

29.11.56

5094

116 Cheyne Walk
Chelsea S.W.10

NOV 29 1956

Dear Philippa,

I was so glad to hear

from you as that meant you were settling in - of course Elizabeth told me, & of course you had plenty of people to help you up at Cambridge. I long to see you - I wonder if you will be fit by next Wed. Dec 5th - if not on I have Fri. 7th clear. Perhaps Elizabeth will ring me up, 9.30 a.m. is a good time ^{any} day. Flaxman 6737. The print paper I had lost & sought it frantically - thank you, really quite indispensable.

It is not an MS, but a typed ^{copy} 30-40 pages an adden to Westcott House, which is ^{at} some sort of theological college. (Cambridge) I must tell you I

was far from pleased with S.M. after I had read it, because of a really dreadful onslaught on the Catholics, & up-to-date & personal - this really shocked me. I

enclose copy of the postcard I sent him & you will see that I am plain tho' not as explicit as the above. Mr Coulton he mentions in his lecture - Panel knew him & knew of him as a great Cambridge scholar.

I never met him but the accents ^{who think it his home} reached me plain tho' Hope Allen, an American friend tho' on the Protestant in fact Puritan side, she was great / shocked by Coulton's & simply said he invalidated his ^{real position} even one day being some R.C. took a ^{other} in the air, which she did not like at all - found quite unnecessary toleration is another thing. I am not for that - but ~~his~~ personal. I dislike. S.M. said to me when I said he was tainted with Protestantism. You see what is even worse, tainted with humanism. S.M. is a convert, not born into purple. I long to see you - the thing is that I am ^{it} that may be, some, & I am convinced it

can't possibly

copy - No V 285 116 C. W.
TO S. M.

I write a postcard as you have not the time to read, nor I much time for writing. I have read your paper & found it most interesting, and it seems to me that you do allow *Divine Right* as a principle, which is distinct from the fallible *positive* application of them (as all principles are distinct from practice) & come by, pale not in the problems to dictate on morals. Tho' they have been called to their high office - and not to the Priestly - by the highest; just as we have not chosen our parents but been given them - hence to man of father & of mother which is distinct from the fallible relationships of children & parents both human & divine. In so far as the fact of a human child their kinship is supernatural. I am sorry you called it the *Corin* kinship, as the hereditarily principle, the dynamic comes in with *best* kinship, which save a great deal of electiveness, stands to kinship in conduct of the will more providential than kinship - mere because we have never hesitated to do our worst by them & the means available are now so much greater. In your onslaught on the *Beats* I thought I detected the accents of our *Condition*. I did say when we met you my remembrance, that you were tainted with *Positive* analysis to which I'm replied in turning, to talk to me, perhaps suitably, of

9 wps - to date

This copy. P.S. not
as I said I am
considering it -
possibly a faint
humanistic tinge.
I am more than
one has to be careful

S. M.