${ }_{5}^{5,755}$ | ${ }_{\text {Cook - }}$ |  | King | 5,661 |
| ${ }_{\text {Allen - }}^{\text {Morgan }}$ = | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{5,691 \\ 5,468}}$ | Carter | ${ }_{4,648}$ |  |  |
| Price - | 5,219 | (13 names) | 120,691 | TOTAL - - | 440,911 |

(a) Most of the families of this name are of Welsh extraction, Mawrruyce being the Welsh form of Mavors
(Mars), the got of war, whose name was often bestowed upon the warlike. Others of this name are supposed to
 col From the German wavier, a fuller ; or, as some have supposed, the appellation of an officer whose duty
consisted in walling "or inspecting a certain extent of forest ground.

* What are called Christian names were in England in times anterior to the Norman
conguest usually the sole names borne by individuals. conquest usually the sole names borne by individuals.
The names used by the Anglo-Saxons were remarkably beautiful and expressive. The following are examples :-Alfred, all-peace; Edmund, a speaker of truth; Godwin, beloved of God; Leofwin, win-love; Ranulph, fair-help ; Raymund, quiet, peace; Alwin,
winning all or all-beloved. The Saxon termination ING, signifying offspring, is said to winning an or anl-beloved. English Surnames, Essay 2.
$\dagger$ In the eleventh and twelfth centuries, the period at which surnames became hereditary in England, the common people of the better" sort, as well as the upper classes, wore shoes or short boots, usually with "chaussés"-drawers with long stockings or
pantaloons with feet to them. (Planche's History of British Costume, $c$. vi.) It is somepantaloons with feet to them. (Planche's History of British Costume, c. vi.) It is some-
what singular, therefore, that while the occupation of the tailor has given name to so numerous a family, that of the maker of shoes and boots exercised so little influence in bestowing a permanent designation upon its followers and their posterity.

Carpenters; the Farmers, and others. The zawkers, Faleoners, Bowyers, Fletchers, Arrowsmiths, Palmers, Pilgrims, Friars or Freres, and a host of other family names derived from various callings which have become obsolete in this country, would be wanting.* Seven of the 50 surnames belong to the class of local surnames, and are expressive of situation, as Wood, Hall, Green, \&c.; and two (Brown and white) are derived from personal peculiaries.

The surname of smith is pre-eminently the most common in England, as that of Jones is in Wales; and so great is the multitude of the Welsh Joneses, that the latter name not only enters into competition for priority in point of numbers with the smiths, but in several years shows a majority over its rival. With a view to determine the relative frequency of these two widely-spread surnames, I have ascertained the numbers of each entered in the indexes during the years $1838-54$. The result is that the births, deaths, and marriages of the smiths registered in this period were 286,037 , and those of the Joneses 282,900 , the excess in favour of the former being 3137 in the 17 years. Smith is, therefore, unquestionably the most common surname amongst us, although the Joneses are little less numerous, and in six of the years actually contributed to the registers larger numbers than the smiths. Together the bearers of these two common names amounted to 568,937 , or i in 36 of the whole number registered, during the period referred to. (See Table XVIII).
Assuming that the persons of the surnames of Smith and rones are born, marry, and die in the same proportions as persons of all surnames, born, marry, and die in the same proportions as persons of all surnames, it will follow that in England and Wales there are not less than half a million of persons bearing one or other of those two surnames. The
smiths amount to rather more than a quarter of a million, and the
(Table XVIII.)-Number of Persons of the respective Surnames of Smith and Jones contained in the Registration Indexes of Births, Deaths, and Marriages in each of contained in the R4
the Years 1838-54.

| Years. | iNumber of the Surname of SMITH. | Number of the Surname of JONES. | Difference. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { More } \\ & \text { Smiths than } \\ & \text { JONESES. } \end{aligned}$ | More Joneses than Smiths |
| 1838 1839 1840 $18+1$ 1812 1843 1843 1845 1845 1846 1847 1848 1899 1850 1851 1852 1853 1854 |  |  |  | 791 <br> 777 <br> 372 <br> 122 <br> 43 <br> 379 <br>  <br>  <br>  |
| $\underset{\text { Joneses }}{\text { Total }}=-=$ | $\begin{aligned} & 288,0,037 \\ & 28,200 \end{aligned}$ | -28,900 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,947 \\ & 1,880 \end{aligned}$ | 1,810 |
| Excess of Smiths | 3,137 | - - | 3,137 |  |

* The following are the Occupations in which the largest number of Males were employed at the Census of 1851 :-
 $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Butcher } \\ & \text { Grocer }\end{aligned}=\right.$

 Worsted manufacture
Baker
 Silk manufacture
Engine and Marhine
Commercial Clerrk
 Hose, Sto
Boant and
Moiller
Saver Miller $\overline{-} \overline{-} \overline{-} \overline{=}$
Saver
Cabinet-maker, Upholsterer $=$

Joneses to little less; together forming no inconsiderable portion of the English population. These numbers represent, on the assumption that the average number of persons: in a family is the same as in the whole population at the census, viz. 4.8 persons, about 53,000 families of smiths, and 51,000 families of Joneses ; and to give an illustration of their numerical power, it may be stated that these two great tribes are probably sufficiently numerous to people the four towns of Birmingham, Bristol, Leeds, and Hull, without any addition of persons of other surnames.
Upon the facts derived from the indexes of the registers for the year 1853, the probable number of persons in England and Wales bearing each of the 50 most frequent surnames has been computed. The results will be found in the subjoined Table (XIX.) From this estimate it appears that the persons by whom these 50 surnames are borne amount to about $3,253,800$ : nearly one sixth of the entire population of England and Wales. On an average it seems, one person in 73 is a smith one in 46 a ales. One in anill Davies, and one in 174 a Brown.

Table XiX.-Estimated Number of Persons in England and Wales bearing the under-mentioned Fifty most common Surnames. (Deduced from the Indexes o the Registers of Births, Deaths, and Marriages, and the estimated Population in the Year 1853.)


Table XX. -Proportion per Cent. of Surnames indexed under each Initial Letter

| Initial Letter. | ( $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proportion } \\ & \text { per Cent. }\end{aligned}$ | Initial Letter. | Proportion <br> per Cent. | Initial Letter. | Proportion, per Cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | $3 \cdot 1$ | I. and J. - | 3.8 | 1. - | $5 \cdot 0$ |
| B. - | 11.0 | K. | 2.0 | s. - - | $8 \cdot 9$ |
| C. ${ }_{\text {c }}$ - - | $4 \cdot 6$ | M. - | 6.7 | U. and V. - | ${ }^{-7}$ |
| E. | $2 \cdot 4$ | N. - - | 17 | W. - | 8.7 |
| F. - | $3 \cdot 3$ | o. - - | $1 \cdot 1$ | x. - |  |
| G. - | $4 \cdot 8$ | P. | $8 \cdot 6$ | Y. - | $\cdot 5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $100 \cdot 0$ |



It is sometimes useful，in dealing with an extensive list of names，to know the proportionate numbers commencing with each letter of the alphabet．With such information，the names may be subdivided，according to the initial letters，in groups，large or small，so as to secure tolerably equal numbers in each group．The experience of the department in this respect，derived from the registration indexes，is given in Table XX． It appears that the letter $B$ is the most frequent initial of surnames amongst us，comprising more than a tenth of the whole．Next in number are the surnames ranked under the letter $\mathrm{H}(9.5$ per cent．）；then those under．S．and W．（ 8.9 and $8 \cdot 7$ per cent．）The vowels，which enter largely into the words of the English language from their occurrence in the prefixes $a b, a c, e x, i n, i m, u n$ ，\＆c．，are not extensively used as the initial letters of surnames；and amongst the consonants $N$ and $K$ are the first letters of the fewest surnames，except $X$ and $Z$ As many words in common use，chiefly of Anglo－Saxon origin，have been adopted as surnames，the philologist may probably trace some relation between the surnames and the words of the language beginning with the same letters；but so large have been the additions made to the English vocabulary in modern times，that such a connexion is by no means vocabulary in modern the the words now found in our dictionaries．
Such are a few of the principal results presented by the registration indexes．A more extended examination of these large collections of indexes．A more extended examination of these large collections of
surnames would doubtless develope other facts of equal interest．It is to surnames would doubtless develope other facts of equal interest．It is to
be hoped that the authorities of some of the continental states，possessing be hoped that the authorities of some of the continental states，possessing
similar sources of information，will be induced to make public such facts similar sources of information，will be induced to make public such facts
as may without much trouble be obtained．Being furnished with the as may without much trouble be obtained．Being furnished with the
means of comparison，we shall be able to ascertain what affinities exist means of comparison，we shall be able to ascertain what affinities exist
between our own surnames and those of other nations，and perhaps to discover new relations between different members of the great European family．

As a matter of curiosity rather than of practical use，I have appended a list of some of the more singular names which have been met with in the two quarterly indexes already referred to．This selection might have been much enlarged；but it will suffice to show how very peculiar are some of the terms which have acquired a fixed position in our family nomenclature．
－A Report，embodying the proposed plan of an amended Statistical Nosology，drawn up by Dr．Farr for the consideration of the International Statistical Congress which met at Paris in September 1855，is printed in the Appendix（ p .7 I ）．The suggested nomenclature of diseases was adopted by the Congress ；but with respect to the proposed mode of classifying them no decision was then taken．I have deemed it unad－ visable，therefore，to alter the form of classification which has been in use for some years in this Department until Dr．Farr＇s labours and pro－ posal，now brought before the public，have been further considered．
Dr．Farr＇s attendance at the Statistical Congress was authorized by the Government，and he was requested to report upon its proceedings； his Report will also be found in the Appendix（p．106）．

> I have the honour to be， Sir，

Your faithful Servant，
GEORGE GRAHAM，
Registrar－General．

A List of Peculiar Surnames in England and Wales，selected from the Indexes of Births List of Peculiar Surnames in England and Wales，selected from the Indexes of Births
registered in the Quarter ending 31st March 1851，and of Deaths registered in the cor－
responding Quarter of 1853 ．

| Ace | Bee | Breeze | Case | Coalman | Cure | Drake | Fern |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affection Agent | Beer Beetle | Briars | Cash <br> Cashmere | Cobbledick Cockle | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Cure } \\ \text { Curl } \end{array}$ |  | ry |
|  | Bellringer | Bridal |  |  | ant | Dray | ryma |
|  | Bench | Bride | Ca | Codlin | tain | Dresser | ve |
| dios | ${ }^{\text {Bender }}$ | Bridle | Catchaside | Coffee | shion | Drewmilk | ， |
| liblaster | ${ }^{\text {Bending }}$ | Brightman | Catchesides Cathove | Coil | Cutt | Drown | ${ }_{\text {Fiigg }}$ |
| Alllbones | Bent | Brim | Catchpole |  |  | Drudge |  |
| Allgood | Betty | Brimmer | Catharine | Coldman |  |  | Filer |
| ${ }_{\text {All }}$ Allw （right |  |  | Cato | Collar | Dabb | Dry | ${ }_{\text {Fill }}$ |
| mond | Biffen | Broadfoot | Catt | Collick |  | Duck | ${ }_{\text {Firkin }}$ |
| Ambler | Bigg | Broadhead | Cattle | Comb | Dadd | Duckling |  |
| Amiss |  | Broom |  | Commander |  | Dudgeo | Fish |
| Amour | Billman | Brownbill | Caught | Common | Dagg | ， |  |
|  | Bills | Brownjohn | Caul | Co | Daily | Dullea | agg |
| Anger | Birds | Brownsword | Cause | C | Dainty | Dulled | Flatman |
| guish | Blackamore | Bruin | Cavalier | Congo | Dame | Dully | Flatters |
| ns |  | ${ }^{\text {Bruise }}$ |  | Conquest | Damm | Dumbell |  |
| plema | Blank | Buckett | Cease | Constant | Damm | Duncalf | ${ }^{\text {Flea }}$ Fleet |
| ch | B1a | Buckle | Chafer |  | Damp |  |  |
| now | B ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Budg }}$ Buff | Chafl |  | Danc | Dutch | Fleshn |
| ms | Blight | Bugbir | Challenger | Coot | Dand |  | Fling |
| row | Blinker | Bugg | Challice | Copper | Dane |  | Flint |
| hes | ${ }^{\text {Bli }}$ | Buglehorne | Chanc | Copperwhe | Danger | ${ }_{\text {Eager }}$ | Flitt |
| hpole | Blood Bloom | Bugler | Chant | Corn | Dare | Early | ${ }_{\text {Float }}$ |
| Asker | Bloom | Bulled | Ch | 析 | Darlin | ${ }_{\text {Earw }}^{\text {Earw }}$ | Flounders |
| Attack | Bl |  | Chaplain | Corns |  | Eqges | Flowerday |
| ugur | Blow Blues | Bulley | Charge | Cornu | Dash | Eighteen | Flue |
|  | Blueman | Bullpit | Chart | Cot | Daughters | Element | Fodder |
| Axup | Blundred | Bullwinkle | Chase |  | 枸 |  |  |
|  | atman | Bultitude | Chatt | Cotton |  | Ellenor | olk |
| by | Bobbin Bobby | Bunch Bundle | Ch | illor | Dawn | Elms |  |
| ach | Bodfish | Bunn | Cheer | unsell | Day | Eva | ootm |
| Back | Bodil | Bush | Cheers | Courage | Deadman | Evil | Force |
| deo | Boa |  |  |  |  | Excell | Forec |
| dger | Boils | Butt | Chequ | Cousin |  | Eyes | Porhead |
| adı | Bold |  | Cherry | Cover | Death |  | orks |
| Bagg | Bolster Bolt l | Butter Buzzar | Cherryman | Cowar <br> Cowe | Deck | Facer | Fortun |
| Bail | Bolter | Buzzy | Chew | Cowhor | Deer |  | orty |
| Balaam | Bone | （eye（a） | Chick | Cowl | Delf | Fail | Forward |
| 退11 | Bones | Bythewa | Chicke | Cowstick Coy | Delve | Faint | Found <br> Foundling |
| Ballance | Bonnet |  | illman |  | Deuce | Fairbairn | Fouracre |
| Balm Balsan | Boobyer | Cabba Cable | Chipchase | Crabtree Crack | Dew | Fairbeard | $\stackrel{\text { Fowl }}{\text { Fowls }}$ |
| ndy | Bo |  | Chipman | Crackl | Diamond | Faircloth |  |
| nger | Bo | Cadd | Chipp | Craf |  | Fair | yster |
| ne | Booty | Caffr | Christian | Crane | Dike | Fairlhead | y |
| Bans | Bore | Cage | Christmas | Crank | Dines | Fairlam | Freak |
| Barbary | B | Cain | Chubb | ${ }_{\text {Craw }}^{\text {Craze }}$ | Dion | ${ }_{\text {Fair }}$ | Fre |
|  | B | Cakebread | Chureh | Creeper | teh | irweather | ard |
|  | Botris | 1 | urchward |  | 碞 | Fairs | ， |
| efo | Bottle | Calman Calvary | Churchyard | Crime | Dives | ${ }_{\text {Eair }}$ Eait | Fr |
| Barge | Boun | Calver | Cit | Crispin | Dodge | Faithful | wat |
|  | Bowel Bow | Came Camel | Citte | Croak Crook |  | Fa | Friday |
| ley | Bower | Camomile | Clack | Cropp | Doit | Fare | Fright |
| rell | Bowl | Camp | $\stackrel{\text { Clan }}{\text { Claret }}$ | Cross | Domin | ${ }_{\text {Farman }}^{\text {Farming }}$ | zzle |
| rren | Bo | Cann | Clay | Crow | Don | ${ }_{\text {Farsming }}$ |  |
| Barrow | B | Ca | yp | wfoot |  | Farthing | Fry |
| Barter | Bragr | Cant | Clear | Crown | Dool | her | ， |
| skett | ${ }^{\text {Brain }}$ | Cante Cape | ${ }^{\text {Cleaver }}$ | Crude | ${ }^{\text {Doore }}$ Dott | $\stackrel{\text { Fatt }}{\text { Faultle }}$ | Fudge |
| hmal | B | Ca | Cli | Crumpler | Double | Fawn | Fullbridge |
| wler | Bran Branch | Caps | Clinke | Crush | Doubleday | Fay | Fullalove |
| Beacon | Branchflo | Care |  | Crutch | Dou |  |  |
| nar | Bra | Ca | Cloke | Crye | Doughty | th | Furnish |
|  |  | Carp | Clothd | ${ }_{\text {Cucko }}^{\text {Cull }}$ | Dove | Fell |  |
| Beard |  | Carriage | Clout | Cupper | Doxe | Felon | Fur |
| Beatman | Breaker Breed | Carrier | Clover Coales | Cuppleditch Curd | Doz | Felo | Fussey |
| Beaver | Bre | Ca | Coa | Cu | Drai | Fender |  |




|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |




[^1]








Specimens of Surnames in the County of Cornwall.

| Angwin | Jago | Pascoe | Pentreath | Roskraw | Tregidga | Tresadern | Trevithick |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Benallack | Landary | Penberthy | Polglase | Spargo | Tregloaa | Tresawna | Trevorrow |
| Brokensha | Landeg | Pencavel | Polgrean | Treague | Trego | Tresidder | Trewartha |
| Chegwidder | Lanfear | Pender | Pollyn | Treagus | Tregonwy | Trestrain | Trewennick |
| Chegwin | Langan | Pendred | Polmear | Trebilcock | Tregunna | Trethake | Trewhella |
| Clyma | Lanigan | Pendry | Polwhele | Tredennick | Trehearne | Trethowan | Trewern |
| Colenso | Lanthois | Pengelly | Reskilley | Tredrea | Trelawney | Trevallion | Trezise |
| Eva | Lanyon | Penhaligon | Retallack | Treganowen | Trelease | Trevarthen | Trezona |
| Gluyas | Leggoe | Penketh | Rodda | Tregarthen | Treleven | Trevaskis | Tyack |
| Grenfell | Lewarn | Pennal | Roscoe | Tregear | Treloar | Trevelyan | Uren |
| Hendra | Menallack | Penno | Rosekilley | Tregellas | Tremaine | Trevena | Vivian |
| Hugoe | Menhennick | Penrice | Rosevear | Tregenna | Treneman | Trevenen | Vyvyan |
| Jacka | Nankerois | Penrose | Rosewarne | Tregenza | Trenwith | Trevillian |  |


[^0]:     deaths of males. The last column shows the relative mortality of males and fen
    numbers living the deaths of males were 109 to every 100 deaths of females in 1883 .

[^1]:    
    
    
    

